INDEX

Accountability, 53, 103 Active decisions, 32 Aristotelian reasoning, 11	Chestnut Learning Federation model, 42–43 school improvement plan,
Baudrillard's work, 87 Benefit, cost and signifying value (BCS value), 47, 93 Benefit(s), 103 benefit- and signifying-related factors, 56 codes, 52 Bialetti coffee maker, 26–27, 74–75 Bottom-up change, 37 Bottom-up/teacher-led initiatives, 41 Brands, 75 affecting consumption, 25–27 consumer, 7, 75 power of, 25	57–58 Climate Care, 74 Collaborative and networked orientations, 57 Collaborative EIP, 39, 41, 57, 70 Collaborative orientation, 50, 95 Collaborative professional development, 90 Competing priorities, 52–53, 101, 103 Confidence to collaborate, 50–51, 96, 99 Confident professional autonomy,
Chestnut approach, 90 Chestnut Church of England Learning Federation, The, 42	53, 102 Consensus Project, 2, 86 Constructivism, 76 Consumer object, 78

114 Index

Danes cycling, 91	ORS approach, 71
Education, case studies	pre-intervention' interview data, 55
from, 37, 55	qualitative methodology,
allocation of respondents,	43
46, 61	research-use measures, 44
allocation of thematic	research questions,
codes, 49, 63	44–45
benefit- and signifying-	respondents, 64, 65, 67
related factors, 56	RLC approach, 68
characteristics of	thematic analysis, 47
interview respondents,	type 1 quadrant, 48, 50
45	type 2 quadrant, 50–51
Chestnut Church of	type 3 quadrant, 51–53
England Learning	type 4 quadrant, 53
Federation, The, 42	type 4 rejecter, 69
Chestnut Learning	Education Endowment
Federation's model,	Foundation
42–43	(EEF), 41
Chestnut Learning	Educational 'self-
Federation's school	improvement', 37
improvement plan,	England
57–58	Chartered College of
collaborative EIP, 39,	Teaching, 41
57–59, 70	'Drink Aware'
EEF, 41	campaign, 74
EIP, 38	Enquiry-related
enquiry mindset, 48, 51	professional growth,
evidence-informed	69–70
approaches, 40	Enquiry mindset, 48, 51,
Finnish model, 59	100
networked learning	of participants,
conversations, 51	94, 97
new hierarchy of thematic	Evidence-informed practice
codes, 62	(EIP), 37, 38,
OR type of participants,	40, 57
45, 59–60	collaborative EIP, 39, 41,
ORPs, 38–39	57-59, 70

11.5 Index

Finnish model, 59, 90 'First do no harm' principle, 40

Gateway belief, 87 Guardian, The, 86

Huaca Puellana excavation site in Lima, 23

In-depth semi-structured interviews, 43-44 Inductive analysis, 47 Interview analysis, 93 mid-level codes, 98 networked learning conversations, 95 signifying values of EIP, 96,99 type 1 quadrant, 93-94 type 2 quadrant, 97–99 type 3 quadrant, 99-102 type 4 quadrant, 102 - 103

Learning community culture development, 58 conversations, 48, 50, 95, 97 organisations, 57

London Borough of Tower Hamlets, 80

London's 1970s punk-rock music scene, 76

Malevich's groundbreaking painting of black square, 76 Malevich's suprematism movement, 76 Mid-level codes, 47, 48, 50, 93, 98 Moral imperatives, 11

Nespresso coffee maker, 25, 26, 74-75 Netflix, 29 Network orientation, 48, 51, 96, 97 of participants, 52 Networked learning conversations, 51, 52, 95, 97 Non-financial costs, 30

Optimal rational positions (ORPs), 9, 14, 73, 81, 83, 85 brand, 28 brands, 75 discourses/behaviours, 81 England's 'Drink Aware' campaign, 74 London Borough of Tower Hamlets, 80 relational approach to, 24 scenes, 75, 76 temporal scenes, 79 types, 29-30Optimal rational/semiotic

approach (ORS approach), 37, 43 116 Index

Optimal rationality (OR), 9, 10 Aristotelian reasoning, 11 individuals, 18 positions, 14 post-intervention, 60 potential rational behaviour, 15 rationality gaps, 17 rationality types, 19 short-term responses, 12 short-term welfare reward, 16 type of participants, 45, 59–60

Paul McCartney's 'Meat Free Mondays', 91 Performativity, 103 'Pre-intervention' interview data, 55

Rational choice theory (RCT), 9, 10Rationality gaps, 17, 23 active decisions, 32 archaeologists, 23, 24 benefits, 29-30brands, 25, 26 costs, 30 - 31ORPs, 24, 28 semiotic analysis into OR matrix, 33 semiotics, 25 signification, 28–29 Reflection on academic research, 52, 57, 94, 100

'Research-engaged' schools, 39 Research Learning Community approach (RLC approach), 57 independent scientific evaluation, 72 key attributes, 58 Research Schools initiative, 41 ResearchED conferences, 41

Scenes, 75, 76, 80–82 capital, 78-79 characteristics, 76–77 consumer object, 78 temporal, 79–80 Semiotic analysis, 88, 89 to fill rationality gaps, 23 - 34Semiotics, 25 Signifying values, 47, 50, 51, 53, 96, 99, 102 Social science, lessons for, 8.5 Baudrillard's work, 87 Danes cycling, 91 Finnish model, 90 semiotic analysis, 88 technical and adaptive changes, 86 time interventions, 89 Suprematism movement, 76

Teaching assistants (TA), 51, 98
'Teachmeets' conferences, 41

Index 117

Thematic analysis, 47
Thematic codes
allocation, 49
hierarchy, 47, 48
Type 1 individuals,
18, 20, 59, 67,
89–90
Type 1 ORP, 73
Type 1 quadrant, 48, 50,
55, 88, 93–94, 97
Type 2 individuals, 18, 67

Type 2 quadrant, 50–52, 97–99

Type 3 individuals, 18, 20

Type 3 quadrant, 51–53, 99–102

Type 4 individuals, 18, 20

Type 4 quadrant, 53, 102–103

Type 4 rejecter, 69

Universal moral imperative, 11