SUBJECT INDEX

Access, 23, 30–31, 34–35, 46, 48, 90,

110, 131–132, 134, 139,

147–149, 151–154, 157–159, 161, 163, 167–168, 181 Alternative evaluation, 72 Assessment, 6, 19, 21, 26, 53, 57, 106, 119–121, 127, 156–157, 160–162, 165, 176, 179, 182 Autonomous schools, 3–5, 11, 13–14, 100, 177, 182, 184 Autonomy, 11, 37, 90, 98, 145–146, 148–149, 151–152, 172, 174–175, 183 Autonomy in charter schools, 174 Board composition and areas of member expertise, 173 Building capacity, 24-27, 37, 103, Business, 10, 19, 27, 77–80, 87, 89, 109, 117–119, 121–124, 126, 174

Charter management organization, 4, 45, 171 Charter schools, 3–5, 9–11, 18, 27, 29–31, 33–38, 51–52, 78–79, 84, 89–91, 93–100, 102, 104–105, 108, 110–111, 117–125, 127, 131–134, 136–142, 145–148, 150–152, 156, 158, 167–168, 171–181, 183–184 Clashes in ethical codes, 121 Classroom expectations, 62, 70, 97, 165 Collaboration, 12, 24–25, 48, 55, 62, 93–103, 105–107, 109–111, 119, 126, 151, 161, 163, 178 Collaborative, 24, 46, 48–50, 53, 101–103, 105, 109, 126, 178 Collectivism, 49, 51–52, 58 College for Certain, 13 Common Core Standards, 64 Communication, 12, 31, 69, 75, 80–81, 84, 104, 106, 142, 151, 161, 163, 181 Community involvement, 98–99, 107–108, 172 Counter-hegemonic, 51, 55–56 Curriculum, 5, 25, 32, 45–48, 50, 52–55, 61, 63–65, 67, 69, 71, 73, 82, 90, 104, 141, 145, 147, 149, 151, 153, 160–161, 163, 172, 176–178, 181–182 Curriculum development and

Data-driven instruction, xxi
Deliberate practice, 6–7
Democracy, 30–31, 52, 58, 125
Democratic ideals, 31, 38
Demographic data, 22
Descriptive data, 22, 26
Dispositions, 18, 32, 62, 117, 119–120
Due process or dispute resolution, 157–158

Economic isolation, 31, 35 Educational opportunity, 34

freedom, 176

208 SUBJECT INDEX

Empowerment, 104–106, 111 Equity, 29–31, 34, 123, 125, 146, 148-149, 157, 163, 167-168 Essentialism, 47, 152 Ethic of care, 123–124, 127 Ethic of critique, 125, 127 Ethic of justice, 122, 127 Ethical decision making, 117–119, 121, 123, 125, 127 Ethical frameworks, 119–120, 122 Ethical practice, 182–183 Ethics, 118–124, 126–127, 133, 183 Evaluation as judgment, 72 Evaluation as supervision, 71 Explicit expectations, 70

Finance, 77–79, 81, 83, 85, 87, 89, 91, 99, 110

First-order change, 103–104, 111

Follow-up to professional development, 69

Frame of leadership, 4, 10, 14

Human resource frame, 11–12

Political frame, 13

Structural frame, 4, 10–11, 14

Symbolic frame, 12

Framework for instructional leadership, 64

Free appropriate public education (FAPE), 152–153, 158–161, 163–164, 166

Implementation of the vision, 63, 71 Inclusion, 30, 48, 58, 83, 149, 157, 163 Individualized education program (IEP), 138, 149–150, 153, 157, 160–167

Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), 2004, 138–139, 148, 150, 152–158, 160-167 Instruction, 5, 11, 19–21, 24–25, 45, 47-48, 61-73, 80, 104, 108, 149, 151, 157, 159–162, 172, 177–178, 180, 182 Instructional leader, 11, 61-66, 68–75, 131, 133, 135, 137, 139, 141, 176 Intellectual, 46, 51, 56, 81, 182 Intended curriculum, 63 Interventions, 19, 161–162, 178 - 180ISLLC Standard, 5, 119, 163

Lead teachers as evaluators, 71 Leader as teacher, 53 Leadership, 3–11, 14–15, 18, 24, 26, 29, 31–33, 35, 45–46, 53, 56, 61–62, 64, 66, 74, 77–86, 88, 90-91, 95, 100-103, 110-111, 117–126, 131–133, 135, 137, 139, 141, 146, 159, 171–172, 183 Learning environments, 34–35, 160, 164, 183 Least restrictive environment (LRE), 163 Level Five leadership, 4, 8, 14 Linguistic isolation, 31, 35 Local Educational Agency (LEA), 139, 150, 154–155, 157–158

Madeline hunter, 67 Manifestation determination, 165–166 Measurable data, 20 Modeling, 5, 24, 66, 68 Subject Index 209

Monitoring instructional practices, School governance, 13, 37, 107, 172 Second-order change, 103 69 - 70Morals, 33, 49, 118–119, 123–124, Segregation, 34–36 Senate Bill 48 in California, 13 126–127, 183 Site-based management, 53, 105, Neoliberalism, 51–52, 55, 58 Social justice, 29–34, 47–48, 50, Operations, 27, 77–81, 83, 85, 87, 53, 55–56 89, 91, 95, 100, 106, 108, 174 Special education, 36, 67, 131–134, Organic, 45, 55 137–139, 145–148, 150–163, Outcome data, 22 165–168, 179–181 Special education service delivery, Partnerships, 94, 101, 103, 107–111, 154 180 - 181Stages of board development, 173 Pedagogy, 32, 56, 64 Standards-based, 50, 97 Pillars of effective teaching, 67 Port of Los Angeles High School, 67 Teacher agency, 45–49, 51, 53, 55, Prioritizing, 24, 50, 63 Professional development, 24–25, Teacher evaluation, 61, 70, 105, 45, 50, 53, 65, 68–70, 98, 109, 175 172, 175–178 Teacher-centered, 58 Progressive, 29–30, 47–48, Team work, 111 52-53, 58 Transformational leadership, Public education, 5, 29–32, 38, 54, 101–103, 110 78, 90, 94–96, 134, 136, Triangulation, 20–21 147–148, 152–153, 183 Trust, 12, 55, 57, 63, 65–66, 72–74, Purpose of board, 172 81, 105 Reliable, 21 Valid, 21 Restructuring, 25, 103 Videotape as evaluation, 72 Risk-taking, 5, 66, 69 Vision, 5, 12, 18, 25, 39, 45, 48, 50, 62-63, 65, 67-68, 70-75, 80-82, School culture, 10, 12, 17–18, 86, 84, 88, 98, 105, 152, 154–155,

175, 177, 179, 184

102, 172, 179