Note: Page numbers followed by "n" with numbers indicate footnotes.

AACSB International Committee on	ACL tool, 35, 49–50
Accreditation Policy, 46	Adaptable analytics case on cash
Academic learning experience/	collection process
performance, 74–75	creating policies from outcomes of
Academic productivity, 137	analytic tools, 55–56
Accountants, 32, 114	data analytics, 45–46
Accounting, 25, 47, 72, 75, 90, 95	gaining overall understanding of
and analytics, 25–26	analytics in accounting
context, 131	profession, 51
curricula, 162	pivot table assignment, 65–67
doctoral education, 2	pivot tables using, 52–54
educators and employers, 111, 126	pre-test/post-test, 62–63
educators survey, 171–173	Tableau, applying analytics
equation and sections of statement,	knowledge using, 54–55
202–204	Tableau assignment, 67–69
examinations in, 92–93	value-added approach to teaching
functional areas, 47	analytics, 47–48
information cost, 110	work breakdown schedule of case
Accounting Education Change	steps, 62
Commission (AECC), 112	"Adjustment" column, 209
Accounting graduates	Albert Tax Services (ATS), 179
accounting and analytics, 25–26	Alumni, 28
analytics and curriculum, 26-27	American Accounting Association
analytics background, 29–30	(AAA), 24
general skills and statistics skills,	American Association of Public
42–43	Accountants (AAPA), 162
group differences in importance	American Institute of Accountants
rankings, 36–38	(AIA), 162
perceived importance of	American Institute of Certified Public
specific skills and future	Accountants (AICPA), 51,
expectations, 32–36	92, 161–162, 200
relative rankings of general skills,	Analogical reasoning method, 132
30–32	Analogy assessors, excerpt from
research methods, 28–29	instructions for, 132
Accounting information systems	Analogy creation, 115–116
(AIS), 18 <i>n</i> 17, 48–50	Analogy creators
Accounts Receivable account, 206	excerpt from instructions for, 131

excerpt from instructions provided	Cash management, 48, 49, 51
to, 132–133	Citation analysis, 140
predetermined feedback for, 132	Cleaning data, 43
Analytic knowledge, skills, and	Clustering/factor analysis, 42
abilities (KSAs), 24, 27	Code writing, 32, 42
Analytics	Cognitive processes, 50, 53, 62 <i>n</i> 3, 156
accounting and, 25–26	Committee of Sponsoring
and curriculum, 26–27	Organizations of the
curriculum development, 28	Treadway Commission
skills, 24, 27, 28	Enterprise Risk
ANCOVA model, 119	Management framework
Applications knowledge, 33	(COSO ERM framework),
Assessor, 115–116	50, 55, 56, 59, 69
Association to Advance Collegiate	Communication, 25, 171–172
Schools of Business	Complementary knowledge, 4
(AACSB), 24, 26, 46, 48,	Comprehensive operating cash flow
164	example using matrix
Attributes, 49	method, 210–214
Audit procedures, 47	Computer-based exam, 163
Audit tools, 35	Consumer price index (CPI), 193
Auditing, 47	Content analysis, 27
Auditing and Attestation (AUD), 164	Contributed capital (CC), 203
Australian Business Deans Council	Correlation analysis, 33
(ABDC), 140	Correlation/univariate analysis, 42
Australian Learning and Teaching	Course repeating, 73–74
Council, 111	Creativity, 110, 112
,	accountants, context, and
Behavioral research, 2	intervention, 114
Big Data, 24, 45–46, 47, 51, 59	analogy assessors, excerpt from
Bloom's Taxonomy learning, 50,	instructions for, 132
62 <i>n</i> 3	analogy creation, 115–116
Business	analogy creators, excerpt from
analytic capabilities, 48	instructions for, 131–133
communication, 42	ANCOVA, 121
education, 42	average creativity by condition, 122
schools, 71–72	intervention and feedback, 113-114
skills, 27, 30–31	predetermined feedback for
	analogy creators, 132
Capital budgeting, 47	simple effect tests, 124–125
Capital markets	Creator, 115–116
readings group, 5	Critical thinking, 171–172
research, 2, 6	questions, 191–195
seminar, 5, 17 <i>n</i> 1	skill, 110
Cash account, 203–204	Cross cueing, 4
"Cash from Operations" column,	Crowd-sourced creativity assessments,
208, 209	116–117

Index 219

Current assets (CA), 202	Faculty changing institutions, 137
Curriculum, analytics and, 26–27	Faculty organizer, 11–12, 15–16,
•	18 <i>n</i> 12, 142
Data	survey of tax research readings
analytics, 24, 45-46, 50, 57, 59	group, 5–6, 21–22
data-gathering ability, 43	Feedback, 15
integration/gathering, 43	factor, 110
interpretation, 25	outcome, 113
interpretive ability, 42	Financial accounting, 47
knowledge, 33	Financial Accounting and Reporting
management, 27	(FAR), 168–169
mining, 26, 42, 43	Financial Accounting Standards
privacy and security, 36, 43	Board (FASB), 200
visualization, 26, 31, 43	flip-flop, 200
warehouse knowledge, 43	Financial data, 48
Database	First college-level accounting course.
management, 43	72
modeling, 43	
software, 35	academic learning experience/
*	performance, 74–75 prior accounting learning
Degree of exam return consistency, 97	
Delphi analysis, 27	experience, 75
Depreciation, 200	financial accounting course, 71–72
Direct method, 200	learning performance and course
Dividends (Div), 203	repeating, 73–74
Doctoral students, 13–15	logistic regression results, 80–82
Document Review Simulation (DRS),	model, 76–77
168	qualitative survey results, 77
	quantitative results for hypotheses
Educational psychology theory for	77
team-based learning, 3–4	regression results considering
Enterprise Risk Management (ERM),	non-accounting business
50	majors, 83–84
Exam completion sequencing in	robustness tests, 84–86
accounting classes	samples and participants, 76
additional analysis, 102–103	students' motivation and factors
descriptive results, 98	affecting students' learning
evidence related to RPs, 98–102	performance, 75–76
examinations in accounting,	
92–93	General skills, 42–43
institutional context, 96	relative rankings of, 30–32
lack of good self-awareness, 104	Globalization, 110
measurement, 96–97	Google Scholar, 18 <i>n</i> 18
objective tests, 103–104	Grade point average (GPA), 73–75,
Exam return order, 97, 104	77, 165
Exam-taking behavior, 92	Graduate Management Admission
Exposure Draft, 166–169	Test (GMAT), 164

High school accounting, 72	Java, 35
education, 75, 81, 84	Journal of Accounting & Economics, 9
learning experience, 79, 80	Journal of Accounting Research, 9
High-pressure tasks, 113	Judgment and decision-making
IDEA : 1.25	(JDM), 113
IDEA tool, 35	**
Income statement, 203	Knowledge
Indirect methods, 200, 214	acquisition, 113
Individual retirement accounts	check, 114–115
(IRAs), 182, 186	fusion, 48
intended audience and customizing	
project, 178–190	Learning objectives measurement,
project learning objectives, 190–196	191–195
traditional vs. Roth IRA, 181,	Learning performance, 73–74
184–192, 195–196	students' motivation and factors
Individual tax	affecting students, 75–76
laws, 190	Learning process, 5
preparation, 178	Logistic regression model, 42, 76
Information systems, 26	Long-term assets (LTA), 202
Innovation, 112	Long-term liabilities (LTL), 202
Institute of Internal Auditors (IIA), 51	Long-term tax
Institute of Management Accountants	effects, 178
(IMA), 51	planning, 192–193
Institutional affiliation, 136	
Integration, 171–172	Machine learning, 26, 33, 42
Intended audience and customizing	Management accountants, 46
project, 178	Management information systems
individual tax laws, 190	(MIS), $18n17$
instructor guidance, 183	Matrix method, 201
project details, 179–180	comprehensive operating cash flow
solution for client letter, 181–182	example using, 210–214
traditional vs. Roth IRA yearly	Mechanical Turk (MTurk), 116
contribution, 184–185, 187–189	Microsoft Access, 47, 52
Inter-exam order correlation, 97	Microsoft Excel, 26, 171–172
Inter-quartile range (IQR), 117	Multiple research methods, 2
Internal auditors, 46	Multivariate regression, 42
Internal control objectives and risk	
management components	National Association of State Boards
assignment on development of	of Accountancy (NASBA),
policies and, 69–70	164
relating to policies, 56	Natural language processing, 26
Internal Revenue Service (IRS), 178	Net income (NI), 203
International Accounting Standards	Non-accountants, 114
Board (IASB), 200	Non-accounting
Interpretation factor, 201	business majors, 83–84
Intervention, 114	students, 110–111, 123

Index 221

Non-business major, 77	Predictive variables, 65
Non-cash expenses, 210	PricewaterhouseCoopers (PwC), 24
Non-doctoral-granting institutions,	Prior accounting learning experience,
139–140	75
Non-switchers, 137	Problem-solving skills, 42, 110
North Central Association of Colleges	Problem/process modeling, 42
and Schools (NCA), 76	Process-oriented feedback, 113, 114
NoSQL, 35	Programming skills, 35
	Project learning objectives, 190
Objective tests, 103–104	measuring learning objectives and
Operating cash flow, 201	critical thinking questions,
accounting equation and sections	191–195
of statement, 202–204	student performance and response
comprehensive operating cash	to project, 195–196
flow example using matrix	Psychology, 110
method, 210-214	Publication count, 140
direct method, 200	Publishing in accounting academia,
indirect method, 200	135–136
matrix method, 201	Python, 27, 35
simple account analysis, 204-209	
Optimization, 26, 33, 42	Qualtrics survey software, 28
Ordinary Least Squares regression	Query tools, 35
model (OLS regression	
model), 149	R languages, 27, 35
Other current assets (OCA), 202	Readings group, 8, 15
Outcome feedback, 113	Real-time feedback, 114
	Real-time process-oriented feedback,
Partitioning cash flows, 201	126
Pedagogical	Regression, 42
benefit, 201	analyses of switchers' research
models, 3	productivity, 150–151
synthesis, 200–201	Relearning through retrieval, 4
Peer-review process, 9	Repeating (see also First college-level
Performance in accounting classes,	accounting course), 73, 76
90–104	Research methods, 2
Pivot table assignment, 65–67	Research productivity of accounting
Pivot tables, applying analytics	professors
knowledge using, 52–54	background and hypotheses
Post-experimental questionnaire,	development, 137–138
114–116	descriptive statistics and
"Potential_Repeating" variable, 85–86	correlations among
Practice Analysis (2014), 166–169	variables, 143–144
Practice Excel skills, 171	implications, 156–157
Predetermined feedback for analogy	limitations and future research, 156
creators, 132	measures, 140–142
Predictive analytics, 26	method, 138

OLS, 149	Robotic process automation (RPA),
paired-sample tests of differences	34
in publication productivity,	Robustness tests, 84–86
147–148	Roth IRA, 179, 181–192, 196
post-tenure switches, 146	Rule-of-thumb adjustments, 213
regression analyses of switchers'	Rule-of-thumb cash flow adjustments,
research productivity,	201
150–151	
results, 142	SAS, 27, 35
sample, 138–140	Saving for retirement, 193–194
sensitivity analyses, 154–155	Schools with highest pass rates,
switches to research universities,	169–170
153–154	Securities and Exchange Commission
variable relations, 145	(SEC), 166
Research propositions (RP), 90, 94, 95	Self-assessed creativity, 119
evidence related to, 98–102	Self-selection bias, 28
Research readings groups, 2, 15–16	"Seminar" model for classroom
benefits for doctoral students and	instruction, 3
faculty members, 13–16	Sensitivity analyses, 154–155
comparing and contrasting	Sentiment analysis, 34, 42
seminars and readings	Simple account analysis, 204–209
groups, 4–5	Simulations, 42, 171–172
educational psychology theory for	Social mining, 26
team-based learning, 3–4	Social Science Research Network
formation, 6	(SSRN), 9, 18 <i>n</i> 18
formation and operation of	Software training, 42
research readings group,	SPSS, 35
6–13	SQL query, 31, 35, 42
before meeting, 11	Standard A7, 26
during meeting, 11–12	Statistical methods, 31, 43
after meeting, 12–13	Statistical packages, 35
operation of, 10	Statistics
recruiting members, 6–8	knowledge, 33
selecting focal research area, 6	skills, 42–43
selecting meeting frequency, 10	Stereotypes, 118–119
selecting sources of papers, 8–10	Students (see also First college-level
survey of tax research readings	accounting course), 201
group faculty organizers,	motivation and factors affecting
5–6, 21–22	students' learning
Research skills, 42	performance, 75–76
Research-focused institutions, 138	nature, 91–92
Research-focused schools, 140	performance, 76
Retained earnings (RE), 203	performance and response to
Retirement planning, 183	project, 195-196
Retirement strategy, 190	time and performance, 90-91
Risk assessment, 26	Supervisor feedback, 113

Index 223

Survey of accounting educators,	Team-based learning, 3–4
171–173	Technical skills, 27, 162
Survey of tax research readings group	Tenured faculty, 137
faculty organizers, 5–6,	Text
21–22	analysis, 34
SustainDye, 55, 68	analytic techniques, 26
background information for, 63-65	mining, 26, 34, 42
Switchers, 136, 137	Time and student performance, 90–91
Switches to research universities,	Top-tier accounting journals, 9
153–154	Traditional IRA, 179, 181, 184–192,
Systems infrastructure support, 42	195–196
T-account, 205	Undergraduate course, 183
Tableau assignment, 67–69	Undergraduate tax course, 195
Tableau worksheet, 47, 52	Uniform CPA exam revisions (2017)
applying analytics knowledge	and literature review, 162–166
using, 54–55	schools with highest pass rates,
Task, 114–116	169–170
context, 112	survey of accounting educators,
domain factor, 110	171–173
Task-based simulations (TBS), 163	2014 Practice Analysis and 2015
Tax	Exposure Draft, 166–169
advisors, 190	Upper-level graduate course, 183
educators, 177–178	
research readings group faculty	Value-added approach to teaching
organizers survey, 5–6, 21–22	analytics, 47–48
strategy, 182	Visualization package, 54