## INDEX

competition, 100–107 Canadian High Arctic Research Station (CHARS), 58–59 Centre for International Governance Innovation, John Higginbotham, 2–3 Circumpolar council, 88–92 Climate change, 24–30 Climatological border, 15 Cold War competition, 11 Commission of Self-Governance, 48–50 Commission on the Limits of the Continental Shelf (CLCS), 104 Comparative method of analysis, 6 Congressional Report, 16  competition, 100–107 conflict, 92–100 Conservation of Arctic Flora an Fauna (CAFF), 85 Danish–Canadian Dispute, 94–96 Denmark, 104–107 ecosystem-based management (EBM), 82 European Union (EU), 88 Greenpeace, 77–82 Hans Island, 94–96 hooliganism, 79–80 indigenous peoples, 74, 88, 92 International Arctic Science Committee (IASC), 75–77 International Hydrographic Organization (IHO), 88
---

136 Index

mosaic of cooperation, 74 Inter-agency Arctic Research Policy Committee's (IARPC), 68 Nash's theory, 80–81 Northwest Passage, 98-100 Interdisciplinary approach, 7 Protection of the Arctic Marine International Arctic Science Environment (PAME), 85 Committee (IASC), 75–77 rivalry, 92-100 International community, 3–4 Russian Federation, 79–80 International Hydrographic Task Force on Sustainable Organization (IHO), 88 Development and Iron Curtain, 6 Utilization (TFSDU), 86 John Nash's theory, 110 United States, 104–107 WWF, 77, 81-82 Liberal government, 59–60 WWF Arctic Council Scorecard, Mosaic of cooperation, 74 87 Nash, John F., 6–7 Danish administration, 48–50 Non governmental organizations Danish-Canadian Dispute, 94-96 (NGOs), 112 Defending sovereignty, 71 Non-North Atlantic Treaty Dickens, Charles, 1 Organization (NATO) Diplomatic chess, 99–100 Arctic riparian state, 2–3 Ecosystem-based management Nordenskiöld Line, 15–16 (EBM), 82 Nordic Council and Nordic European Union (EU), 88 Defence Cooperation Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ), (NORDEFCO), 106–107 17 North American arctic games, 7–8 Alaska, 39-40 Game theory, 5 American strategies and policies, Geo-Mapping for Energy and 64-69 Minerals (GEM), 58–59 Arctic Circle, 13–14 Geopolitical border, 16 Arctic Governance Project Greenland, 32 (AGP), 12–13 Greenlandic political parties, 63–64 Arctic Monitoring and Greenpeace, 77–82 Assessment Programme GRENPOS, 61-62 (AMAP), 17 Hans Island, 94–96 Arcticness, 12 Harper declared Arctic sovereignty, Arctic sovereignty, 32, 56 56 astronomical border, 13-14 High North, 15 Canadian strategies and policies, 55 - 60Home Rule Act, 48–50 Home Rule Government, 60 climate change, 24–30 Hooliganism, 79–80 climatological border, 15 Humanity, 109 Cold War competition, 11 Congressional Report, 16 Indian territory, 54–55 cooperation. See Cooperation.

Indigenous self-governments, 51–55

Index 137

Protection of the Arctic Marine Danish strategies and policies, Environment (PAME), 85 60-64economic development, 36 Prudhoe Bay area, 97 Exclusive Economic Zone Reluctant Arctic power, 64–65 (EEZ), 17 Rivalry, 92–100 geography, 11-15 Russian Federation, 79–80 geopolitical border, 16 Greenland, 32 Sovereignty, 19–24 High North, 15 Subnational governments, 70 Nordenskiöld Line, 15–16 Subnational/self-governments Northwestern Territories Greenlandic Home Rule, 48–50 (NWT), 31–32 historical background, 44-45 political and economic indigenous self-governments, perception, 31–41 51 - 55political setting of, 31–34 Nunavut Land Claims reluctant arctic power, 64-65 Agreement (NCLA), 46–47 sovereignty, 19-24 powers, 45–51 territorial governments, 35 responsibilities, 45-51 North Atlantic Treaty self-governance, 48–50 Organization (NATO), 105 social stability, 47–48 Northwestern Territories (NWT), Task Force on Sustainable 31 - 32Development and Northwest Passage, 98–100 Utilization (TFSDU), 86 Northwest Territories (NWTs), 44 Nunavut Land Claims Agreement Umbrella Final Agreement (UFA), (NCLA), 46–47 US Arctic Strategy, 66, 72 Port State Control (PSC), 61–62 Progressive Conservative plan, World War II (WWII), 6, 104 55-56