

Examining the impact of resilience strategies in mitigating medicine shortages in the United Kingdom's (UK) pharmaceutical supply chain (PSC)

Resilience
strategies'
impact on UK's
PSC

683

Received 19 July 2022
Revised 22 December 2022
18 January 2023
4 February 2023
Accepted 5 February 2023

Emilia Vann Yaroson

*Department of Logistics Transport Operations and Analytics, Business School,
University of Huddersfield, Huddersfield, UK*

Liz Breen

School of Life Sciences, University of Bradford, Bradford, UK

Jiachen Hou

*Faculty of Management Law and Social Sciences, University of Bradford,
Bradford, UK, and*

Julie Sowter

School of Life Sciences, University of Bradford, Bradford, UK

Abstract

Purpose – Medicine shortages have a detrimental impact on stakeholders in the pharmaceutical supply chain (PSC). Existing studies suggest that building resilience strategies can mitigate the effects of these shortages. As such, this research aims to examine whether resilience strategies can reduce the impact of medicine shortages in the United Kingdom's (UK) PSC.

Design/methodology/approach – A sequential mixed-methods approach that involved qualitative and quantitative research enquiry was employed in this study. The data were collected using semi-structured interviews with 23 key UK PSC actors at the qualitative stage. During the quantitative phase, 106 respondents completed the survey questionnaires. The data were analysed using partial least square-structural equation modelling (PLS-SEM).

Findings – The results revealed that reactive and proactive elements of resilience strategies helped tackle medicine shortages. Reactive strategies increased relational issues such as behavioural uncertainty, whilst proactive strategies mitigated them.

Practical implications – The findings suggest that PSC managers and decision-makers can benefit from adopting structural flexibility and proactive strategies, which are cost-effective measures to tackle medicine shortages. Also engaging in strategic alliances as a proactive strategy mitigates relational issues that may arise in a complex supply chain (SC).

Originality/value – This study is the first to provide empirical evidence of the impact of resilience strategies in mitigating medicine shortages in the UK's PSC.

Keywords Behavioural uncertainty, Supply chain disruptions, Product design, Pharmaceutical supply chain, Mixed method, Supply chain resilience, Drug shortages, Medicine shortages

Paper type Research paper

1. Introduction

Over the last two decades, the global pharmaceutical industry has witnessed considerable growth, with a six-fold increase in traded global value (Foster *et al.*, 2021; Mikulic, 2021). In 2020, its revenue was estimated at \$1.27 trillion. However, medicines' unavailability is still increasing and is detrimental to effective healthcare operations (Phuong *et al.*, 2019; Chen *et al.*, 2020). For instance, in 2022, the UK and the USA recorded 206 and 166 new cases,



respectively, of medicine shortages (ASHP, 2022; Wickware, 2022). Instances of medicine shortages have hindered patients' treatment continuity, increased staff workloads and, in some cases, resulted in the death of patients (Phuong *et al.*, 2019). Some documented causes of medicine unavailability include globalisation, manufacturing issues, natural disasters, pandemics, pharmaceutical supply chain (PSC) complexities and regulatory requirements (Tucker *et al.*, 2020; Yaroson *et al.*, 2021). The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic also disrupted medicine availability because of issues in the raw materials supply chain (SC) (KPMG, 2021). These causes of medicine unavailability highlight medicine shortages' dynamic and disruptive nature and create concerns about tackling them effectively.

Supply chain (SC) resilience has been identified as critical in tackling disruption issues in the SC (in this case, medicine shortages) (Ambulkar *et al.*, 2015; Aldrighetti *et al.*, 2021; Katsaliaki *et al.*, 2021). Proponents advocate for its propensity to address inherent SC weaknesses (vulnerabilities), thus mitigating the impact of disruptions (Ozdemir *et al.*, 2022). Following SC resilience tenets, organisations must build timely and cost-effective strategies to prepare, respond and recover from a disruption (Hendry *et al.*, 2019; Scala and Lindsay, 2021). These strategies include flexibility, visibility and collaborative practices and can either be reactive and/or proactive (Tukamuhabwa *et al.*, 2015; Kamalahmadi *et al.*, 2022).

However, the PSC's unique nature may affect resilience strategies' effectiveness in mitigating disruptions. Researchers argue that medicines differ from other products due to their pertinence for survival and the requirement for safety and reliability whilst meeting consumers' needs (Chen *et al.*, 2020). The PSC is complex due to the multifaceted processes that facilitate medicine discovery, manufacturing and distribution. The PSC is also characterised by its manufacturing processes, cost intensity and limited suppliers (Narayana *et al.*, 2014; Sazvar *et al.*, 2021). To this end, the peculiarities of the PSC may affect the desired impact of resilience strategies in mitigating medicine shortages. For instance, PSC actors who engage in flexible operations to reduce the effects of medicine shortages may further increase the SC's complexity due to the limited number of suppliers. Moreover, approaching SC resilience as a systemic concept requiring interactions and decision-making processes among SC actors (Yaroson *et al.*, 2021) may affect the possible strategies employed. Therefore, it is imperative to understand if resilience strategies can mitigate medicine shortages in the PSC and address the corresponding outcomes, if any.

The potential of resilience in the PSC has been examined (Sabouhi *et al.*, 2018; Bastani *et al.*, 2021; Tucker *et al.*, 2020; Yaroson *et al.*, 2023). However, there is limited knowledge of resilience strategies in the face of highly disruptive events such as medicine shortages and their impact on the PSC. Given the frequency of these events globally, a greater understanding of the effects of SC resilience elements, including flexibility and collaboration, when tackling medicine shortages is warranted. Thus, this study addresses the gap by examining if resilience strategies mitigate medicine shortages' impact on the PSC. The study intends to shed more light on the following research questions (RQs).

RQ1. What resilience strategies mitigate the impact of medicine shortages in the PSC?

RQ2. How do resilience strategies impact the PSC when mitigating medicine shortages?

Similarly, the main objective of this study is to understand how resilience strategies are used to tackle medicine shortages and the corresponding impact on the PSC. A conceptual model was developed based on the findings from qualitative interviews and tested with three hypotheses using partial least square-structural equation modelling (PLS-SEM). The results offer insights into the effect of medicine shortages when resilience strategies are adopted. Therefore, this paper addresses a gap in the literature by providing empirical evidence of SC resilience and medicine shortages. It also considers the corresponding effect of adopted resilience strategies on the PSC. In addition, this paper is among the first to provide empirical

evidence that considers medicine shortage mitigation from a resilience strategy perspective. Finally, the study offers managers guidance on resource allocation when tackling shortages. It also provides a basis to inform best practices for adopting PSC resilience and the practical implications of applying proposed resilience strategies when addressing shortages. The following section, Section two, presents an overview of the literature on the research phenomenon. Section three presents the methodology adopted in the study, the findings from the qualitative phase and hypothesis development. Sections four, five and six present the findings from the quantitative phase of the study, a discussion of results and concluding remarks.

2. Literature review

2.1 Supply chain disruptions: medicine shortages

There have been extensive studies on SC disruptions over the last decade. Disruptive activities impede the flow of goods and services within a SC, adversely affecting firms' financial and operational performance (Hendricks *et al.*, 2020; Baghersad and Zobel, 2021). Disruptions are referred to as realised risks. They denote actualising unfavourable events (Melnik *et al.*, 2014; Bode and Wagner, 2015; Habermann *et al.*, 2015). These events may be planned and/or unanticipated. It includes natural disasters, pandemics, union strikes, geopolitical issues and terrorism, amongst others (Craighead *et al.*, 2007; Ellis *et al.*, 2010; Bode and Wagner, 2015; Ivanov, 2020). Queiroz *et al.* (2021) referred to SC risk as extraordinary and highly damaging events. To this end, medicine shortages are categorised as PSC disruption since they inhibit healthcare operations that may lead to patients' death.

Factors leading to medicine shortages are multifaceted, including quality defects, natural disasters, pandemics, pricing fluctuation, supplier defaults and labour strikes (Fox *et al.*, 2014; Iyengar *et al.*, 2016; DeWeerd *et al.*, 2017a, b; Beck *et al.*, 2020). These causes can be anticipated (quota systems and price manipulation) and unanticipated (natural disasters). For this reason, medicine shortages are defined as dynamic disruptions.

The dynamic nature of medicine shortages lends itself to discrepancies in its definition and composition among SC actors (Miljković *et al.*, 2020). Some studies focus on the manufacturing issues that result in shortages; others concentrate on stock out at the pharmacy level (ASHP, 2022) or timing (Miljkovic *et al.*, 2020). Reimbursement policies (De Weerd *et al.*, 2015), quotas versus rationing and tender systems have also been documented to impact shortages (Gloor *et al.*, 2013). For instance, reimbursement occurs after a product is dispensed in the UK based on the drug tariff or the manufacturers' price list (Ranson *et al.*, 2018). On occasion, products may be procured at a much higher cost than the drug tariff or manufacturer's shortage list, which may increase the impact of the shortage. These issues hinder the ability to determine practical strategies to curb the effects of medicine shortages (Yarson *et al.*, 2021). The above-identified factors denote the need to address medicine shortages from an operations and SC management perspective, which has received limited scrutiny in existing studies.

2.2 Supply chain resilience: complex adaptive system perspective of proactive and reactive capabilities

SC resilience within literature has been posited to curb the impact of disruptive activities (Brandon-Jones *et al.*, 2014; Pettit *et al.*, 2019; Polyviou *et al.*, 2020). It is based on its adaptive capacity to continue operations during disruptions. Antecedents of SC resilience have been broadly identified to include flexible operations, visibility and collaboration (Sabahi and Parast, 2020). These antecedents can be either reactive, employed after a disruption or proactive in anticipation of a disruption (Kamalahmadi *et al.*, 2022).

Flexibility refers to a firm's ability to adjust to the needs of stakeholders and the environment with minimum effort and reduced time. It has been emphasised as the critical driver of SC resilience (Fayezi *et al.*, 2017). In most cases, this may entail speeding up production processes, ramping supply and reducing lead times. However, the SC's configuration (number of suppliers, buyers, competition among suppliers) may not make this strategy effective. For instance, with limited suppliers, as in the case of the PSC (Iyengar *et al.*, 2016), flexible operations may not be effective. Adopting flexible processes may encroach on alternate SCs, thus increasing complexity. Similarly, Kamalahmadi *et al.* (2022) showed that flexible operations were less effective in cost reduction and service level improvement.

SC collaboration requires firms to work successfully together for mutual benefits. This strategy encourages trust-building, information, resource and joint decision-making. Empirical evidence suggests incorporating collaborative practices as a reactive strategy to reap its efficacy (Hendry *et al.*, 2019; Silva and Reul, 2022). An example of PSC collaboration is the Serious Shortages Protocol (SSP) (PSNC, 2019) set up in the UK to tackle medicine shortages. The SSP facilitates a collaborative decision process where inputs from PSC actors are required to curb the impact of medicine shortages. It provides an avenue to respond to a shortage by rationing quantities through more dialogue between the government, health professionals and suppliers to inform the nature and longevity of the SSP. SC visibility entails sharing information across the SC and is emphasised as an outcome of collaborative efforts (Yarosan *et al.*, 2021). Visibility implies that manufacturers have complete information about the position of their assets within a SC environment. Overreactions are pertinent in mitigating unproductive decisions, risky and unnecessary interventions, and in other cases, these antecedents (flexible operations, visibility and collaboration) can be either proactive or reactive (Jia *et al.*, 2020; Shekarian and Melat Parast, 2020; Ozdemir *et al.*, 2022). However, the literature is evasive on what constitutes proactive and reactive capabilities.

Some studies have focussed on SC resilience's proactive capabilities, which follow the SC's ability to prepare and plan for a disruption. It involves developing the capacity to recognise, anticipate, defend and resist adverse consequences that may occur (Sá *et al.*, 2019). Adopting these strategies allows the SC to recover from or resist disruption by containment or avoidance. Other studies examine SC resilience reactive elements, which include stabilising disruptive impacts and returning to normal operations. Some disadvantages may be the costs associated with these strategies. Also, some reactive strategies are time-bound and may be unable to manage the impact of the disruption. It may lead to adverse effects, as in the case of PSC, which may lead to a patient's death. However, there are limited empirical studies to identify when SC resilience strategies are either reactive or proactive (Hendry *et al.*, 2019). Integrating these perspectives may be pertinent in a unique SC, such as the PSC (Wieland and Durach, 2021; Queiroz *et al.*, 2022). From this lens, Yarosan *et al.* (2021) reported that PSC resilience should be approached from a complex adaptive system perspective where resilience involves adaptability to disruptions. The building of resilience strategies in SCs has been viewed through the Complex Adaptive System CAS lens (Tukamuhabwa *et al.*, 2017; Yarosan *et al.*, 2021). CAS are depicted as complex systems comprising multiple dimensions and resources, including the adaptive capacities of stakeholders (Choi *et al.*, 2001; Holland, 2006). It implies that interactions with stakeholders in tumultuous environments may produce unintended outcomes.

2.3 The outcomes of resilience strategies

Relational practices also affect SC resilience outcomes (Wieland and Wallenburg, 2013). A SCs' relational quality features include trust, commitment, joint decision-making and mutual risk-sharing (Chowdhury *et al.*, 2019). Conversely, weak relational capabilities among

SC actors foster partner dissatisfaction and behavioural uncertainty. SC partner satisfaction refers to feeling equity within a SC relationship (Essig and Amann, 2009). Partner dissatisfaction impedes collaborative practices and affects SC resilience impact. For instance, increased partner satisfaction increases collaboration, an essential ingredient of SC resilience. In the same view, partner dissatisfaction may inhibit resilience. Therefore, understanding elements that breed partner dissatisfaction is essential in SC resilience discussions. Benton and Maloni (2005) showed that partner asymmetry increased partner dissatisfaction through control.

Similarly, strategic alliance as a multi-dimensional concept denotes a relationship between two or more firms in the SC (He *et al.*, 2020). It is formed based on the agreed degree of integration and underlying contractual agreements. Strategic alliances are suggested to facilitate SC resilience since they increase trust and facilitate information sharing through agreed mutual goals (Chen *et al.*, 2019). Strategic partnerships offer significant benefits. It also induces relationship dissatisfaction and opportunistic behaviours (Gallear *et al.*, 2015). It may be particularly evident when building resilience strategies influenced by the complexities of product design.

Product design requires configuring products and their components to provide function, aesthetics and durability (Walsh, 1988; Khan *et al.*, 2008). Product design is critical in supply decisions (Wagner and Neshat, 2012) and may affect the outcome of SC resilience. For instance, Khan *et al.* (2008) highlighted the importance of product design in examining SC risk. However, other studies consider product design an element of SC complexity (Wagner and Bode, 2006; Bode and Macdonald, 2017). In such scenarios, building SC resilience mitigates associated product design complexities (Tukamuhabwa *et al.*, 2017). As such, this study also examines the capacity of product design to influence resilience strategies when tackling medicine shortages.

2.4 Empirical evidence linking disruptions and SC resilience

The tenets of SC resilience suggest that the impacts of disruptive events can be mitigated if SCs possess the capability to prepare, plan, resist, recover and/or return to regular operation (Ponomarov and Holcomb, 2009; Jüttner and Maklan, 2011; Tukamuhabwa *et al.*, 2017; Hendry *et al.*, 2019). Following a systematic approach, a summary of the literature examining the link between SC resilience and disruptive activities, the methodological approaches and relevant elements of SC resilience are presented in Table 1. The table showed that research conducted on SC resilience and disruptive events included terrorism (Stecke and Kumar, 2009), financial crises (Jüttner and Maklan, 2011), rare-harvest disruptions (Behzadi *et al.*, 2017), constitutional changes (Hendry *et al.*, 2019) and more recently COVID-19 pandemic (El-Baz and Ruel, 2021; Ramanathan *et al.*, 2021; Queiroz *et al.*, 2022; Silva and Ruel, 2022). Limited studies have investigated the effect of resilience strategies in a specialised SC, such as the PSC, with a specific interest in medicine shortages. Ward and Hargaden (2019) and Tucker *et al.* (2020) examined what resilience strategies could mitigate medicine shortages without considering their effect. Existing studies broadly categorise resilience strategies as flexibility, collaboration and velocity (Jüttner and Maklan, 2011; Purvis *et al.*, 2016). However, research reporting the impact of reactive and proactive elements of SC resilience on outcomes is limited (Thun and Hoening, 2011; Butt and Shah, 2020).

Table 1 also shows the limited use of the mixed-method approach in the existing studies. This study considers the mixed-method technique robust. It provides breadth, depth and more rounded information (Creswell, 2016). This study extends empirical literature by examining the outcome of SC resilience strategies in mitigating medicine shortages using a mixed-methods approach.

Authors	Disruption focus	Methodology	Resilience strategies
Jüttner and Maklan (2011)	Financial crises	Qualitative/ Longitudinal case study	Flexibility, collaboration, and Velocity
Thun and Hoenig (2011)	Automobile firms	Quantitative	Reactive and proactive measures of resilience
Thomas <i>et al.</i> (2014)	Manufacturing firms using mixed methods	Mixed research approach	FOM resilience model
Falkowski (2015)	The agro-food supply chain	Quantitative	Flexibility
Purvis <i>et al.</i> (2016)	Financial crises/business cycle in beverage manufacturing firms	Qualitative	Agility, flexibility and leanness
Behzadi <i>et al.</i> (2017)	Rare high-impact harvest disruptions in agriculture firms	Simulation	Robust and Mixed resilience strategies
Hendry <i>et al.</i> (2019)	Constitutional change (Brexit) in agri-supply chains	Qualitative	Vertical and horizontal collaboration
Ward and Hargaden (2019)	Medicine shortages in pharmaceutical supply chains	Quantitative	Collaboration flexibility, visibility
Tucker <i>et al.</i> (2020)	Medicine shortages in pharmaceutical supply chains	Simulation	Managerial decisions
El Baz and Ruel (2021)	COVID-19 pandemic in French firms	Quantitative	Risk management strategies
Moosavi and Hosseini (2021)	COVID-19 pandemic	Simulation	Prepositioning extra-inventory Back up supplier Robustness
Ramanathan <i>et al.</i> (2021)	COVID-19, food supply chain in the UK	Mixed methods	
Queiroz <i>et al.</i> (2022)	COVID-19 pandemic emerging economy perspective	Quantitative	Resource reconfiguration Supply chain disruption orientation

Table 1. Empirical evidence examining the link between disruptions and supply chain resilience

Source(s): Adapted from Yaroson (2019)

Despite the body of SC resilience literature discussed above, no studies address the effect of these resilience strategies (flexibility, collaboration and strategic alliances) (Tukamuhabwa *et al.*, 2017). This research thus contributes to this aspect of SC resilience literature.

The following section provides an overview of the methodology employed in answering our RQs.

3. Methodology

This study examined the forms of PSC resilience strategies adopted in mitigating medicine shortages and the impact of these strategies. A mixed-method approach which involved the use of qualitative and quantitative methods within a single study (Creswell, 2016), was used to answer the questions posed in this study. The mixed-method approach was chosen as it provides a rigorous methodology and additional empirical insights into PSC resilience. For instance, the qualitative methods enabled an in-depth exploration of how resilience strategies were used in combating the impact of medicine shortages. In addition, qualitative techniques are appropriate for exploring emerging concepts (Creswell, 2016), as seen in PSC resilience. The quantitative method confirmed these findings from a broader perspective and enabled triangulation to ensure the validity of the results. Thus, the identified outcomes of resilience strategies in this study were explored and explained by gathering information from multiple sources (Golicic and Davis, 2012; Creswell, 2016; Venkatesh *et al.*, 2016).

This study involved a two-phased sequential exploratory research process using qualitative followed by quantitative techniques to collect and analyse the data. The research approach was conducted under the pragmatism paradigm, which posits that social reality can be explained based on information (Creswell and Poth, 2016). A summary of the research design is provided in Figure 1.

3.1 Qualitative research design

The first phase involved qualitative research design. Here, data were collected using semi-structured interviews with 23 actors of managerial capacity at various UK PSC levels. The aim was to explore the forms of resilience strategies used to mitigate medicine shortages and the corresponding outcomes. The nature of the sample was based on UK's PSC design, where there are limited manufacturers and wholesalers as compared to hospital and community pharmacists. It was also pertinent that interviews were conducted across the various levels of the PSC to examine and determine the interrelatedness of resilience strategies across the PSC. The sample size comprised five manufacturers, one pre-wholesaler, two logistic service providers, five hospital pharmacists, six community pharmacists, one pharmacist working in a general practitioner (GP) practice and three participants representing various regulatory bodies. No additional information was generated after the 23rd response. As such, information saturation was reached by the 23rd respondent (Morse, 1994). The interviews were collected between June and September 2018. A summary of research respondents is provided in Table 2.

An interview protocol developed from existing studies guided the data collection process at this stage. The protocol contained twenty (20) questions about medicine shortages, disruptions, vulnerabilities and resilience strategies. The interviews lasted between 30 and 60 min. Digitally recorded and subsequently transcribed data were analysed using thematic analyses following a six-step process by Clarke and Braun (2014). The lead researcher generated the initial themes. Various researchers across Pharmacy and Operations Management disciplines further validated these. This approach minimised bias and improved the study's validity (Corbin and Strauss, 2014). Secondly, these themes supported by established literature were used to design the quantitative phase's survey instrument.




Figure 1. Research process

Participant type	Number of interviews	Participant type identifier	Participant roles	Years of experience		
Manufacturer	5	MFC	Director Packaging and Sales	4		
		MFC	Global Business Product Development	5		
		MFC	Head of Supply Chain and Operations	15		
		MFC	Head of Supply Chain and Operations	9		
		MFC	Head of Supply Chain and Procurement	20		
Pre-Wholesaler	1	PWS	Operations Manager for Procurement	20		
Wholesaler and Logistic Service Providers	2	LSP	Operations Manager	20		
		LSP		3		
Community Pharmacists	6	COMM	Superintendent Pharmacists	18		
		COMM	Superintendent Pharmacists	44		
		COMM	Superintendent Pharmacists	15		
		COMM	Head of Buying/Group Pharmacists	17		
		COMM	Superintendent Pharmacists	16		
		COMM	Superintendent Pharmacists	5		
		Hospital Pharmacists	5	HOSP	Procurement Specialists	25
				HOSP	Regional Procurement Specialist Officer	31.5
HOSP	Regional Procurement Specialist Officer			17		
HOSP	Regional Procurement Specialist Officer			20		
HOSP	Regional Procurement Specialist Officer			37		
Other Pharmacists	1	GP	GP Practice	12		
Regulatory Bodies	3	REG	Director of Supply Chain	15		
		REG	Economic Director Primary and Secondary Care	7		
		REG	Principal Pharmacists	1.5		

Table 2. Profile of participants in the qualitative data collection phase

3.2 Qualitative data analysis and hypothesis development

The analysed data showed that the resilience strategies used to mitigate the impact of medicine shortages were either reactive and/or proactive strategies. The reactive approach included flexible operations, increased visibility and collaborative decision-making, whilst proactive strategies involved strategic alliances. A summary of the impact of resilience strategies adopted in the UK PSC and the corresponding results are presented in [Table 3](#).

3.2.1 Product design influences resilience strategies. Also highlighted in the analysis were the characteristics of pharmaceutical products that influenced how resilience strategies curbed medicine shortages. For instance, a research respondent explained the challenges faced in stockpiling pharmaceutical products due to shorter shelf lives, cost intensity and/or

Antecedents of PSC resilience	Identified strategies	Positive implications	Negative implications	Resilience strategies' impact on UK's PSC
Flexibility	Product flexibility	Ability to meet patients' demand	Adverse drug reaction Stress on alternative PSCs Increased PSC complexity Stress on human resources	
	Supplier flexibility	Ability to recover from a shortage and meet patients' demand	Supply chain complexity Increased prices Costly alternatives	
Joint decision-making	Joint meetings and collaborative strategies	Ability to ramp up production Delegation of strategies Source for alternatives	Panic buying Partner dissatisfaction	
Visibility	Product visibility	Ability to plan for medicine shortages	Panic buying	
	Information visibility	Ability to prepare for and recover from the shortage	Panic buying Behavioural uncertainty Absence of trust Information quality and timing	
Proactive strategies	Strategic alliances	Information sharing Infrastructure sharing Buffer stock Increased use of technological infrastructure	Partner dissatisfaction Monopolistic behaviour	

691

Table 3.
Implications of resilience strategies in addressing medicine shortages

Source(s): Adapted from [Yarosan et al. \(2021\)](#)

changing patients' treatment regimens. Another respondent explained that the manufacturing of pharmaceutical products required a high level of expertise and investment, which limited the number of manufacturers. It was also pointed out by [Narayana et al. \(2014\)](#) and [Rahman et al. \(2020\)](#). Similarly, if decisions around pharmaceutical products are treated as other commodities, their quality may be undermined. Thus, the development of PSC resilience strategies was primarily defined by the design of pharmaceutical products; understanding the criticality and nature of pharmaceutical companies is essential. To this end, the study proposes that.

H1a. Product design significantly influences reactive strategies in the PSC

H1b. Product design significantly influences proactive strategies in the PSC

3.2.2 Reactive strategies influence relational capabilities. In discussing flexible operations, respondents often mentioned their need to seek alternative products (product flexibility) when a shortage occurred. It was either in form, volume, or presentation (tablets/injections). At other times they sought alternative suppliers (supplier flexibility). Flexible operations also differed at various levels in the PSC. For example, the community and hospital pharmacists (patient-facing PSC actors) demonstrated flexibility by substituting alternative medicinal products, whilst manufacturers sought alternative suppliers. However, all PSC actors echoed the ineffective ability of this strategy to meet patients' demands. It was because substituting

medicines sometimes led to patients' having adverse drug reactions, exerted pressure on alternative SCs and increased PSC complexity. As well as this, the need to source and trade with backup suppliers was a costly alternative.

Our analysis identified visibility as another element of the reactive strategy employed to curb medicine shortages. Visibility in these instances included product and information visibility, where information sharing increased visibility. Information technology (IT) platforms enhanced product visibility. The respondents from our sample explained that information about shortages was often shared through national alert websites, joint decision-making meetings and conference bulletins.

If manufacturing plants have an issue, or our safety stock is depleting, we manage this as quickly as possible through national alerts on products. MFC3

Our respondents also highlighted issues regarding information sharing, which included the timing and the quality of information shared, which were often insufficient to facilitate effective planning. As explained in the supporting statement below:

Manufacturers are not allowed to talk to each other . . . it is anti-competitive and has room for potential collusion. We cannot talk to others regarding anything commercial. What we have is an honest broker, such as the Commercial Medicines Unit. However, you get a lot of misinformation and confusion. I think many people feel frustrated because they get blamed for the action of others. MFC1

The IT platforms permitted PSC actors to view where their products were in the SC, thus enabling them to plan for and respond to a disruption. However, information sharing was sometimes detrimental to building resilience strategies because it could lead to PSC actors engaging in unethical business practices such as stockpiling. Detrimental outcomes such as stockpiling generated mistrust and hindered future information sharing.

If we notice a gap in supply, then we may do several things. The first one is to control the number of stocks in the UK, which will mean bringing the stock to a central point so we can manage the stock. MFC1

Another argument regarding adopting reactive strategies is the inability of SC partners to understand market demand.

The downside is that these manufacturers do not understand market demand. So, it is highly variable and volatile. This leads to problems of forecasting and visibility. HOSP3

Collaborative decision-making involved joint meetings with PSC actors to decide on collaborative strategies to meet demand, including sourcing alternatives, production ramp-up and strategy delegation. However, the meetings could lead to panic buying, conflict and partner dissatisfaction. For instance, the patient-facing PSC actors explained that they engaged in stockpiling to meet patient demand when they were notified of shortages. Upstream PSC actors, as interview respondents, explained that panic buying/stockpiling was avoided by adopting rationing/quota strategies resulting in conflicts and partner dissatisfaction. All PSC actors argued that they engaged in such practices to ensure patient treatment continuity, as presented in the statement below.

A lot of time, manufacturers try not to tell you there will be a problem because if they inform us, we tend to buy up all the stock. It is a selfish process, and panic buys as they assume a shortage will create shortages. COMM 2

Following this analysis, we propose that.

H2a. Reactive strategies significantly increased partner dissatisfaction in the PSC

H2b. Reactive strategies significantly increased behavioural uncertainty in the PSC

3.2.3 *Proactive strategies influenced relational capabilities in the PSC.* Our findings also identified resource sharing facilitated through strategic alliances as a PSC proactive resilience strategy.

We find out that we have not been hit with these shortages as other companies would have because we have contacts with our suppliers who give us heads up . . . a lot of smaller independent companies struggle. LSP1

Proactive strategies depicted through resource sharing facilitated PSC actors' capability to plan and prepare for medicine shortages. These alliances permitted infrastructure sharing, such as warehouses and technological know-how. It was evident that trust existed between SC partners as they were allowed to stockpile. The qualitative phase findings also showed that resilience strategies' impact on medicine shortages might be altered if opportunistic behaviours occur, which may lead to behavioural uncertainties. The theory of opportunism suggests dysfunctional activities within a SC. The complexity of the product design and the number of suppliers in the PSC was attributed to the increased monopolistic behaviours when strategic alliances were formed. It is supported by extant literature which suggests possible adverse behaviours in complex strategic partnerships (He *et al.*, 2020, 2021). Also, smaller individual firms suffered, thus leading to partner dissatisfaction.

Similarly, as a result of the complex adaptive system, mistrust occurred when information was shared strictly with strategic partners, leading to unpredictable behaviours among SC actors. Following these, we propose that.

H3a. Proactive strategies significantly increased partner dissatisfaction in the PSC

H3b. Proactive strategies significantly increased behavioural uncertainty in the PSC

A summary of the hypothesised relationships in this study is presented in [Figure 2](#).

3.3 *Quantitative research design and hypothesis testing*

The study sought to confirm the findings from the qualitative interview stage in the quantitative phase of this study. The survey instrument measured resilience constructs such as flexibility, visibility and some aspects of collaborative practices identified from the qualitative phase. Ten experts conversant with the UK's PSC pre-assessed the reliability and validity of the survey instrument. Participants were accessed using snowballing and purposive sampling techniques. These sampling techniques were chosen because




Figure 2. Structural model and hypothesis development

participants needed to fulfil specific criteria: (1) an in-depth knowledge of the strategies employed to curb medicine shortages' impact and (2) the capacity to employ these strategies (Saunders *et al.*, 2007). When participants who met these criteria were identified, they received a web survey link. Due to the niche area of this research, which aimed to investigate if resilience strategies aided in mitigating medicine shortages, a reduced number of participants met the study criteria. Several channels were used to distribute the survey, including social media platforms and professional bodies' bulletins. A total of 106 actors at various stages of the PSC participated in the study.

Data analyses were carried out using a two-step approach on Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) and SmartPLS. First, simple statistics such as frequencies identified the patterns and outliers of the data. The Cronbach's alpha, composite reliability and Average Variance Extracted (AVE) were used to assess the measurement models in the study. Second, the discriminant validity test (Heterotrait-Monotrait Ratio (HTMT) approach) was used to validate the identified structural paths. Our structural model analysis used the PLS method (PLS-SEM), a variance-based predictive approach employed, dealing with complex models (Sarstedt *et al.*, 2019). The data were triangulated using meta-inferences. The theoretical statements offered holistic explanations of the research phenomenon from the qualitative and quantitative findings (Venkatesh *et al.*, 2016). The study's findings and analysis are presented in the next section.

3.4 Quantitative phase and data analysis

This study phase sought to confirm the results from the qualitative phase and address the second RQ. As such, a literature-based model augmented with findings from the qualitative phase of the study was adopted. The survey questionnaire was used to collect data from 106 respondents within five categories of respondents of the PSC as used in the qualitative phase. The respondents who completed the questionnaire needed to oversee the decision-making process within their organisation. This study employed the two-step technique to analyse the quantitative data collected with SPSS 25.0 and SmartPLS (v.3.2.6) (Talwar *et al.*, 2020; Dhir *et al.*, 2021). Following the recommendations by Hair *et al.* (2019), the data were cleaned and coded, bringing the final dataset to 106 responses. Thus, the study involved ten manufacturers, five pre/wholesalers, four regulators, 57 secondary care and 30 primary care pharmacists. The disparity in the number of PSC actors' responses was expected since there are fewer manufacturers and regulators than primary and secondary care pharmacists in the PSC.

4. Results

4.1 Nonresponse bias and common method bias (CMB)

The *t*-test assessed the nonresponse bias between early and late respondents (Tsou and Hsu, 2015). The lack of statistical differences among the scale items demonstrated the absence of nonresponse bias. Using a single respondent in a cross-sectional survey generates CMB. In these instances, the link between the exogenous and endogenous variables is inflated, which may bias the results (Podsakoff and Organ, 1986). The possibility of CMB occurring was assessed using the complete collinearity variance inflation factors (VIF) (Kock, 2015; Hair *et al.*, 2019; Queiroz *et al.*, 2021) and the Harman's single factor test (Harman, 1976; Fuller *et al.*, 2016). The values for the VIF were all under 5 and the 27.5% cumulative average for Harman's single-factor test depicted the absence of CMB.

4.2 Reliability and validation of measurement scales

Existing literature on SC resilience suggests using a reflective measurement model to measure resilience antecedents (Wieland and Wallenburg, 2013; Golgeci *et al.*, 2018; Ivanov

and Dolgui, 2020). These propositions were validated by testing for item loadings. We established the reliability and validity of the variables using the overall Cronbach alpha scores, composite reliability test and the AVE. As depicted in Table 4, the outer loadings, the overall Cronbach alpha score and composite reliability exceeded the recommended 0.60 thresholds (Vaske *et al.*, 2017; Hair *et al.*, 2019).

The test scores suggest that this study's measurements of resilience outcomes were a good fit.

The discriminant validity test was also validated for the structural path (Fornell and Larcker, 1981). The requirement is that the AVE square root for each construct should be higher than its correlation and these values are less than 0.95. As shown in Table 5, the values are below 0.95, reflecting that these study's items measured their intended constructs.

4.3 Hypothesis testing

The hypotheses were tested using the variance-based SEM: PLS method (PLS: PLS-SEM). The PLS-SEM approach was considered suitable due to its predictive nature, ability to deal with complex models and suitability for studies with small sample sizes (Nitzl, 2018; Hair *et al.*, 2019; Sarstedt *et al.*, 2019). These criteria reflected our research aim, which was geared to achieve predictability and our small sample size of 106. Thus, following our assessment of the reliability and validity of the measurement model, the structural model was examined to test the proposed hypothesis (Nitzl, 2018). The PLS-SEM path coefficient of 500 replications was used to investigate the relationship among the variables using SmartPLS. The statistical data of the hypothesis testing are presented in Table 6.

According to the analysis, product design significantly affects proactive and reactive strategies ($\beta = 0.551, p = 0.000$; $\beta = 0.656, p = 0.000$). Thus, H1a and H1b are supported, indicating the influence of product design on PSC resilience. The findings do not support H3a and H3b ($\beta = 0.124, p = 0.422$; $\beta = -0.148, p = 0.433$), where proactive strategies do not significantly influence behavioural uncertainty and partner dissatisfaction. The relationship between reactive strategies and PSC partner dissatisfaction is positive and significant ($\beta = 0.574, p = 0.000$). Also, higher reactive strategies increase behavioural uncertainty ($\beta = 0.545, p = 0.000$), thus supporting (H2a). From Table 6, the structural path results confirm some of our qualitative phase findings. Figure 3 provides a summary of the hypothesis testing. These findings depict the influence of PSC product design in developing resilience strategies which invariably affects the PSC.

5. Discussion and implications

This research examined the outcomes of resilience strategies when managing medicine shortages. The analysed data from the qualitative and quantitative phases showed that PSC actors adopted reactive and proactive strategies to tackle medicine shortages. Flexible operations, collaborative practices and visibility were categorised as reactive strategies and employed after shortages. Flexibility helped PSC actors promptly address the supply gap to meet patients' needs. The prevalent flexibility types identified involved using an alternative formulation or strength, a generic equivalent, or a therapeutic equivalent, referred to as form/volume flexibility. This finding aligns with studies highlighting flexibility as an antecedent of resilience (Sabahi and Parast, 2020; Kamalahmadi *et al.*, 2022). It demonstrates the need for flexibility in curbing critical disruptions (Queiroz *et al.*, 2021).

The findings establish flexible operations as a short term-solution to resilience in the PSC. It is not sustainable due to the cost of sourcing an alternative product, structure or supplier (Fayezi *et al.*, 2017; Kamalahmadi *et al.*, 2022). Similarly, due to the peculiarity of pharmaceutical products, flexible operations can apply pressure on substitute products or SCs. It can also put additional stress on human resources, defeating the purpose of resilience (Tukamuhabwa *et al.*, 2017; Bodie *et al.*, 2018). The hypothesised relationship in this study

Construct	Items	Loadings	Cronbach's alpha (α)	Composite reliability	AVE
<i>Behavioural Uncertainty (BU)</i>					
BU1	We do not have confidence in our SC partners' actions	0.809	0.784	0.869	0.689
BU2	Our supply chain partners encounter significant disruptions	0.863			
BU3	Our SC partners prevent us from doing what we want to do	0.818			
<i>Partner Dissatisfaction (PDS)</i>					
PDS1	We do not trust our supply chain partners	0.908	0.908	0.935	0.784
PDS2	Our supply chain partners do not understand the market demand	0.915			
PDS3	Generally, we are not satisfied with our overall relationships with our suppliers	0.862			
PDS4	There is no feeling of fairness with our supply chain partners	0.859			
<i>Product Design (PSCD)</i>					
PSCD1	We depend on the use of regulated or restricted materials	0.867	0.752	0.858	0.668
PSCD2	Production of our products is very complex	0.779			
PSCD3	Our products require strict storage or handling controls to maintain their purity and/or integrity that may cause delay	0.805			
<i>Reactive Strategies (RAS)</i>					
RAS1	There is access to alternative supply chain partners	0.811	0.896	0.920	0.658
RAS2	There is access to alternative products	0.832			
RAS3	We have access to tracking information throughout the SC	0.862			
RAS4	We have access to tracking materials throughout the supply chain	0.780			
RAS5	We have joint decisions with our SC partners when working on solutions	0.836			
RAS6	Joint employee training with other supply chain partners	0.737			
<i>Proactive Strategies (PRS)</i>					
PRS1	Our SC partners share their resources with us	0.881	0.852	0.909	0.769
PRS2	We share resources with our SC partners	0.902			
PRS3	We share resources internally	0.848			

Table 4.
Reliability and
validity tests

confirmed that partner dissatisfaction is an implication for flexible operations. It may emanate from increased medication errors and the additional workload for PSC actors.

Joint decision-making as a collaborative practice was also necessary for addressing the impact of medicine shortages. In these instances, PSC actors jointly decided on ways to increase production, engage idle capacity and delegate strategies to address shortages and meet patients' demands. However, these activities bred panic buying and increased PSC partner dissatisfaction and conflict. The threat of an adverse reaction from PSC actors concerning information on impending shortages restricted the timing and quality of information shared. This action created a potential barrier to information visibility, as vulnerable firms could regard

Table 5. Structural path measurements

	Behavioural uncertainty	Product design	Dissatisfaction	Proactive strategies	Reactive strategies
Behavioural Uncertainty	<i>0.830</i>				
Product Design	0.563	<i>0.818</i>			
Dissatisfaction	0.817	0.385	<i>0.885</i>		
Proactive Strategies	0.578	0.551	0.33	<i>0.877</i>	
Reactive Strategies	0.649	0.656	0.451	0.834	<i>0.811</i>

Note(s): *Values in italic depict discriminant validity

Table 6. Hypothesis testing

Structural paths	Coefficients	T statistics	Hypothesis testing
Product Design → Proactive Strategies	0.551	8.64***	H1a Supported
Product Design → Reactive Strategies	0.656	11.558***	H1b Supported
Reactive Strategies → Behavioural Uncertainty	0.545	3.803***	H2a Supported
Reactive Strategies → PSC Dissatisfaction	0.574	3.408**	H2b Supported
Proactive Strategies → Behavioural Uncertainty	0.124	0.804	H3a Not Supported
Proactive Strategies → PSC Dissatisfaction	-0.148	0.785	H3b Not supported




Figure 3. Summary of hypothesis testing

the release of information as a threat to their survival (Katsaliaki et al., 2021). It provides possible explanations for the behavioural uncertainties of PSC actors.

In addition, the time required to pass on information to the relevant stakeholders and the dissemination of actions to curb the disruption may impact resilience abilities. Take, for instance, the case of the SSP in the UK (PSNC, 2019). The SSP facilitates a collaborative decision process to curb the impact of medicine shortages. It is cumbersome as the inputs required from several SC actors may be time-consuming. Thus, before deciding on how to handle a shortage jointly, there may have been whispers of the impending shortage. These whispers might lead to panic buying by SC actors. Hence, for joint decision-making to effectively mitigate the impact of medicine shortages, it has to be timely (Ponomarov and Holcomb, 2009; Tukamuhabwa et al., 2017; Hendry et al., 2019).

Similarly, the findings identified proactive strategies as a necessary resilience capability for curbing medicine shortages. It involved forming strategic alliances with PSC actors before the disruption. These formed strategic alliances included sharing tangible and intangible resources. It facilitated information sharing, increased trust, enhanced goal alignment and satisfaction with partners, which helped curb the impact of medicine shortages. The hypothesised link (H3a and H3b) of a positive influence of strategic alliances on opportunistic behaviours and partnership satisfaction was rejected ($\beta = 0.124, p = 0.422$; $\beta = -0.148, p = 0.433$). It implies that strategic alliances mitigate medicine shortages and do not increase opportunistic behaviours and partner dissatisfaction. These findings contradict the studies by He *et al.* (2021), who suggest possible adverse outcomes when strategic alliances are employed in complex situations. It demonstrates the benefits of strategic partnerships in building PSC resilience and tackling medicine shortages. These findings corroborate a prior study by Min (2015). They showed that strategic alliances based on joint decisions helped firms achieve agreed goals and share resources, information, profits, knowledge and risks.

Also, the results showed that pharmaceutical product design influenced the resilience strategies adopted to tackle medicine shortages. It follows the argument that the resilience strategies adopted in managing disruptions are limited due to the nature of pharmaceutical products (Yaroson *et al.*, 2021). Therefore, more efforts should be considered when designing pharmaceutical products to ensure resilience. These include developing and using blockbuster technologies, such as 3D and additive manufacturing, to manufacture pharmaceuticals. These can reduce lead time and be more cost-effective.

A closer scrutiny of the analysed data showed that, in most cases, PSC resilience resulted from SC actors' interactions and decision-making processes in response to medicine shortages. These interactions suggest developing resilience strategies systemically (throughout the SC) and not in parts (individual firms) (Sá *et al.*, 2019; Yaroson *et al.*, 2021). Also, the decision of a PSC actor to build resilience strategies in response to disruption could result in further exposure of the PSC to the impact of disruption. These responses to decisions by PSC actors are influenced mainly by the PSC's complex production process and stringent regulations.

5.1 Theoretical implications

Theoretically, this research extends the literature on medicine shortages and SC resilience. First, it provides empirical evidence of resilience strategies' contribution to mitigating medicine shortages' impact. The findings also strengthen the arguments for the presence of reactive and proactive resilience strategies as complex adaptive systems. Similarly, some reactive processes, such as flexibility, were temporary solutions with little long-term resilience-building capacity. In particular, the study highlights the detrimental effect building resilience strategies could sometimes have on the PSC. For instance, joint decision-making as a reactive strategy led to uncertain behaviours, which had a detrimental impact on the PSC. The findings thus extend PSC resilience literature by highlighting the benefits and detriments of building resilience strategies when mitigating medicine shortages.

Secondly, this study advocates for structural rather than volume or supplier flexibility in tackling medicine shortages. Structural flexibility includes being prepared to share assets such as factories, distribution centres and transportation with other companies to create economies of scale. Also, developing flexible labour arrangements with little or no penalty will increase structural flexibility and help meet demand swings anytime.

Thirdly, the findings also extend the debate on strategic alliances in building SC resilience to show its ability to tackle monopolistic behaviours, especially in complex SC settings.

Finally, this research offers a methodological contribution to SC resilience literature by adopting a mixed-methods approach to investigate the complex relationship between resilience strategies and medicine shortages mitigation. Due to the lack of literature, this study provides empirical evidence through a mixed-methods approach in SC resilience literature.

5.2 Practical implications

Regarding practical implications, the findings highlighted the importance of reactive and proactive strategies in building the UK's PSC resilience to medicine shortages. The complexities and added costs of reactive strategy make this a short-term endeavour. It implies that in mitigating medicine shortages, proactive dimensions are critical. In this respect, managers and PSC actors should build proactive capacities and dedicate resources to sustaining them. For instance, integrating IT systems across PSC actors would facilitate information sharing and increase the alertness of impending threats and transparency of stock levels. As such, it would help reduce behavioural uncertainty and partner dissatisfaction. Business analytics tools such as big data and artificial intelligence can predict disruptions and the outcomes of decision-making processes. These tools can also prescribe techniques necessary for increasing resilience strategies. However, SC actors' willingness to develop and implement this IT is critical to its success.

The findings also suggest that when tackling medicine shortages, managers and decision-makers are strongly advised to manage firms' resources in ways that support partner satisfaction. It is particularly critical in relational transactions to mitigate behavioural uncertainty and partner dissatisfaction incidences. Specifically, a more systematic and formal way of strategic alliances should be adopted to check monopolistic behaviours and facilitate trust, commitment and collaborative practices. The findings demonstrate the importance of managers and decision-makers in building PSC resilience. Thus, in tackling medicine shortages, PSC actors, including managers, directors and VPs, need to consider how adopted resilience impacts PSC resilience. To this effect, the impact of decisions should be appraised through decision models that permit the examination of actions' impact on underlying PSC features. Also, a centralised information broker should be set up to help share information among PSC actors. The broker's role should be to analyse the actions and decisions of PSC actors and prompt information disbursement. For instance, when manufacturers decide to use flexible operations in tackling medicine shortages, the centralised broker should analyse the impact of this decision on patient safety and make recommendations. Also, when regulatory bodies develop regulations, the centralised broker could analyse these regulations by forecasting the impending impact on medicine flow. They also offer necessary steps to ensure it does not disrupt the medicine flow. It can be achieved by circulating informative materials about the consequences of their actions. It will also serve as a form of checking and balance of the excesses of actors in the PSC.

6. Concluding remarks, limitations and opportunities for further research

6.1 Conclusion

This study investigated the contributions and effect of resilience strategies in mitigating medicine shortages in the UK's PSC. It adopted a mixed-method research design. Data were generated using semi-structured interviews and questionnaires from 23 to 106 SC actors. The findings addressed the two main RQs posed at the beginning of this study. First, reactive and proactive strategies were identified as significant resilience strategies to mitigate the impact of medicine shortages. Secondly, these strategies produced mixed outcomes in the PSC. For instance, when flexible operations were used to increase the medicine supply, PSC resilience was temporarily increased. However, it propelled partner dissatisfaction.

In the same way, joint decision-making among SC actors enhanced information sharing. It also fostered behavioural uncertainty, such as panic buying. This study contributes to PSC resilience research in two ways. (1) provides empirical evidence on the forms of resilience strategies used to mitigate dynamic disruptions such as medicine shortages' impact and (2) highlights how these strategies impact the PSC.

6.2 Limitations and opportunities for future research

This study, like most other studies, has its limitations. These limitations, however, paradoxically present opportunities for future research. For instance, this study focussed on the relationship between resilience and medicine shortages' impact, with a particular focus on the UK PSC, which may be limiting. Future studies should replicate our study whilst considering different PSCs to highlight patterns that may have been overlooked.

Further, the data collected in this study was for a fixed period. A longitudinal study examining PSC resilience over several disruptive activities may provide in-depth insight into PSC resilience. This study is also limited as there was no consideration for various medicine classifications. It would be desirable if the study were extended to focus on medicines like biosimilars or vaccines to understand the outcomes of resilience strategies when disruptions happen.

Finally, although data for this study were collected from PSC actors at various levels, the focus was on manufacturers, wholesalers, pharmacists and regulatory bodies. Future research should consider other PSC actors, including packaging, pricing, logistic service providers and warehousing. This study's approach may be constrained as it failed to capture the interrelatedness and complexity of the PSC.

References

- Aldrichetti, R., Battini, D., Ivanov, D. and Zennaro, I. (2021), "Costs of resilience and disruptions in supply chain network design models: a review and future research directions", *International Journal of Production Economics*, Vol. 235, 103108.
- Ambulkar, S., Blackhurst, J. and Grawe, S. (2015), "Firm's resilience to supply chain disruptions: scale development and empirical examination", *Journal of Operations Management*, Vol. 33.
- American Society of Health-System Pharmacists (ASHP) (2022), "Drug shortages list", available at: <https://www.ashp.org/Drug-Shortages/Current-Shortages/Drug-Shortages-List?page=CurrentShortages> (accessed 22 January 2022).
- Baghersad, M. and Zobel, C.W. (2021), "Assessing the extended impacts of supply chain disruptions on firms: an empirical study", *International Journal of Production Economics*, Vol. 231, 107862.
- Bastani, P., Dehghan, Z., Kashfi, S.M., Dorosti, H., Mohammadpour, M., Mehralian, G. and Ravangard, R. (2021), "Strategies to improve pharmaceutical supply chain resilience under politico-economic sanctions: the case of Iran", *Journal of Pharmaceutical Policy and Practice*, Vol. 14 No. 1, pp. 1-14.
- Beck, M., Buckley, J. and O'Reilly, S. (2020), "Managing pharmaceutical shortages: an overview and classification of policy responses in Europe and the USA", *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, Vol. 86 No. 4, pp. 622-640.
- Behzadi, G., O'Sullivan, M.J., Olsen, T.L., Scrimgeour, F. and Zhang, A. (2017), "Robust and resilient strategies for managing supply disruptions in an agribusiness supply chain", *International Journal of Production Economics*, Vol. 191, pp. 207-220.
- Benton, W.C. and Maloni, M. (2005), "The influence of power driven buyer/seller relationships on supply chain satisfaction", *Journal of Operations Management*, Vol. 23 No. 1, pp. 1-22.
- Bode, C. and Macdonald, J.R. (2017), "Stages of supply chain disruption response: direct, constraining, and mediating factors for impact mitigation", *Decision Sciences*, Vol. 48 No. 5, pp. 836-874.
- Bode, C. and Wagner, S.M. (2015), "Structural drivers of upstream supply chain complexity and the frequency of supply chain disruptions", *Journal of Operations Management*, Vol. 36, pp. 215-228.
- Bodie, B., Brodell, R.T. and Helms, S.E. (2018), "Shortage of lidocaine with epinephrine: causes and solutions", *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*, Vol. 79 No. 2, pp. 392-393.

-
- Brandon-Jones, E., Squire, B., Autry, C.W. and Petersen, K.J. (2014), "A contingent resource-based perspective of supply chain resilience and robustness", *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, Vol. 50 No. 3, pp. 55-73.
- Butt, A.S. and Shah, S.H.H. (2020), "Exploring potential implications of Belt and Road Initiative for supply chain resilience: a comparative study of five South Asian countries", *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, Vol. 28 No. 4, pp. 1335-1355.
- Chen, H.Y., Das, A. and Ivanov, D. (2019), "Building resilience and managing post-disruption supply chain recovery: lessons from the information and communication technology industry", *International Journal of Information Management*, Vol. 49, 330342.
- Chen, X., Li, S. and Wang, X. (2020), "Evaluating the effects of quality regulations on the pharmaceutical supply chain", *International Journal of Production Economics*, Vol. 230, 107770.
- Choi, T.Y., Dooley, K.J. and Rungtusanatham, M. (2001), "Supply networks and complex adaptive systems: control versus emergence", *Journal of Operations Management*, Vol. 19 No. 3, pp. 351-366.
- Chowdhury, M.M.H., Quaddus, M. and Agarwal, R. (2019), "Supply chain resilience for performance: role of relational practices and network complexities", *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, Vol. 24 No. 5, pp. 659-676.
- Clarke, V. and Braun, V. (2014), "Thematic analysis", *Encyclopedia of Critical Psychology*, Springer, New York, NY, pp. 1947-1952.
- Corbin, J. and Strauss, A. (2014), *Basics of Qualitative Research: Techniques and Procedures for Developing Grounded Theory*, Sage Publications.
- Craighead, C.W., Blackhurst, J., Rungtusanatham, M.J. and Handfield, R.B. (2007), "The severity of supply chain disruptions: design characteristics and mitigation capabilities", *Decision Sciences*, Vol. 38 No. 1, pp. 131-156.
- Creswell, J.W. (2016), "Reflections on the MMIRA the future of mixed methods task force report", *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, Vol. 10 No. 3, pp. 215-219.
- Creswell, J.W. and Poth, C.N. (2016), *Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches*, Sage Publications.
- De Weerd, E., Simoens, S., Casteels, M. and Huys, I. (2015), "Toward a European definition for a drug shortage: a qualitative study", *Frontiers in Pharmacology*, Vol. 6, p. 253.
- De Weerd, E., De Rijdt, T., Simoens, S., Casteels, M. and Huys, I. (2017a), "Time spent by Belgian hospital pharmacists on supply disruptions and drug shortages: an exploratory study", *PLoS One*, Vol. 12 No. 3, p. e0174556.
- De Weerd, E., Simoens, S., Casteels, M. and Huys, I. (2017b), "Clinical, economic and policy implications of drug shortages in the European Union", *Applied Health Economics and Health Policy*, Vol. 15 No. 4, pp. 441-445.
- Dhir, A., Sadiq, M., Talwar, S., Sakashita, M. and Kaur, P. (2021), "Why do retail consumers buy green apparel? A knowledge-attitude-behaviour-context perspective", *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, Vol. 59, 102398.
- El Baz, J. and Ruel, S. (2021), "Can supply chain risk management practices mitigate the disruption impacts on supply chains' resilience and robustness? Evidence from an empirical survey in a COVID-19 outbreak era", *International Journal of Production Economics*, Vol. 233, 107972.
- Ellis, S.C., Henry, R.M. and Shockley, J. (2010), "Buyer perceptions of supply disruption risk: a behavioral view and empirical assessment", *Journal of Operations Management*, Vol. 28 No. 1, pp. 34-46.
- Essig, M. and Amann, M. (2009), "Supplier satisfaction: conceptual basics and explorative findings", *Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management*, Vol. 15 No. 2, pp. 103-113.
- Falkowski, J. (2015), "Economic effects of reorganising an agro-food supply chain: some evidence from Poland", *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, Vol. 28 No. 5, p. 704.

- Fayezi, S., Zutshi, A. and O'Loughlin, A. (2017), "Understanding and development of supply chain agility and flexibility: a structured literature review", *International Journal of Management Reviews*, Vol. 19 No. 4, pp. 379-407.
- Fornell, C. and Larcker, D.F. (1981), "Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error", *Journal of Marketing Research*, Vol. 18 No. 1, pp. 39-50.
- Foster, T., Patel, P. and Skiba, K. (2021), "Four ways pharma companies can make their supply chains more resilient", available at: [Four ways pharma companies can make their supply chains more resilient | McKinsey](#)
- Fox, E.R., Sweet, B.V. and Jensen, V. (2014), "Drug shortages: a complex health care crisis", *Mayo Clinic Proceedings*, Vol. 89 No. 3, pp. 361-373, Elsevier, March.
- Fuller, C.M., Simmering, M.J., Atinc, G., Atinc, Y. and Babin, B.J. (2016), "Common methods variance detection in business research", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 69 No. 8, pp. 3192-3198.
- Gallar, D., Ghobadian, A. and He, Q. (2015), "The mediating effect of environmental and ethical behaviour on supply chain partnership decisions and management appreciation of supplier partnership risks", *International Journal of Production Research*, Vol. 53 No. 21, pp. 6455-6472.
- Gloor, C., Dantés, M., Graefenhain, E., Pantazis, A., Poole, J. and Pujol, J. (2013), "An evaluation of medicines shortages in europe with a more in-depth review of these in France, Greece, Poland, Spain, and the United Kingdom", *Bilgiri Report*.
- Gölgeci, I., Murphy, W.H. and Johnston, D.A. (2018), "Power-based behaviours in supply chains and their effects on relational satisfaction: a fresh perspective and directions for research", *European Management Journal*, Vol. 36 No. 2, pp. 278-287.
- Golicic, S.L. and Davis, D.F. (2012), "Implementing mixed methods research in supply chain management", *International Journal of Physical Distribution and Logistics Management*, Vol. 42 Nos 8-9, pp. 726-741.
- Habermann, M., Blackhurst, J. and Metcalf, A.Y. (2015), "Keep your friends close? Supply chain design and disruption risk", *Decision Sciences*, Vol. 46 No. 3, pp. 491-526.
- Hair, J.F., Risher, J.J., Sarstedt, M. and Ringle, C.M. (2019), "When to use and how to report the results of PLS-SEM", *European Business Review*, Vol. 31 No. 1, pp. 2-24.
- Harman, H.H. (1976), *Modern Factor Analysis*, University of Chicago Press.
- He, Q., Meadows, M., Angwin, D., Gomes, E. and Child, J. (2020), "Strategic alliance research in the era of digital transformation: perspectives on future research", *British Journal of Management*, Vol. 31 No. 3, pp. 589-617.
- He, Q., Meadows, M., Angwin, D., Gomes, E. and Child, J. (2021), "Problematising strategic alliance research: challenges, issues and paradoxes in the new era", *International Journal of Management Reviews*.
- Hendricks, K.B., Jacobs, B.W. and Singhal, V.R. (2020), "Stock market reaction to supply chain disruptions from the 2011 Great East Japan Earthquake", *Manufacturing and Service Operations Management*, Vol. 22 No. 4, pp. 683-699.
- Hendry, L.C., Stevenson, M., MacBryde, J., Ball, P., Sayed, M. and Liu, L. (2019), "Local food supply chain resilience to constitutional change: the Brexit effect", *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*, Vol. 39 No. 3, pp. 429-453.
- Holland, J.H. (2006), "Studying complex adaptive systems", *Journal of Systems Science and Complexity*, Vol. 19, pp. 1-8.
- Ivanov, D. (2020), "Predicting the impacts of epidemic outbreaks on global supply chains: a simulation-based analysis on the coronavirus outbreak (COVID-19/SARS-CoV-2) case", *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, Vol. 136, 101922.
- Ivanov, D. and Dolgui, A. (2020), "Viability of intertwined supply networks: extending the supply chain resilience angles towards survivability. A position paper motivated by COVID-19 outbreak", *International Journal of Production Research*, Vol. 58 No. 10, pp. 2904-2915.

-
- Iyengar, S., Hedman, L., Forte, G. and Hill, S. (2016), "Medicine shortages: a commentary on causes and mitigation strategies", *BMC Medicine*, Vol. 14 No. 1, pp. 1-3.
- Jia, F., Blome, C., Sun, H., Yang, Y. and Zhi, B. (2020), "Towards an integrated conceptual framework of supply chain finance: an information processing perspective", *International Journal of Production Economics*, Vol. 219, pp. 18-30.
- Jüttner, U. and Maklan, S. (2011), "Supply chain resilience in the global financial crisis: an empirical study", *Supply Chain Management*, Vol. 16 No. 4, p. 246.
- Kamalahmadi, M., Shekarian, M. and Mellat Parast, M. (2022), "The impact of flexibility and redundancy on improving supply chain resilience to disruptions", *International Journal of Production Research*, Vol. 60 No. 6, pp. 1992-2020.
- Katsaliaki, K., Galetsi, P. and Kumar, S. (2021), "Supply chain disruptions and resilience: a major review and future research agenda", *Annals of Operations Research*, Vol. 319 No. 1, pp. 965-1002.
- Khan, O., Christopher, M. and Burnes, B. (2008), "The impact of product design on supply chain risk: a case study", *International Journal of Physical Distribution and Logistics Management*, Vol. 38 No. 5, pp. 412-432.
- Kock, N. (2015), "Common method bias in PLS-SEM: a full collinearity assessment approach", *International Journal of e-Collaboration (ijec)*, Vol. 11 No. 4, pp. 1-10.
- KPMG (2021), "Pharmaceuticals: emerging not just stronger, but better and smarter", available at: [Pharmaceuticals: emerging not just stronger, but better - KPMG India \(home.kpmg\)](#)
- Melnik, S.A., Narasimhan, R. and DeCampos, H.A. (2014), "Supply chain design: issues, challenges, frameworks and solutions", *International Journal of Production Research*, Vol. 52 No. 7, pp. 1887-1896.
- Mikulic, M. (2021), "Global pharmaceutical industry-statistics and facts", available at: <https://www.statista.com/statistics/263102/pharmaceutical-market-worldwide-revenue-since-2001> (accessed 29 November 2021).
- Miljković, N., Godman, B., Kovačević, M., Polidori, P., Tzimis, L., Hoppe-Tichy, T., Saar, M., Antofie, I., Horvath, L., De Rijdt, T. and Vida, R.G. (2020), "Prospective risk assessment of medicine shortages in Europe and Israel: findings and implications", *Frontiers in Pharmacology*, Vol. 11, p. 357.
- Min, H. (2015), *The Essentials of Supply Chain Management: New Business Concepts and Applications*, FT Press.
- Moosavi, J. and Hosseini, S. (2021), "Simulation-based assessment of supply chain resilience with consideration of recovery strategies in the COVID-19 pandemic context", *Computers and Industrial Engineering*, Vol. 160, 107593.
- Morse, J.M. (Ed.) (1994), *Critical Issues in Qualitative Research Methods*, Sage.
- Narayana, S.A., Pati, R.K. and Vrat, P. (2014), "Managerial research on the pharmaceutical supply chain—A critical review and some insights for future directions", *Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management*, Vol. 20 No. 1, pp. 18-40.
- Nitzl, C. (2018), "Management accounting and partial least squares-structural equation modelling (PLS-SEM): some illustrative examples", *Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modelling*, Springer, Cham, pp. 211-229.
- Ozdemir, D., Sharma, M., Dhir, A. and Daim, T. (2022), "Supply chain resilience during the COVID-19 pandemic", *Technology in Society*, Vol. 68, 101847.
- Pettit, T.J., Croxton, K.L. and Fiksel, J. (2019), "The evolution of resilience in supply chain management: a retrospective on ensuring supply chain resilience", *Journal of Business Logistics*, Vol. 40 No. 1, pp. 56-65.
- Phuong, J.M., Penm, J., Chaar, B., Oldfield, L.D. and Moles, R. (2019), "The impacts of medication shortages on patient outcomes: a scoping review", *PLoS One*, Vol. 14 No. 5, e0215837.

- Podsakoff, P.M. and Organ, D.W. (1986), "Self-reports in organizational research: problems and prospects", *Journal of Management*, Vol. 12 No. 4, pp. 531-544.
- Polyviou, M., Croxton, K.L. and Knemeyer, A.M. (2020), "Resilience of medium-sized firms to supply chain disruptions: the role of internal social capital", *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*, Vol. 40 No. 1, pp. 68-91.
- Ponomarov, S.Y. and Holcomb, M.C. (2009), "Understanding the concept of supply chain resilience", *International Journal of Logistics Management*, Vol. 20 No. 1, p. 124.
- PSNC (2019), "Serious shortage protocols (SSPs)", available at: <https://psnc.org.uk/dispensing-and-supply/supply-chain/ssps/>
- Purvis, L., Spall, S., Naim, M. and Spiegler, V. (2016), "Developing a resilient supply chain strategy during 'boom' and 'bust'", *Production Planning and Control*, Vol. 27 Nos 7-8, pp. 579-590.
- Queiroz, M.M., Wamba, S.F. and Branski, R.M. (2021), "Supply chain resilience during the COVID-19: empirical evidence from an emerging economy", *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, Vol. 29 No. 6, pp. 1999-2018, doi: [10.1108/BIJ-08-2021-0454](https://doi.org/10.1108/BIJ-08-2021-0454).
- Queiroz, M.M., Ivanov, D., Dolgui, A. and Fosso Wamba, S. (2022), "Impacts of epidemic outbreaks on supply chains: mapping a research agenda amid the COVID-19 pandemic through a structured literature review", *Annals of Operations Research*, Vol. 319 No. 1, pp. 1159-1196.
- Rahman, M.H., Rahman, M.A. and Talapatra, S. (2020), "The bullwhip effect: causes, intensity, and mitigation", *Production and Manufacturing Research*, Vol. 8 No. 1, pp. 406-426.
- Ramanathan, U., Aluko, O. and Ramanathan, R. (2021), "Supply chain resilience and business responses to disruptions of the COVID-19 pandemic", *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, Vol. 29 No. 7, pp. 2275-2290, doi: [10.1108/BIJ-01-2021-0023](https://doi.org/10.1108/BIJ-01-2021-0023).
- Ranson, P. and Lewis, M. and Bockius UK LLP (2018), "Medicines pricing and reimbursement: EU and UK", available at: [https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/w0052025?transitionType=Default&contextData=\(sc.Default\)&firstPage=true&bhcp=1](https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/w0052025?transitionType=Default&contextData=(sc.Default)&firstPage=true&bhcp=1) (accessed 18 March 2018).
- Sá, M.M.D., Miguel, P.L.D.S., Brito, R.P.D. and Pereira, S.C.F. (2019), "Supply chain resilience: the whole is not the sum of the parts", *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*, Vol. 40 No. 1, pp. 92-115.
- Sabahi, S. and Parast, M.M. (2020), "Firm innovation and supply chain resilience: a dynamic capability perspective", *International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications*, Vol. 23 No. 3, pp. 254-269.
- Sabouhi, F., Pishvaei, M.S. and Jabalameli, M.S. (2018), "Resilient supply chain design under operational and disruption risks considering quantity discount: a case study of pharmaceutical supply chain", *Computers and Industrial Engineering*, Vol. 126, pp. 657-672.
- Sarstedt, M., Hair, J.F. Jr, Cheah, J.H., Becker, J.M. and Ringle, C.M. (2019), "How to specify, estimate, and validate higher-order constructs in PLS-SEM", *Australasian Marketing Journal (AMJ)*, Vol. 27 No. 3, pp. 197-211.
- Saunders, M., Lewis, P. and Thornhill, A. (2007), "Research methods", *Business Students*, 4th ed., Pearson Education, Vol. 6 No. 3, pp. 1-268.
- Sazvar, Z., Zokaee, M., Tavakkoli-Moghaddam, R., Salari, S.A.S. and Nayeri, S. (2021), "Designing a sustainable closed-loop pharmaceutical supply chain in a competitive market considering demand uncertainty, manufacturer's brand and waste management", *Annals of Operations Research*, Vol. 315 No. 2, pp. 2057-2088.
- Scala, B. and Lindsay, C.F. (2021), "Supply chain resilience during pandemic disruption: evidence from healthcare", *Supply Chain Management*, Vol. 26 No. 6, pp. 672-688, doi: [10.1108/SCM-09-2020-0434](https://doi.org/10.1108/SCM-09-2020-0434).
- Shekarian, M. and Mellat Parast, M. (2020), "An Integrative approach to supply chain disruption risk and resilience management: a literature review", *International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications*, Vol. 24 No. 5, pp. 427-455.

-
- Silva, M.E. and Ruel, S. (2022), "Inclusive purchasing and supply chain resilience capabilities: lessons for social sustainability", *Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management*, Vol. 28 No. 5, 100767.
- Stecke, K.E. and Kumar, S. (2009), "Sources of supply chain disruptions, factors that breed vulnerability, and mitigating strategies", *Journal of Marketing Channels*, Vol. 16 No. 3, pp. 193-226.
- Talwar, S., Dhir, A., Kaur, P. and Mäntymäki, M. (2020), "Barriers toward purchasing from online travel agencies", *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, Vol. 89, 102593.
- Thomas, R.W., Davis-Sramek, B., Esper, T.L. and Murfield, M.L. (2014), "Attribution effects of time pressure in retail supply chain relationships: moving from 'what' to 'why'", *Journal of Business Logistics*, Vol. 35 No. 3, pp. 225-240.
- Thun, J.H. and Hoenig, D. (2011), "An empirical analysis of supply chain risk management in the German automotive industry", *International Journal of Production Economics*, Vol. 131 No. 1, pp. 242-249.
- Tsou, H.T. and Hsu, S.H.Y. (2015), "Performance effects of technology–organisation–environment openness, service co-production, and digital-resource readiness: the case of the IT industry", *International Journal of Information Management*, Vol. 35 No. 1, pp. 1-14.
- Tucker, E.L., Cao, Y., Fox, E.R. and Sweet, B.V. (2020), "The drug shortage era: a scoping review of the literature 2001–2019", *Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics*, Vol. 108 No. 6, pp. 1150-1155.
- Tukamuhabwa, B.R., Stevenson, M., Busby, J. and Zorzini, M. (2015), "Supply chain resilience: definition, review and theoretical foundations for further study", *International Journal of Production Research*, Vol. 53 No. 18, pp. 5592-5623.
- Tukamuhabwa, B.R., Stevenson, M. and Busby, J.S. (2017), "Supply chain resilience in a developing country context: a case study on the interconnectedness of threats, strategies, and outcomes", *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, Vol. 22 No. 6, pp. 486-505.
- Vaske, J.J., Beaman, J. and Sponarski, C.C. (2017), "Rethinking internal consistency in Cronbach's alpha", *Leisure Sciences*, Vol. 39 No. 2, pp. 163-173.
- Venkatesh, V., Brown, S.A. and Sullivan, Y.W. (2016), "Guidelines for conducting mixed-methods research: an extension and illustration", *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*, Vol. 17 No. 7, p. 2.
- Wagner, S.M. and Bode, C. (2006), "An empirical investigation into supply chain vulnerability", *Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management*, Vol. 12 No. 6, pp. 301-312.
- Wagner, S.M. and Neshat, N. (2012), "A comparison of supply chain vulnerability indices for different categories of firms", *International Journal of Production Research*, Vol. 50 No. 11, pp. 2877-2891.
- Walsh, J.P. (1988), "Selectivity and selective perception: an investigation of managers' belief structures and information processing", *Academy of Management Journal*, Vol. 31 No. 4, pp. 873-896.
- Ward, R. and Hargaden, V. (2019), "An exploratory assessment of risk and resilience in pharmaceutical supply chains", *Pharmaceutical Supply Chains-Medicines Shortages*, Springer, Cham, pp. 111-123.
- Wickware, C. (2022), "Record numbers of drug shortages reported to UK government in July 2022", available at: [https://pharmaceutical-journal.com/article/news/record-numbers-of-drug-shortages-reported-to-uk-government-in-july-2022#:~:text=This%20peaked%20in%20July%202022,Information\)%20Regulations%20in%20July%202018](https://pharmaceutical-journal.com/article/news/record-numbers-of-drug-shortages-reported-to-uk-government-in-july-2022#:~:text=This%20peaked%20in%20July%202022,Information)%20Regulations%20in%20July%202018)
- Wieland, A. and Durach, C.F. (2021), "Two perspectives on supply chain resilience", *Journal of Business Logistics*, Vol. 42 No. 3, pp. 315-322.
- Wieland, A. and Wallenburg, C.M. (2013), "The influence of relational competencies on supply chain resilience: a relational view", *International Journal of Physical Distribution and Logistics Management*, Vol. 43 No. 4, p. 300.

- Yaroson, E.V. (2019), "Pharmaceutical supply chain resilience. An exploratory analysis of vulnerabilities and resilience strategies in the face of dynamic disruptions in the UK pharmaceutical supply chain", Doctoral dissertation, University of Bradford.
- Yaroson, E.V., Breen, L., Hou, J. and Sowter, J. (2021), "Advancing the understanding of pharmaceutical supply chain resilience using complex adaptive system (CAS) theory", *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, Vol. 26 No. 3, pp. 323-340, doi: [10.1108/SCM-05-2019-0184](https://doi.org/10.1108/SCM-05-2019-0184).
- Yaroson, E.V., Breen, L., Hou, J. and Sowter, J. (2023), "The role of power-based behaviours on pharmaceutical supply chain resilience", *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*. doi: [10.1108/SCM-08-2021-0369](https://doi.org/10.1108/SCM-08-2021-0369).

Corresponding author

Emilia Vann Yaroson can be contacted at: e.v.yaroson@hud.ac.uk