Index

Acquiescence effect, 19 Action research, 6, 11, 13, 14, 155–166, 168, 176 Adaptation (of CLI), 11, 224, 233 Adjacency, 145, 150-151 Anthropology, 118 Applicant attribution-reaction theory (AART), 103, 110 Assumption(s), 6, 8, 18, 19, 31, 34, 38-40, 73, 76, 77, 81, 82, 98, 110, 140, 145, 149, 159, 163, 199, 215, 223, 227, 228, 230 hidden, 38, 39 interviewer's/researcher's, 4, 7, 9, 11, 27, 28, 36, 39, 56, 72, 78, 81-85, 151, 152, 215, 222, 223, 228 Attention interviewee's, 7, 18, 35, 49, 51, 77, 80, 81, 84-86, 133, 136, 139, 150, 151, 164, 211, 214, 226, 229, 232 interviewer's, 18, 19, 23, 35, 78, 98, 136, 139, 160, 222, 226 Attributes (category of classically clean questions), 9, 53, 223 Auditing processes, 180–182 Authenticity, 10, 18, 19, 63, 77, 193 of data, 18 of research, 18 Authority (of the interviewer), 19, 164 Authorship of data, 17–18, 20, 22, 23, 26-28, 50, 211, 231 Autism, 102, 105, 119 Autogenic metaphor, 35, 46 Backtracking, 54, 55, 93, 160, 164 Behavioural change, 156, 163 Behavioural groups, 193, 194, 200

Behavioural models, 5 Benefits of CLI, 8, 99, 188, 189, 214, 229-233 Bias(es), 12, 19, 33, 103, 104, 107, 111, 198, 201, 202, 210, 231 in assessment protocols, 103 cognitive, 38 on data, 7, 38 implicit, 103, 104, 109, 111 interviewer, 7, 56 in questions, 135 Blending, 32 Body map, 122-126, 128, 129, 228 Bracketing, 8, 18, 28, 145, 149, 207, 222, 228 'bracket' assumptions, 18, 28, 145, 149, 207 Business culture, 180 Business processes, 184 Capable model, 127, 128 Cause and risk analysis, 180, 181 Cause evaluation, 206 Chronic disease, 121 Classically clean questions, 8, 9, 23, 26, 52, 72, 82, 83, 126, 134, 146, 149, 195, 211, 212, 215, 223, 226, 228, 230 Clean analysis, 72–73 Clean language (origins of), 4-5 Clean language interviewing (CLI) benefits, 8, 99, 188, 189, 214, 229-233 challenge of cleanly introducing predetermined interview topic, 71 - 72challenges for CLI interviewer, 70 contextualisation, 224-226 deciding salience, 151

directions for future research, 233 eliciting metaphor with, 77-78, 83-85, 233 ethical practice and, 11-13 ethics of using clean language for research, 69-70 guiding frameworks, 222-226 hidden skills of, 145-151 interviewee's experience of, 35, 47, 85, 92–95, 193 interviewer's experience of, 95-97, 193 interview improvements using, 214 learning about using clean language for interviewing, 68-73 levels of application, 73 limitations for interviewee, 229 limitations for interviewer, 229-230 as modelling, 11, 13, 45–57, 73, 131–140, 166, 224, 233 with neurodiverse groups, 111-112 observations on clean language interviewing process, 80-82 in serious injury or fatality investigations, 14, 206, 207, 210-211, 215 themes arising from Part II, 13, 104, 110, 226-229 visual imagery in, 227-228 Clean language questions, 8-10, 27, 35, 38-40, 46, 49-52, 55, 72, 76, 78, 81, 84, 126, 136, 144, 157, 164, 168–170, 172, 173, 175, 176, 182, 185, 186, 188, 189, 194, 197, 207, 211–212, 214, 215, 222–223, 226–230 translating, 228-229 Cleanness rating, 13, 22–28, 39, 71, 76, 82-84, 86, 106, 223-224, 230, 232, 233 applied to interview transcript, 24 - 26Clean repeat/recap, 23, 26, 51, 82–84, 134 Closed question(s), 9, 19, 206 Coding data, 39, 82, 83, 147–153, 212

Coding-in-the-moment, 14, 143–153 Cognitive linguistics, 31, 32, 34, 50 Cognitive load (on interviewee), 49, 51, 148, 151, 153, 231 Coherent research strategy based on clean principles, 10, 86, 224 Compassion, 122-124, 128 Confirmability, 18, 19 Conflict resolution, 4, 168–170, 175 Constructivist, 38 Content-free codes, 147-149, 152, 153 Contextualisation (of CLI), 224-226 Contextually clean (question), 23, 24, 36, 52, 54, 72, 78, 82, 83, 105, 106, 134, 145, 170, 195, 198, 199, 202, 211, 212, 215, 230 Delivery (of clean questions), 150 Design (phase of research), 18, 27, 65, 127, 144, 150, 194 Desired outcome, 109, 147, 148, 157–159, 163, 165, 169, 170, 172, 183 Direct(ing) attention, 4, 8, 19, 23, 84, 86, 133, 136, 176 Disability, 102, 109, 110 invisible, 102 Diversity and inclusion, 103, 104, 111 Dravet syndrome, 119-121, 128 Drawing(s), 19, 22, 27, 64, 69, 75-86, 107, 162, 175, 185, 227, 228, 231

Eliciting attributes, 199–200 Eliciting metaphor, 35, 77–80, 83–85, 86, 198, 233 Eliciting values, 198 Embodied cognition, 5 Embodied metaphor, 32, 34 Emotions, accessing, 36 Entailments, 21, 33 Epistemology, epistemological, 6, 27, 38, 84 Epoché, 8, 18, 224, 231 Ethics of/ethical standards for interviewing, 64, 229 Evaluation (feature of leading questions), 19 Exemplar, 46, 47, 51, 109, 133, 140 Explicitation, 46 Explicit description, 48, 49 Fatality interviews/investigations, 207, 208, 210–211

Focus group(s), 105–108, 110, 111, 127, 180, 192, 194, 195, 202, 226 Follow-up interviews, 64–66, 68–70 Frame (set by a question), 19 Framing

linguistic, 33 metaphorical, 32–35

Grounded theory, 144, 145, 147, 149, 150, 152, 153

- Groupthink, 156, 158, 159, 163–165
- Grove, David, 4, 5, 8, 34, 46, 82, 146, 149, 161, 171, 223

Images, imagery, 35, 80-82, 84, 85, 95, 146, 156, 158, 161, 166, 192, 194, 198, 226–228 Implicit bias, 103, 104, 109, 111 Implicit relationships, 48, 49 Inclusion, 103, 104, 111 In-depth interview(s), 11, 12, 194 Inference, 51, 72, 145, 147-150 Influence interviewer's, 7, 8, 18, 27, 28, 163, 166, 202 of metaphor, 5, 32-34, 38-40, 146 Inner world (of interviewee), 7, 12, 63-64, 81, 84, 85, 222, 229, 231 Interpretation, 5, 39, 72, 73, 78, 108, 109, 124, 135, 139, 146, 148–150, 160, 177, 209, 210, 212, 214, 215, 230 Interpreter, 170–173, 177, 229

Intervention (by interviewer), 17, 19, 22, 26, 27, 79-83 Interviewee's experience (of CLI), 35, 47, 85, 92–95, 193 Interviewer-interviewee relationship/ partnership, 21, 34, 35, 81, 93, 99, 210 Interviewer's experience (of CLI), 95-97, 193 Interviewing methods, 5, 6, 10, 11, 13, 208 Interview, protocol(s), 22, 27, 33, 230 pick-list, 208-209, 211-212 Introduced content, 19, 20, 22-24 Introspection, 36, 38, 49, 51, 55, 229 guided/guiding, 7, 48 ISO 9000, 179, 180

Journalistic interviews/interviewing, 90, 94, 95, 98, 99

Laddering, 193, 198, 199 Leadership development, 76-78, 224 Leading question(s), 4, 5, 12, 13, 17-28, 34, 39, 56, 75, 82, 84, 187, 207–212, 214, 215, 224, 228 mildly leading, 23, 26, 82, 83, 105, 106, 138, 212-214 strongly leading, 23-27, 34, 39, 82, 83, 224 Levels of CLI, 9-11, 224, 230 Lifeworld (interviewee's), 10, 11, 13, 18, 23, 27, 80, 223 Limitations of CLI, 72, 229-233 Location (category of classically clean questions), 9, 171 Logic (of interviewee's data, metaphors), 23, 24, 36, 72, 160, 222, 223 Logically clean question, 23 Logical presupposition, 21, 25, 56

Management systems auditing (MSA), 179-189 Market research, 4, 14, 35, 191-202, 228 Means-End Theory, 193, 194 Mental model(s), 7, 46-48, 51, 75-86, 136, 140, 145, 160, 227, 231 Metaphor(s) category of classically clean questions, 9 continua of characteristics, 37 deliberate-spontaneous, 40 descriptive-transformative, 40 embodied, 32, 34 force, 50 of space, spatial, 35, 50, 81, 85, 149 stable-variable, 40 theories of, 5, 13, 31, 39 visual, 13, 79, 193, 198, 201 Metaphor elicitation, 10, 13, 31, 35, 63, 73, 77-80, 83-85, 132, 191, 196, 198, 233 Metaphor landscape, 46, 66, 69 Metaphorical framing (effect), 32-35 Metaphoric structuring, 50 Methodological transparency, 28 Micro-phenomenology, 46 Model, 10, 14, 34, 36, 46-48, 50-52, 55, 127–129, 132–134, 136, 137, 139, 140, 145–150, 157, 160, 161, 163, 164, 175, 182, 183, 186, 200, 215, 233 Modelling excellence, 131-140 as a methodology, 46, 56, 183, 188 phases of, 127, 128 real-time, 48-51, 231, 233 symbolic, 45, 46, 50-51, 57, 132, 182 systemic, 132, 143, 164 Modelling shared reality (MSR), 156-158, 164-166 Narrative, 47, 57, 90, 97, 102, 128, 137,

158, 208, 210, 211, 214

Neurodifferent, neurodivergent, neurodiverse (ND), 13, 14, 102-105, 107-112, 132 Neurominority, 102, 105 Neurotypical (NT), 102-105, 107, 109-112 Neutrality (in conflict through CLI), 167-177 Nondirective method (Rogerian), 4, 11, 12, 91 Ontological collapse, 121–122, 128 Open question(s), 9, 19, 26, 71, 93, 98, 106, 121, 124, 134, 152, 187, 206 Organisational change, 156, 165, 166, 202, 226 Pace (of question delivery), 70, 94, 98, 99, 160 Paraphrasing, 4, 26, 72, 78, 83, 84, 149 Parcelling out (a sentence), 145, 146, 152 Participatory action research, 168, 176 Perceptual reality/experience (interviewee's), 7, 12, 34 Performance improvement, 132 Phenomenology/phenomenological research, 7, 8, 17, 18, 22, 63, 224 Pictures, 35, 135, 136, 156, 180, 196, 198, 202, 231 Power balance/relations (in the interview), 12, 93, 94 Presupposition, 19–25, 28, 33, 34, 36, 71, 107, 209 logical, 25 structural, 21, 56 Psychological safety, 133 Psychometrics, 76, 103–105, 107–112 Psychotherapy, 4-6, 11, 46, 64, 69, 70, 72, 121 Qualitative

interview, 10, 33, 48 phases of research, 34, 38–40

research, 6, 18, 19, 21, 27, 31-40, 48, 153, 193 Quality assurance, 179, 182 Question-Behaviour Effect (QBE), 202 Questioning technique (CLI as a), 10, 13, 70, 73, 222, 224, 230 Questionnaire, 104, 107 Ouestions classically clean, 8, 9, 23, 26, 52, 72, 82, 83, 134, 146, 149, 195, 211, 212, 215, 223, 226, 228, 230 clean, 8-10, 27, 35, 38-40, 46, 49-52, 55, 72, 76, 78, 81, 84, 126, 136, 144, 157, 164, 168–170, 172, 173, 175, 176, 182, 185, 186, 188, 189, 194, 197, 207, 211–212, 214, 215, 222-223, 226-230 closed, 9, 19, 206 contextually clean, 23, 24, 36, 52, 54, 72, 78, 82, 83, 105, 106, 134, 145, 170, 195, 198, 199, 202, 211, 212, 215, 230 leading, 4, 5, 12, 13, 17–28, 34, 39, 56, 82, 84, 187, 207-212, 214, 215, 224, 228 logically clean, 23 mildly leading, 23, 26, 82, 83, 105, 106, 138, 212–214 open, 9, 19, 26, 71, 93, 98, 106, 121, 124, 134, 152, 187, 206 strongly leading, 23-27, 34, 39, 82, 83, 224 topically clean, 23 Rapport, 7, 46, 90, 97, 98, 104, 150, 186, 201, 207, 211, 232 Recruitment (and selection), 103 Reflection(s), 13, 26, 38, 39, 54, 65, 71, 84, 85, 90, 91, 111, 143, 144, 153, 165, 222, 223, 227, 230 Reflexivity (category of classically clean questions), 9 Reflexivity (researcher), 27, 28, 38, 86

Relationship (category of classically clean questions), 9 Research interviewing, 3, 4, 6, 9, 12, 35, 39, 48, 50, 56, 64, 69, 70, 72, 73, 192, 224, 229 Root cause analysis (RCA), 14, 206–210, 214, 215 Safety (of interviewee), 206, 211, 215 Scaling, 68 Schema, 19, 51, 146, 149, 152, 161 Self-model(ling), 46, 51, 53, 56, 139, 231 Semantic primes, 8, 49, 223 Semi-structured interviews, 10, 39, 159, 207, 230 Sensitive issues (interviewing about), 12 Sequence (category of classically clean questions), 9, 49 Serious injury/fatality investigations, 14, 206–208, 210–215 Set-up, 64, 157–159, 173 Situational judgement test (SIT), 103 Social learning theory (Albert Bandura), 45 Socially constructed norms, 13, 111 Source (category of classically clean questions), 9 Structural mapping, 31 Suggestibility, 19, 211 Survey, 104–107, 223 Symbolic landscape, 125 Symbolic modelling, 45, 46, 50-51, 57, 132, 182 Syntax (of clean questions), 20, 25, 49, 81, 165 Systemic modelling, 132, 143, 164 Tacit knowledge, 37, 48, 49, 55, 56, 77, 193, 199, 227, 231 Talent assessment tool, 103-104 Test design, 107, 111 Theories of research interviewing, 7, 8 Tone of voice, curiosity, 214

Training (in CLI), 38, 95, 183, 189, 206, 207, 209, 211–215, 230 Training (of interviewers), 38, 207, 211–214 Transcribing, 39, 65, 78, 134, 147, 194, 207 Translation (of CLI questions), 126, 188, 228 Trust, 79, 80, 99, 158, 161, 170–172, 175, 196, 197, 211, 232 Trustworthiness, 28, 38, 39 Universal constructs, 23 Value-strings process, 14, 193–198, 200–202 Visual imagery, 192, 226–228 Visual metaphors, 75, 79, 191, 193, 196, 198, 201 Vulnerable (interviewees, groups), 6, 12, 13, 34, 64, 208 Witnesses (interviewing), 98, 206, 207,

211–214 Work-life balance, 6, 36, 47, 63–73

Yamagami-Small (YS) process, 183–186, 188, 189