

References

- Abbott, C. (2007). *E-inclusion: Learning difficulties and digital technologies*. Bristol: Futurelab. Retrieved from <https://www.nfer.ac.uk/media/1829/futl66.pdf>. Accessed on October 30, 2019.
- Ågren, A., Kjellberg, A., & Hemmingsson, H. (2018). Access to and use of the internet among adolescents and young adults with intellectual disabilities in everyday settings. *Journal of Intellectual and Developmental Disability*, 1–10. doi: [10.3109/13668250.2018.1518898](https://doi.org/10.3109/13668250.2018.1518898).
- Alexander, D. (n.d.). *Usability and accessibility: Best friends or worst enemies?* Melbourne, VIC: Monash University. Retrieved from <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/ed5a/f5319b92d9810e1fdb3a4b9f6774baf988e0.pdf>. Accessed on September 20, 2019.
- Allen, M. L., Hartley, C., & Cain, K. (2016). iPads and the use of “apps” by children with Autism spectrum disorder: Do they promote learning? *Frontiers in Psychology*, 7, 1305.
- Android.com. (n.d.). User interface guidelines. Retrieved from https://developer.android.com/guide/practices/ui_guidelines/index.html. Accessed on December 21, 2017.
- Antaki, C., Young, N., & Finlay, M. (2002). Shaping clients’ answers: Departures from neutrality in care- staff interviews with people with a learning disability. *Disability and Society*, 17(4), 435–455.
- Apple.com. (2017). Human interface guidelines. Retrieved from <https://developer.apple.com/ios/human-interface-guidelines/overview/themes/>. Accessed on December 21, 2017.
- Archibald, M., & Munce, S. (2015). Challenges and strategies in the recruitment of participants for qualitative research. *University of Alberta Health Sciences Journal*, 11(1), 34–37.
- Atkinson, D. (2010). Narratives and people with learning disabilities. In G. Grant, P. Ramcharam, M. Flynn, & M. Richardson (Eds.), *Learning disability: A lifecycle approach* (pp. 7–18). Milton Keynes: Open University Press.
- Atkinson, D., & Cooper, M. (2000). Parallel stories. In L. Brigham, D. Atkinson, M. Jackson, S. Rolph, & J. Walmsley (Eds.), *Crossing boundaries: Change and continuity in the history of learning disabilities*. Kidderminster: BILD.
- Attwood, T. (1998). *Asperger’s syndrome: A guide for parents and professionals*. London: Jessica Kingsley Press.
- Auger, C., Leduc, E., Labbé, D., Guay, C., Fillion, B., Bottari, C., & Swaine, B. (2014). Mobile applications for participation at the shopping mall: Content analysis and usability for persons with physical disabilities and communication or cognitive limitations. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 11(12), 12777–12794. doi:[10.3390/ijerph111212777](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph111212777).

- Ault, M., Baggerman, M., & Horn, C. (2017). Effects of an app incorporating systematic instruction to teach spelling to students with developmental delays. *Journal of Special Education Technology*, 32(3), 123–137.
- Baddeley, A., & Hitch, G. (1974). Working memory. In G. H. Bower (Ed.), *The psychology of learning and motivation: Advances in research and theory* (pp. 47–89). New York, NY: Academic Press.
- Banes, D., & Walter, R. (2002). *Internet for all* (2nd ed.). London: David Fulton.
- Bastawrous, T. (2016). Knowledge dimensions: Factual, conceptual, procedural, and metacognitive. *Educational Theory and Practice*. Retrieved from <http://edtheory.blogspot.com/2016/03/knowledge-dimensions-factual-conceptual.html>. Accessed on August 9, 2019.
- BDA (British Dyslexia Association). (n.d.). *Dyslexia friendly style guide*. Retrieved from <https://www.bdadyslexia.org.uk/advice/employers/creating-a-dyslexia-friendly-workplace/dyslexia-friendly-style-guide>. Accessed on January 15, 2020.
- Beighton, C., Victor, C., Carey, I. M., Hosking, F., DeWilde, S., Cook, D. G., & Harris, T. (2017). ‘I’m sure we made it a better study...’: Experiences of adults with intellectual disabilities and parent carers of patient and public involvement in a health research study. *Journal of Intellectual Disabilities*, 23(1), 1–19.
- Bernard, M., Chaparro, B., Mills, M., & Halcomb, C. (2003). Comparing the effects of text size and format on the readability of computer-displayed Times New Roman and Arial text. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 59, 823–835.
- Bernard, M., Liao, C., & Mills, M. (2001). The effects of font type and size on the legibility and reading time of online text by older adults. In *CHI '01 extended abstracts on human factors in computing systems* (pp. 175–176). Seattle Washington: ACM (Association for Computing Machinery).
- Bigby, C., Frawley, P., & Ramcharan, P. (2014). Conceptualizing inclusive research with people with intellectual disability. *Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities*, 27(1), 3–12.
- BILD (British Institute of Learning Disabilities). (2018). *Definitions of learning disability and learning difficulties*. Birmingham: BILD. Retrieved from <http://www.bild.org.uk/EasySiteWeb/GatewayLink.aspx?allId=3961>. Accessed on October 21, 2019.
- Bindman, D., & Kripalani, M. (2014). Are we reinforcing the anti-medical model? *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 205(6), 499.
- Bloom, B. (1956). *Taxonomy of educational objectives*. Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon.
- Bloom, B., Mesia, B., & Krathwohl, D. (1964). *Taxonomy of educational objectives*. 2 vols. The Affective Domain & The Cognitive Domain. New York, NY: David McKay.
- Bohman, P. (2013). Cognitive disabilities part 1: We still know too little, and we do even less. Retrieved from https://webaim.org/articles/cognitive/cognitive_too_little/. Accessed on September 20, 2019.
- Bohman, P., & Anderson, S. (2005). A conceptual Framework for accessibility Tools to benefit Users with cognitive disabilities. In *W4A '05 proceedings of the 2005 international cross-disciplinary workshop on web accessibility (W4A)*, Chiba (pp. 85–89).
- Bohman, U. (2010). The need for easy-to-read information on websites. In K. Miesenberger, W. Zagler, & A. Karthmer (Eds.), *Computers helping people with*

- special needs, Part I: 12th international conference: ICCHP 2010*, Vienna (pp. 634–640). Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
- Brech, J. (2017). Inverted pyramid. Retrieved from <https://webwisewording.com/inverted-pyramid/>. Accessed on September 11, 2019.
- British Council. (n.d.-a). Teaching knowledge database. Scanning. Retrieved from <https://www.teachingenglish.org.uk/article/scanning>. Accessed on October 18, 2019.
- British Council. (n.d.-b). Teaching knowledge database. Skimming. Retrieved from <https://www.teachingenglish.org.uk/article/skimming>. Accessed on October 18, 2019.
- British Red Cross. (2009). *Ten-minute briefing – The social model of disability*. London: British Red Cross. Retrieved from <http://www.redcross.org.uk/standard.asp?id=58926>. Accessed on March 23, 2010.
- Burke, C. (2005). Play in focus: Children researching their own spaces and places for play. *Children, Youth, Environments*, 15(1), 27–53.
- Butler, G., Cresswell, A., Giatras, N., & Tuffrey-Wijne, I. (2012). Doing it together (DM special issue). *British Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 40, 134–142.
- Cáliz, D., Martínez, L., Alamán, X., Terán, C., & Cáliz, R. (2016). Usability testing in mobile applications involving people with down syndrome: A literature review. *Computer Science & Information Technology*, 6(7), 1–11.
- Cameron, L., & Murphy, J. (2007). Obtaining consent to participate in research: The issues involved in including people with a range of learning and communication disabilities. *British Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 35(2), 113–120.
- Carpenter, R., & Kinsler, V. (1995). Saccadic eye movements while reading music. *Vision Research*, 35, 1447–1458.
- Carter, P., Bignardi, G., Hollands, G. J., & Marteau, T. M. (2018). Information-based cues at point of choice to change selection and consumption of food, alcohol and tobacco products: A systematic review. *BMC Public Health*, 18(1), 418.
- Case, D., & Given, L. (Eds.). (2016). *Looking for information: A survey of research on information seeking, needs, and behavior* (4th ed.). Bingley: Emerald Publishing.
- Change People. (n.d.). Our services. Retrieved from <https://www.changepeople.org/>. Accessed on November 5, 2019.
- Change People. (2018). How to make information accessible. Retrieved from <https://www.changepeople.org/getmedia/923a6399-c13f-418c-bb29-051413f7e3a3/How-to-make-info-accessible-guide-2016-Final>. Accessed on October 11, 2019.
- Chaparro, A., & Liao, C. (2003). The effect of text orientation, visual meridian, and inter-character spacing on word identification in the retinal periphery. *Perception*, 32(11), 1339–1350.
- Chen, S., & Ford, N. (1998). Modelling user navigation behaviours in a hypermedia-based learning system: An individual differences approach. *Knowledge Organization*, 25(3), 67–78.
- Cheshire and Wirral Partnership. (2015). *Developing easy to read information checklist for staff*. Chester: Cheshire and Wirral Partnership. Retrieved from <http://webstore.cwp.nhs.uk/publications/ld/website/checklist.pdf>. Accessed on September 6, 2019.

- Chiner, E., Gómez-Puerta, M., & Cardona-Moltó, M. (2017). Internet use, risks and online behaviour: The view of internet users with intellectual disabilities and their caregivers. *British Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 45(3), 190–197.
- Choi, J., & Bakken, S. (2010). Web-based education for low-literate parents in neonatal intensive care unit: Development of a website and heuristic evaluation and usability testing. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, 79(8), 565–575.
- Codling, M., & Macdonald, N. (2008). User-friendly information: Does it convey what it intends? *Learning Disability Practice*, 11(1), 12–17.
- Coiro, J. (2011). Predicting reading comprehension on the internet: Contributions of offline reading skills, online reading skills, and prior knowledge. *Journal of Literacy Research*, 43(4), 352–392.
- Coleman, E., & Hahn, S. (1966). Failure to improve readability with a vertical typography. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 50(5), 434–436.
- Colter, A., & Summers, K. (2014). Low literacy users. In J. Bergstrom & A. Schall (Eds.), *Eye tracking in user experience design* (pp. 331–348). Oxford: Elsevier.
- Cook, T., & Inglis, P. (2012). Participatory research with men with learning disability: Informed consent. *Tizard Learning Disability Review*, 17(2), 92–101.
- Courage, C., & Baxter, K. (2015). *Understanding your users: A practical guide to user requirements methods, tools, and techniques* (2nd ed.). San Francisco, CA: Morgan Kaufmann.
- Cumming, T., Strnadová, I., Knox, M., & Parmenter, T. (2014). Mobile technology in inclusive research: Tools of empowerment. *Disability & Society*, 29(7), 999–1012.
- Daintith, J., & Wright, E. (2016). *A dictionary of computing* (7th ed.). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Davidson, J., Liebald, B., Liu, J., Nandy, P., Van Vleet, T., Gargi, U., ... Sampath, D. (2010, September 26–30). The YouTube video recommendation system. In *Fourth ACM conference on recommender systems*, Barcelona, Spain (pp. 293–296).
- Davies, D., Stock, S., & Wehmeyer, M. (2001). Enhancing independent internet access for individuals with mental retardation through use of a specialized web browser. *A pilot study Education and Training in Mental Retardation and Developmental Disabilities*, 36(1), 107–113.
- Dawe, M. (2007). Understanding mobile phone requirements for young adults with cognitive disabilities. In *Proceedings of the 9th international ACM SIGACCESS conference on computers and accessibility (Assets '07)* (pp. 179–186). New York, NY: ACM.
- Dean, E., Turner, S., Cash, J., & Winterbottom, P. (1998). Assessing the capacity to give consent. *Nursing Times*, 94(40), 58–59.
- Debenham, L. (2018). Social inclusion and learning disabilities. Retrieved from <http://www.aboutlearningdisabilities.co.uk/social-inclusion-learning-disabilities.html>. Accessed on October 29, 2019.
- Deng, X., Kahn, B., Unnava, H., & Lee, H. (2016). “Wide” variety: Effects of horizontal versus vertical display on assortment processing, perceived variety, and choice. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 53(5), 682–698.
- Denscombe, M. (2014). *The good research guide: For small-scale social research projects* (5th ed.). Maidenhead: Open University Press.
- DeStefano, D., & LeFevre, J. (2007). Cognitive load in hypertext reading: A review. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 23, 1616–1641.

- Detheridge, T., & Detheridge, M. (2002). *Literacy through symbols: Improving access for children and adults* (2nd ed.). London: Routledge.
- DH (Department of Health). (2001). *Valuing people: A new strategy for learning disability for the 21st century – A white paper*. London: Department of Health.
- DH (Department of Health). (2008). *Transition: Moving on well*. London: Department of Health. Retrieved from https://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20130105031823/http://www.dh.gov.uk/prod_consum_dh/groups/dh_digitalassets/@dh/@en/documents/digitalasset/dh_083593.pdf. Accessed on December 2, 2018.
- DH (Department of Health). (2010, September). *Valuing people now: Summary report march 2009*. Retrieved from https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/215891/dh_122387.pdf. Accessed on October 29, 2019.
- Dillon, A., McKnight, C., & Richardson, J. (1993). Space – The final chapter or why physical representation are not semantic intentions. In C. McKnight, A. Dillon, & A. Richardson (Eds.), *Hypertext: A psychological perspective* (pp. 169–191). Chichester: Ellis Horwood.
- Dolch, E. W. (1948). *Problems in reading*. Champaign, IL: The Garrard Press.
- DWP (Department for Work and Pensions). (2019). *2019 progress report on the UK's vision to build a society which is fully inclusive of disabled people*. London: DWP. Retrieved from <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/disabled-peoples-rights-the-uks-2019-report-on-select-recommendations-of-the-un-periodic-review/2019-progress-report-on-the-uks-vision-to-build-a-society-which-is-fully-inclusive-of-disabled-people>. Accessed on October 29, 2019.
- Edwards, W., & Luckasson, R. (1992). *Mental retardation: Definition, classification, and systems of supports*. Washington, DC: American Association on Mental Retardation.
- Eili, R., Kvale, G., Lars-Göran Öst, O., & Hansen, B. (2019). Does family accommodation predict outcome of concentrated exposure and response prevention for adolescents? *Child Psychiatry and Human Development*, 50(6), 975–986.
- Erazo, M., & Zimmermann, G. (2015). Design and evaluation of a simplified online banking interface for people with cognitive disabilities. In *Proceedings of the 17th international ACM SIGACCESS conference on computers & accessibility* (pp. 309–310). New York, NY: ACM.
- Farrimond, H. (2013). *Doing ethical research*. Basingstoke: Palgrave.
- Fessenden, T. (2018). *Scrolling and attention*. Fremont, CA: Nielsen Norman Group. Retrieved from <https://www.nngroup.com/articles/scrolling-and-attention/>. Accessed on October 29, 2019.
- Fidel, R., Davies, R., Douglass, M., Holder, J., Hopkins, C., Kushner, E., ... Toney, C. (1999). A visit to the information mall: Web searching behavior of high school students. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science*, 50(1), 24–37.
- Finlay, W., & Lyons, E. (2001). Methodological issues in interviewing and using self-report questionnaires with people with mental retardation. *Psychological Assessment*, 13(3), 319–335.
- Ford, N. (2015). *Introduction to information behaviour*. London: Facet.
- FPLD (Foundation for People with Learning Disabilities). (n.d.). *What about us!* London: FPLD. Retrieved from <http://www.whataboutus.org.uk/>. Accessed on July 2, 2019.

- FPLD (Foundation for People with Learning Disabilities). (2019). *FRIENDS for life*. London: FPLD. Retrieved from <https://www.mentalhealth.org.uk/learning-disabilities/our-work/employment-education/friends-for-life>. Accessed on July 2, 2019.
- Frank, G. (1988). Reflections on NoteCards: Seven issues for the next generation of hypermedia systems. *Communications of the ACM*, 31(7), 836–852.
- Frankena, T., Naaldenberg, J., Tobi, H., Cruijsen, A., Jansen, H., van Schrojenstein Lantman-de Valk, H., ... Cardol, M. (2019). A membership categorization analysis of roles, activities and relationships in inclusive research conducted by co-researchers with intellectual disabilities. *Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities*, 32(3), 719–729.
- Frankham, J. (2009). *Partnership research: A review of approaches and challenges in conducting research in partnership with service users ESRC*. National Centre for Research Methods Review Paper. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/41125135_Partnership_Research_A_Review_of_Approaches_and_Challenges_in_Conducting_Research_in_Partnership_with_Service_Users. Accessed on October 31, 2019.
- Freeman, M., & Mathison, S. (2009). *Researching children's experience*. New York, NY: Guilford.
- Gallacher, L., & Gallagher, M. (2008). Methodological immaturity in childhood research?: Thinking through 'participatory methods'. *Childhood*, 15(4), 499–516.
- Gerber, C. (n.d.). Printable spelling lists. Retrieved from http://home-school.loveto-know.com/Printable_Spelling_Lists. Accessed on February 9, 2019.
- Gilstrap, L., & Papierno, P. (2004). Is the cart pushing the horse? The effects of child characteristics on children's and adults' interview behavior. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 18, 1059–1078.
- Goldsmith, L., & Skirton, H. (2015). Research involving people with a learning disability – Methodological challenges and ethical considerations. *Journal of Research in Nursing*, 20(6), 435–446.
- Gómez, X., Alamán, G., Montoro, J. C., Torrado, C., & Plaza, A. (2013). AmICog – Mobile technologies to assist people with cognitive disabilities in the work place. *ADCAIJ: Advances in Distributed Computing and Artificial Intelligence Journal*, 2(7), 9–17.
- Gomm, R. (2004). *Social research methodology: A critical introduction*. London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Goodwin, J., Mason, V., Williams, V., & Townsley, R. (2015). Easy information about research: Getting the message out to people with learning disabilities. *British Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 43, 93–99.
- Groth-Marnat, G. (2009). *Handbook of psychological assessment* (5th ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.
- Hahnel, C., Goldhammer, F., Naumann, J., & Kröhne, U. (2016). Effects of linear reading, basic computer skills, evaluating online information, and navigation on reading digital text. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 55(Part A), 486–500.
- Harrysson, B., Svensk, A., & Johansson, G. (2004). How people with developmental disabilities navigate the Internet. *British Journal of Special Education*, 31(3), 138–142.
- Haylock, D. (2007). *Key concepts in teaching primary mathematics*. London: SAGE Publications.

- Head, A., Ellis-Caird, H., Rhodes, L., & Parkinson, K. (2018). Transforming identities through transforming care: How people with learning disabilities experience moving out of hospital. *British Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 46(1), 64–70.
- Heal, L., & Sigelman, K. (1995). Response biases in interviews of individuals with limited mental ability. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, 39(4), 331–340.
- HMG Her Majesty's Government. (2005). *Mental capacity act 2005*. London: HMSO.
- HMG (Her Majesty's Government). (2010). *Equality act 2010*. London: HMSO.
- Ho, P., Downs, J., Bulsara, C., Patman, S., & Hill, A. (2018). Addressing challenges in gaining informed consent for a research study investigating falls in people with intellectual disability. *British Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 46(2), 92–100.
- Hoehl, J., & Lewis, C. (2011). Mobile web on the desktop: Simpler web browsing. In *Proceedings of the 13th international ACM SIGACCESS conference on computers and accessibility* (pp. 263–264). New York, NY: ACM.
- Hoehl, J., Lewis, C., Basman, A., Braddock, D., Palen, L., Tanis, S., & Yeh, T. (2016). *Exploring web simplification for people with cognitive disabilities*. Unpublished doctoral theses, University of Colorado, Boulder.
- Holland, K. (2011). *Factsheet: Learning disabilities*. Birmingham: BILD. Retrieved from <http://www.bild.org.uk/EasySiteWeb/GatewayLink.aspx?aId=8188>. Accessed on October 20, 2019.
- Holmes, J. (2008). Patrons with developmental disabilities: A needs assessment survey. *New Library World*, 109(11/12), 533–545.
- Holmes, K. M., & O'Loughlin, N. (2014). The experiences of people with learning disabilities on social networking sites. *British Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 42(1), 1–5.
- Holsanova, J., Holmberg, N., & Holmqvist, K. (2006). *Tracing integration of text and pictures in newspaper reading*. Lund University Cognitive Studies 125. Lund University, Lund. Retrieved from www.lucs.lu.se/LUCS/125/LUCS125.pdf. Accessed on October 15, 2019.
- Horton, S. (2006). *Access by design: A guide to universal usability for Web designers*. Berkeley, CA: New Rider Press.
- Horton, S., & Quesenbery, W. (2014). *A Web for everyone: Designing accessible user experiences*. New York, NY: Rosenfeld.
- Howarth, S., Morris, D., Newlin, M., & Webber, M. (2016). Health and social care interventions which promote social participation for adults with learning disabilities: A review. *British Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 44(1), 3–15.
- Huer, M. B. (2000). Examining perceptions of graphic symbols across cultures: Preliminary study of the impact of culture/ethnicity. *AAC Augmentative and Alternative Communication*, 16(9), 180–185.
- Hurtado, B., Jones, L., & Burniston, F. (2014). Is easy-read information really easier to read? *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, 58(9), 822–829.
- Hyojung, S., & Lee, C. (2002). Head-free reading of horizontally and vertically arranged texts. *Vision Research*, 42(10), 1325–1337.
- ISO (International Organization for Standardization). (2019). Ergonomics of human-system interaction — Part 210: Human-centred design for interactive systems. Retrieved from <https://www.iso.org/obp/ui/#iso:std:iso:9241:-210:ed-2:v1:en>. Accessed on October 30, 2019.

- James, A., Draffan, E., & Wald, M. (2017). Designing web-apps for all: How do we include designing web-apps for all: How do we include those with cognitive disabilities? *Studies in Health Technology and Informatics*, 242, 665–668.
- Jones, F., Long, K., & Finlay, W. (2007). Symbols can improve the reading comprehension of adults with learning disabilities. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, 51(7), 545–550.
- Kanniainen, L., Leppänen, P., Kiili, C., Tolvanen, A., & Aro, M. (2019). Literacy skills and online research and comprehension: Struggling readers face difficulties online. *Reading and Writing*, 32(9), 2201–2222.
- Karremans, J., van der Geest, V., & Buursink, E. (2007). Accessible website content guidelines for users with intellectual disabilities. *Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities*, 20(6), 510–518.
- Kellett, M. (2005). *How to develop children as researchers*. London: Sage.
- Kennedy, H. (2008). New media's potential for personalization. *Information, Communication & Society*, 11(3), 307–325.
- Kiernan, C. (1999). Participation in research by people with learning disability: origins and issues. *British Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 27, 43–47.
- Kim, J.-Y. (2018). A study of social media users' perceptual typologies and relationships to self-identity and personality. *Internet Research*, 28(3), 767–784.
- King, A. (1993). From sage on the stage to guide on the side. *College Teaching*, 41(1), 30–35.
- Kleine, B., & Camargo, O. (2018). A proposed functional abilities classification tool for developmental disorders affecting learning and behaviour. *Frontiers in Education*, 3, 2. Retrieved from <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/educ.2018.00002/full>. Accessed on October 31, 2019.
- Kodagoda, N., Wong, B., William, L., & Khan, N. (2009). Identifying information seeking behaviours of low and high literacy users: Combined cognitive task analysis. In *Proceedings of NDM9, the 9th international conference on naturalistic decision making* (pp. 347–354). London: The British Computer Society.
- Kreuter, E. (2017). *The collector mentality: Modernization of the hunter-gatherer*. New York, NY: Nova Science Publishers.
- Kroeger, P. (2005). *Analyzing grammar: An introduction* (p. 13). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Krosnick, J., Holbrook, A., Berent, M., Carson, R., Hanemann, W., Kopp, R., ... Conaway, M. (2002). The impact of “no opinion” response options on data quality: Non-attitude reduction or an invitation to satisfice? *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 66(3), 371–403.
- Kumin, L., Lazar, J., Feng, J., & Ekedebe, N. (2012). A usability evaluation of workplace-related tasks on a multi-touch tablet computer by adults with down syndrome. *Journal of Usability Studies*, 7(4), 118–142.
- Laarni, J., Simola, J., Kojo, I., & Näsänen, R. (2004). Reading vertical text from a computer screen. *Behaviour & Information Technology*, 23(2), 75–82.
- Lacerda, T., & Von Wangenheim, C. (2017). Systematic literature review of usability capability/maturity models. *Computer Standards & Interfaces*, 55, 95–105.
- Lafferty, A., Mcconkey, R., & Taggart, L. (2013). Beyond friendship: The nature and meaning of close personal relationships as perceived by people with learning disabilities. *Disability & Society*, 28(8), 1074–1088.

- Large, A., Beheshti, J., & Breuleux, A. (1998). Information seeking in a multimedia environment by primary school students. *Library and Information Science Research*, 20(4), 343–376.
- LDAA (Learning Disabilities Association of America). (2013). *Symptoms of learning disabilities*. Pittsburgh, PA: LDAA. Retrieved from <http://www.ldaamerica.org/aboutld/teachers/understanding/symptoms.asp>. Accessed on September 26, 2019.
- Lemons, C. J., Zigmond, N., Kloof, A. M., Hill, D. R., Mrachko, A. A., Pattera, M. F., ... Davis, S. (2013). Performance of students with significant cognitive disabilities on early-grade curriculum-based measures of word and passage reading fluency. *Exceptional Children*, 79, 408–426.
- Lepisto, A., & Ovaska, S. (2004). Usability evaluation involving participants with cognitive disabilities. In *NordiCHI '04 third nordic Conference on human-computer interaction* (pp. 305–308). Tampere: Finland ACM Press.
- Lerner, J., & Johns, B. (2014). *Learning disabilities and related disabilities: Strategies for success* (13th ed.). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth.
- LetMeTalk. (n.d.). LetMeTalk: Free AAC Talker. Retrieved from <https://www.letmetalk.info/>. Accessed on November 10, 2019.
- Leu, D. J., Forzani, E., Burlingame, C., Kulikowich, J., Sedransk, N., & Coiro, J. (2013). The new literacies of online research and comprehension: Assessing and preparing students for the 21st century with common core state standards. In S. B. Newman & L. B. Gambrell (Eds.), *Quality reading instruction in the age of common core standards* (pp. 219–236). Newark, DE: International Reading Association.
- Lewis, A., & Porter, J. (2004). Interviewing children and young people with learning disabilities. *British Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 32(4), 191–197.
- Lewis, C. H. (1982). *Using the "thinking aloud" method in cognitive interface design*. Technical Report IBM. RC-9265. IBM Watson Research Center, Yorktown Heights, NY.
- Lidwell, W., Holden, K., & Butler, J. (2007). *Universal principles of design*. Beverly, MA: Rockport Publishers.
- Ling, J., & Van Schaik, P. (2006). The influence of font type and line length on visual search and information retrieval in webpages. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 64(5), 395–404.
- Lyons, J. (1995). *Linguistic semantics: An introduction*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- MacCormack, J., & Matheson, I. (2015). Understanding working memory and learning disabilities. Retrieved from <https://www.ldatschool.ca/understanding-working-memory-and-lds/>. Accessed on September 20, 2019.
- Majchrzak, A., Faraj, S., Kane, G. C., & Azad, B. (2013). The contradictory influence of social media affordances on online communal knowledge sharing. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 19(1), 38–55.
- Manley, K., Collins, B., Stenhoff, C., & Kleinert, D. (2008). Using a system of least prompts procedure to teach telephone skills to elementary students with cognitive disabilities. *Journal of Behavioral Education*, 17(3), 221–236.
- Manning, C. R., & Schütze, H. (2008). *Introduction to information retrieval*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Mariger, H. (2019). *Cognitive disabilities and the web: Where accessibility and usability meet*. Retrieved from <http://ncdae.org/resources/articles/cognitive/>. Accessed on October 31, 2019.

- Martinez, M., Robert, M., Sutton, R., & Douglas, L. (2016). *An investigation of fifth graders' reading strategies when reading digital texts in regard to overall retention of content material by proficient and non-proficient readers*. Doctoral thesis, Indiana University of Pennsylvania, Indiana, PA.
- Matausch, K., & Peboeck, B. (2010, July 14–16). EasyWeb – A study of how people with specific learning difficulties can be supported on using the internet. In K. Miesenberger, J. Klaus, & W. Zagler (Eds.), *Computers helping people with special needs, 12th international conference, ICCHP 2010*, Vienna, Austria (pp. 641–648). Proceedings.
- Mayer, R. E. (2001). Changing conceptions of learning: A century of progress in the scientific study of learning. In L. Corno (Ed.), *Education across the century: The centennial volume—One hundredth yearbook of the National Society for the Study of Education* (pp. 34–75). Chicago, IL: National Society for the Study of Education.
- McArthur, T. B., McArthur, T., & McArthur, R. (2005). *Concise oxford companion to the English language*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- McCarthy, J., & Swierenga, S. (2010). What we know about dyslexia and Web accessibility: A research review. *Universal Access in the Information Society*, 9(2), 147–152.
- McClimens, A. (2008). This is my truth, tell me yours: Exploring the internal tensions within collaborative learning disability research. *British Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 36(4), 271–276.
- McIntosh, C. (2013). *Cambridge advanced learner's dictionary & thesaurus*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Retrieved from <https://dictionary.cambridge.org/dictionary/english/random>. Accessed on July 25, 2019.
- McKenzie, K., Whelan, K., Mayer, C., McNall, A., Noone, S., & Chaplin, J. (2018). “I feel like just a normal person now”: An exploration of the perceptions of people with intellectual disabilities about what is important in the provision of positive behavioural support. *British Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 46(4), 241–249.
- Meisenberg, G., & Williams, A. (2008). Are acquiescent and extreme response styles related to low intelligence and education? *Personality and Individual Differences*, 44(7), 1539–1550.
- Mencap. (n.d.-a). *Inclusion*. Retrieved from <https://www.mencap.org.uk/about-us/inclusion>. Accessed on October 29, 2019.
- Mencap. (n.d.-b). *Web accessibility statement*. Retrieved from <https://www.mencap.org.uk/web-accessibility-statement>. Accessed on July 26, 2007. Now at: www.intrasolutions.co.uk/website_accessibility.pdf. Accessed on October 20, 2011.
- Mills, C., & Weldon, L. (1987). Reading test from computer screens. *ACM Computing Surveys*, 19(4), 329–358.
- Minnion, A., Staples, P., Singh, R., & Williams, P. (2008). *Beyond the road ahead*. Report submitted to the Social Care Institute of Excellence. London: University of East London.
- Molin, M., Sorbring, E., & Löfgren-Mårtenson, L. (2015). Avd för psykologi och organisationsstudier, Högskolan Väst, Avd för socialpedagogik och sociologi & Institutionen för individ och samhälle, “Teachers’ and parents’ views on the Internet and social media usage by pupils with intellectual disabilities”. *Journal of Intellectual Disabilities*, 19(1), 22–33.

- Moll, J. (1986). Doctor-patient communication in rheumatology: Studies of visual and verbal perception using educational booklets and other graphic material. *Annals of Rheumatic Disease*, 45(3), 198–209.
- Morgan, F., Moni, K., & Cuskelly, M. (2015). The development of research skills in young adults with intellectual disability in participatory research. *International Journal of Disability, Development and Education*, 62(4), 438–457.
- Moser, C. (Chair) (1999). *A fresh start - improving literacy and numeracy*. London: HMSO. Retrieved from <http://www.educationengland.org.uk/documents/moser1999/moser-report.html>. Accessed on August 10, 2019.
- Moss, T. (2007). *Learning difficulties and web accessibility*. London: Webcredible. Retrieved from <https://www.webcredible.com/blog/learning-difficulties-and-web-accessibility/>. Accessed on October 29, 2019.
- Nayebi, F., Desharnais, J., & Abran, A. (2012). The state of the art of mobile application usability evaluation. In *25th IEEE Canadian conference on Electrical & Computer Engineering (CCECE)* (pp. 1–4). IEEE.
- NHS England. (2018). Guide to making information accessible for people with a learning disability. Retrieved from <https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2018/06/LearningDisabilityAccessCommsGuidance.pdf>. Accessed on October 10, 2019.
- NHS (National Health Service). (2014). A picture of health. Retrieved from <http://www.apictureofhealth.southwest.nhs.uk/>. Accessed on October 15, 2019.
- NHS (National Health Service). (2017). Accessible information standard specification (updated August 2017). Retrieved from <https://www.england.nhs.uk/publication/accessible-information-standard-specification/>. Accessed on November 1, 2019.
- Nicholas, D., Dobrowolski, T., Withey, R., Russell, C., Huntington, P., & Williams, P. (2003). Digital information consumers, players and purchasers: Information seeking behaviour in the new digital interactive environment. *ASLIB Proceedings*, 55(1/2), 23–31.
- Nicholas, D., Huntington, P., Jamali, H., Rowlands, I., & Fieldhouse, M. (2009). Student digital information-seeking behaviour in context. *Journal of Documentation*, 65(1), 106–132.
- Nicholas, D., Huntington, P., Jamali, H., & Watkinson, A. (2006). The information seeking behaviour of the users of digital scholarly journals. *Information Processing & Management*, 42(5), 1345–1365.
- Nicholas, D., Huntington, P., & Watkinson, A. (2005). Scholarly journal usage: The results of deep log analysis. *Journal of Documentation*, 61(2), 248–280.
- Nicholas, D., Huntington, P., Williams, P., & Dobrowolski, T. (2004). Re-appraising information seeking behaviour in a digital environment. *Journal of Documentation*, 60(1), 24–43.
- Nicholas, D., Rowlands, I., & Williams, P. (2011). E-journals, researchers – And the new librarians. *Learned Information*, 24(1), 15–27.
- Nicholas, D., Rowlands, I., Williams, P., Brown, D., & Clark, D. (2011). *E-journals, their use, value and impact*. London: RIN.
- Nicholas, D., Williams, P., Rowlands, I., & Jamali, H. (2010). Researchers' e-journal use and information seeking behaviour. *Journal of Information Science*, 36(4), 494–516.
- Nielsen, J. (1990). *Hypertext and hypermedia*. San Diego, CA: Academic Press.

- Nielsen, J. (1994). Heuristic evaluation. In J. Nielsen & R. Mack (Eds.), *Usability engineering methods* (pp. 25–62). London: Wiley.
- Nielsen, J. (2003). Usability 101: Introduction to usability. Alertbox. Retrieved from <http://www.useit.com/alertbox/20030825.html>. Accessed on September 20, 2019.
- Nielsen, J. (2005). *Lower-literacy users: Writing for a broad consumer audience*. Retrieved from <https://www.nngroup.com/articles/writing-for-lower-literacy-users/>. Accessed on September 9, 2019.
- Nind, M. (2014). *What is inclusive research?* London: Bloomsbury.
- Norman, D. (2013). *The design of everyday things*. New York, NY: Basic Books (Revised and expanded edition).
- OFCOM (Office of Communications). (2019a). *Internet use and attitudes*. Retrieved from <https://www.ofcom.org.uk/research-and-data/internet-and-on-demand-research/internet-use-and-attitudes>. Accessed on September 24, 2019.
- OFCOM (Office of Communications). (2019b). Disabled users access to and use of communication devices and services. Research summary: Learning disability. Retrieved from https://www.ofcom.org.uk/_data/assets/pdf_file/0026/132965/Research-summary-learning-disability.pdf. Accessed on September 24, 2019.
- OFCOM (Office of Communications). (2019c). Access and inclusion in 2018: Consumers' experiences in communications markets. Retrieved from https://www.ofcom.org.uk/_data/assets/pdf_file/0018/132912/Access-and-Inclusion-report-2018.pdf. Accessed on September 24, 2019.
- Ojanpaa, H., Näsänen, R., & Kojo, I. (2002). Eye movements in the visual search of word lists. *Vision Research*, 42(12), 1499–1512.
- Oliver, M. (2009). *Understanding disability, from theory to practice* (2nd ed.). London: Macmillan.
- Oxford Living Dictionaries. (2018). *Definition of smartphone in English oxford living dictionaries*. Retrieved from <https://en.oxforddictionaries.com/definition/smartphone>. Accessed on September 3, 2018.
- Oztekın, A., Kong, Z., & Uysal, O. (2010). UseLearn: A novel checklist and usability evaluation method for eLearning systems by criticality metric analysis. *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics*, 40(4), 455–469.
- Papavasiliou, S., Saridaki, M., Mourlas, C., & Van Isacker, K. (2014). Providing assistive ICT learning for people with disabilities through a personalised mobile application. In *IEEE 14th international conference on advanced learning technologies* Athens, Greece, July 2014, p. 592.
- Pérez-Cruzado, D., & Cuesta-Vargas, A. I. (2013). Improving adherence physical activity with a smartphone application based on adults with intellectual disabilities (APPCOID). *BMC Public Health*, 13(1), 1173.
- Pettit, N. (2014). What are affordances in web design? Retrieved from <http://blog.teamtreehouse.com/affordances-web-design>. Accessed on January 4, 2018.
- Pfeiffer, D. (2002). The philosophical foundations of disability studies. *Disability Studies Quarterly*, 22(2), 3–23.
- Poncelas, A., & Murphy, G. (2007). Accessible information for people with intellectual disabilities: Do symbols really help? *Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities*, 20(5), 466–474.
- Prosser, H., & Bromley, J. (1998). Interviewing people with intellectual disabilities. In E. Emerson, C. Hatton, J. Bromley, & A. Caine (Eds.), *Clinical psychology*

- and people with intellectual disabilities (pp. 99–113). New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons.
- Rabin, M., & Schrag, J. (1999). First impressions matter: A model of confirmatory bias. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 114(1), 37–82.
- Rello, L., Pielot, M., & Marcos, M. (2016). Make it big: The effect of font size and line spacing on online readability. In *Proceedings of the 2016 CHI conference on human factors in computing systems*, San Jose, CA (pp. 3637–3648).
- Ritter, M., & Winterbottom, C. (2017). *UX for the web*. Birmingham: Packt Limited.
- Roberts, J. (2016). *Writing for strategic communication industries*. Columbus, OH: Ohio State University. Retrieved from <https://ohiostate.pressbooks.pub/stratcommwriting/open/download?type=pdf>. Accessed on November 2, 2019.
- Rocha, T., Bessa, M., Bastardo, M., & Magalhães, L. (2018). Image-type representation: A preliminary study on preferences of users with intellectual disabilities. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 110, 1–11.
- Rocha, T., Bessa, M., & Cabral, L. (2016). Performing universal tasks using a mini iPad: Usability assessment per people with intellectual disabilities. In *Proceedings of the XVII international conference on human computer interaction* (pp. 1–6). Salamanca Spain: ACM (Association for Computing Machinery).
- Rocha, T., Bessa, M., Gonçalves, M., Cabral, L., Godinho, F., Peres, E., ... Chalmers, A. (2012). The recognition of webpages' hyperlinks by people with intellectual disabilities: An evaluation study. *Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities*, 25(6), 542–552.
- Rocha, T., Bessa, M., Magalhães, L., & Cabral, L. (2015). Performing universal tasks on the Web: interaction with digital content by people with intellectual disabilities. In: *XVI International Conference on HCI (interacción 2015)* (Vilanova i la Geltrú, Spain, September 7-9, 2015). Interacción 2015 Proceedings, ACM New York, NY, USA.
- Rocha, T., Carvalho, D., Bessa, M., Reis, S., & Magalhães, L. (2017). Usability evaluation of navigation tasks by people with intellectual disabilities: A Google and SAPO comparative study regarding different interaction modalities. *Universal Access in the Information Society*, 16(3), 581–592.
- Rogers, J. (1999). Trying to get it right: Undertaking research involving people with learning difficulties. *Disability & Society*, 14(4), 421–433.
- Ross, F., Donovan, S., Brearley, S., Victo, C., Cottee, M., Crowther, P., & Clark, E. (2005). Involving older people in research: Methodological issues. *Health and Social Care in the Community*, 13(3), 268–275.
- Rotondi, A., Sinkule, J., Haas, G., Spring, M., Litschge (Sarteschi), C., Newhill, C., ... Anderson, C. (2007). Designing websites for persons with cognitive deficits: Design and usability of a psychoeducational intervention for persons with severe mental illness. *Psychological Services*, 4(3), 202–224.
- Schrock, A. (2015). Communicative affordances of mobile media: Portability, availability, locatability, and multimediality. *International Journal of Communication*, 9, 1229–1246.
- Seely, J. (2013). *Oxford A-Z of grammar and punctuation*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Seeman, L., & Cooper, M. (2019). *Making content useable for people with cognitive and learning disabilities*. Retrieved from <https://www.w3.org/TR/coga-useable/#background>. Accessed on September 19, 2019.

- Sevilla, J., Herrera, G., Martinez, B., & Alcantud, F. (2007). Web accessibility for individuals with cognitive deficits: A comparative study between an existing commercial web and its cognitively accessible equivalent. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction*, 14(3), 1–23.
- Shakespeare, T. (2010). The social model of disability. In L. Davis (Ed.), *The disability rights reader* (pp. 266–273). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Shin, D. (2017). The role of affordance in the experience of virtual reality learning: Technological and affective affordances in virtual reality. *Telematics and Informatics*, 34(8), 1826–1836.
- Short, M. (2019). Alex Morgan's World Cup tea celebration evokes Independence Day for US. *BBC News*. Retrieved from <https://www.bbc.co.uk/sport/football/48851152>. Accessed on January 10, 2020.
- Shpigelman, C., & Gill, C. (2014). How do adults with intellectual disabilities use Facebook? *Disability & Society*, 29(10), 1601–1616.
- Sigelman, C., Budd, E., Spanhel, C., & Schoenrock, C. (1981). When in doubt, say yes: Acquiescence in interviews with mentally retarded persons. *Mental Retardation*, 19(2), 53–58.
- Sitbon, L., Bayor, B., Bircanin, F., Koplick, S., & Brereton, M. (2018). An exploration of how people with intellectual disability engage with online information retrieval. In *Conference on human factors in computing systems – Proceedings*, Montreal, Canada (pp. 1–6).
- Stalker, K. (1998). Some ethical and methodological issues in research with people with learning disabilities. *Disability & Society*, 13, 5–20.
- Statista. (2018). Number of smartphones sold to end users worldwide from 2007 to 2017 (in million units). Retrieved from <https://www.statista.com/statistics/263437/global-smartphone-sales-to-end-users-since-2007/>. Accessed on February 21, 2018.
- Stein, L. (2013). Content is king. *PRWeek*, 16(2), 42–43.
- Stöbel, C., & Blessing, L. (2010). Tap, swipe & pinch: Designing suitable multi-touch gestures for older users. In *Proceedings of the 11th international DESIGN conference*, Dubrovnik, Croatia. Design Society (pp. 463–472).
- Summers, K., Langford, J., Wu, J., Abela, C., & Souza, R. (2006). Designing web-based forms for users with lower literacy skills. *Proceedings of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 43(1), 1–12.
- Summers, K., & Summers, M. (2006). Reading and navigational strategies of web users with lower literacy skills. *Proceedings of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 2(1), 1–11. Retrieved from <https://aisistdl.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/epdf/10.1002/meet.1450420179>. Accessed on October 17, 2019.
- Sutcliffe, A., Fickas, S., Sohlberg, M., & Ehlhardt, L. (2003). Investigating the usability of assistive user interfaces. *Interacting with Computers*, 15(4), 577–602.
- Sutherland, R., & Isherwood, T. (2016). The evidence for easy-read for people with intellectual disabilities: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Policy and Practice in Intellectual Disabilities*, 13(4), 297–310.
- Sweller, J., Van Merriënboer, J., & Paas, F. (1998). Cognitive architecture and instructional design. *Educational Psychology Review*, 10(3), 251–296.
- Taber-Doughty, T. (2005). Considering student choice when selecting instructional strategies: A comparison of three prompting systems. *Research in Developmental Disabilities*, 26, 411–432.

- Tanis, E., Palmer, S., Wehmeyer, M., Davies, D., Stock, S., Lobb, K., & Bishop, B. (2012). Self-report computer-based survey of technology use by people with intellectual and developmental disabilities. *Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities, 50*(1), 53–68.
- Tarleton, B. (2004). *The road ahead? Information for young people with learning difficulties, their families and supporters at transition*. Bristol: Norah Fry Research Centre, University of Bristol.
- Térmens I Graells, M., Ribera, M., Porras, S., Mercè, B., Marc, S., & Andreu, P. (2009, April 20–24). Web content accessibility guidelines: From 1.0 to 2.0. In *Proceedings of the 18th international conference on World Wide Web, WWW 2009*, Madrid, Spain (pp. 1171–1172). Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Mireia_Ribera_Turro/publication/221023142_Web_content_accessibility_guidelines_from_10_to_20/links/02e7e521f2c7711fed000000/Web-content-accessibility-guidelines-from-10-to-20.pdf. Accessed on November 2, 2019.
- Test, D., Fowler, C., Wood, W., Brewer, D., & Eddy, S. (2005). A conceptual framework of self-advocacy for students with disabilities. *Remedial and Special Education, 26*(1), 43–54.
- Thatcher, J. (2018). Web accessibility for section 508. Retrieved from <https://jimthatcher.com/webcourse1.htm>. Accessed on September 20, 2019.
- Thomas, D., & Woods, H. (2003). *Working with people with learning disabilities: Theory and practice*. London: Jessica Kingsley.
- Tinker, M. (1963). *Legibility of print*. Ames, IA: Iowa State University Press.
- Torres, S., Weber, I., & Hiemstra, D. (2014). Analysis of search and browsing behavior of young users on the Web. *ACM Transactions on the Web, 8*(2, Article 7), 7.1–7.54.
- Townsley, R. (2004). *The road ahead? Literature review: What does the literature tell us about the information needs of young people with learning difficulties and their families at transition?* Bristol: Norah Fry Research Centre University of Bristol.
- Tuffrey-Wijne, I., Bernal, J., Jones, A., Butler, G., & Hollins, S. (2006). People with intellectual disabilities and their need for cancer information. *European Journal of Oncology Nursing, 10*(2), 106–116.
- Tullis, T. (2005, July 22–27). Web-based presentation of information: The top ten mistakes and why they are mistakes. In *HCI international 2005 conference proceedings*, Las Vegas, NV. Retrieved from <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/6365/31e43980a3ac40c4565953acb4f3ef04323b.pdf>. Accessed on October 1, 2019.
- Turnpenny, A., Caiels, J., Crowther, T., Richardson, L., Whelton, B., Beadle-Brown, J., ... Rand, S. (2015). Developing an easy-read version of the Adult Social Care Outcomes Toolkit (ASCOT). *Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities, 31*, e36–e48. Retrieved from <https://kar.kent.ac.uk/49040/1/4907.pdf>. Accessed on November 11, 2019.
- Tyrer, F., Dunkley, A. J., Spong, R., Gangadharan, S. K., Bhaumik, S., & Khunti, K. (2016). Involving service users with intellectual disability in research: Experiences from the STOP diabetes study. *Journal of Policy and Practice in Intellectual Disabilities, 14*(3), 255–261.
- Van Wingerden, E., Segers, E., Van Balkom, H., & Verhoeven, L. (2017). Foundations of reading comprehension in children with intellectual disabilities. *Research in Developmental Disabilities, 60*, 211–222.

- Van der Molen, M., Van Luit, J., Jongmans, M., & Van der Molen, M. (2007). Verbal working memory in children with mild intellectual disabilities. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, 51, 162–169.
- VanReusen, A., Bos, C., Schumaker, J., & Deshler, D. (1994). *The self-advocacy strategy for education and transition planning*. Lawrence, KS: Edge Enterprises.
- Venkatesh, V. (2000). Determinants of perceived ease of use: Integrating control, intrinsic motivation, and emotion into the technology acceptance model. *Information Systems Research*, 11(4), 342–365.
- W3C (The World Wide Web Consortium). (2019). Making content useable for people with cognitive and learning disabilities. Retrieved from <https://www.w3.org/TR/coga-usable/>. Accessed on October 29, 2019.
- W3C (World Wide Web Consortium). (1999). Web content accessibility guidelines 1.0. Retrieved from <http://www.w3.org/TR/WAI-WEBCONTENT/>. Accessed on September 26, 2011.
- W3C (World Wide Web Consortium). (2018). Web content accessibility guidelines (WCAG) 2.1. Retrieved from <https://www.w3.org/TR/WCAG21/>. Accessed on October 30, 2019.
- W3C-WAI (World Wide Web Consortium – Web Accessibility Initiative). (2017). Diverse abilities and barriers in how people with disabilities use the web. <https://www.w3.org/WAI/people-use-web/abilities-barriers/#cognitive>. Accessed on September 19, 2019.
- W3C-WAI (World Wide Web Consortium – Web Accessibility Initiative). (2018). What's new in WCAG 2.1. Retrieved from <https://www.w3.org/WAI/standards-guidelines/wcag/new-in-21/>. Accessed on October 11, 2019.
- Walmsley, J., & Johnson, K. (2003). *Inclusive research with people with learning disabilities: Past, present and futures*. London: Jessica Kingsley
- Ware, J. (1996). *Creating responsive environments for people with profound learning and multiple disabilities*. London: David Fulton.
- Watchman, K. (2016). Investigating the lived experience of people with down syndrome with dementia: Overcoming methodological and ethical challenges. *Journal of Policy and Practice in Intellectual Disabilities*, 13(2), 190–198.
- WebAIM. (2013). Cognitive disabilities part 2: Conceptualizing design considerations. Retrieved from <https://webaim.org/articles/cognitive/conceptualize/>. Accessed on September 19, 2019.
- WHO (World Health Organisation). (2007). *Global resources for persons with intellectual disabilities*. Geneva: WHO. Retrieved from https://www.who.int/mental_health/evidence/atlas_id_2007.pdf. Accessed on April 3, 2019.
- Williams, P. (1999). Net generation: The experiences, attitudes and behaviour of children using the internet for their own purposes. *ASLIB Proceedings*, 50(9), 315–322.
- Williams, P. (2011). Barriers to the creation and use of an accessible Web portal for people with learning disabilities. *International Journal of Education*, 3(2), e21. Retrieved from <http://www.macrothink.org/journal/index.php/ije/article/view/1283>. Accessed on October 31, 2019.
- Williams, P. (2012, November 19–21). Comparing the effectiveness of different website designs in facilitating information retrieval for people with learning disabilities. In L. Gómez Chova, A. López Martínez, I. Candel Torres (Eds.), *In iCERi2012 proceedings of the 5th international conference of education, research and*

- innovation (pp. 123–133). Madrid: International Association of Technology, Education and Development (IATED). [CD-ROM].
- Williams, P. (2013). Website usability testing involving people with learning disabilities using only images and audio to access information. *Journal of Research in Special Educational Needs*, 13(2), 142–151.
- Williams, P. (2017a). Eliciting website preferences of people with learning disabilities. *Journal of Research in Special Educational Needs*, 17(1), 49–63.
- Williams, P. (2017b). The digital lives of people with learning disabilities: Methodological considerations. Conference of the International Journal of Arts and Sciences, Venice, Italy, 20–23 June, 10(03), 209–214. Retrieved from <http://universitypublications.net/proceedings/1003/pdf/V7Z107.pdf>. Accessed on December 20, 2019.
- Williams, P. (2019a). Facebook use by people with learning disabilities: The case for facilitated, guided autonomy. *New Trends and Issues Proceedings on Humanities and Social Sciences*, 6(5), 99–108.
- Williams, P. (2019b, March 15–16). Smartphones and people with learning disabilities: Self-reported barriers to effective usage. In *Proceedings of the international academic conference on teaching, learning and E-learning in Budapest (IAC-TLEI)*, Budapest, Hungary (pp. 7–16).
- Williams, P., & Cendón, B. (2019). Mobile technology and everyday living: Case study of the impact of mobile devices on people with learning disabilities in Brazil. *International Journal of Computer Science and Mobile Computing*, 8(4), 167–176.
- Williams, P., Fieldhouse, M., & Rowlands, I. (2008). The Google generation: Myths and realities about young people’s digital information behaviour. In D. Nicholas & I. Rowlands (Eds.), *Digital consumers: Reshaping the information professions* (pp. 159–192). London: Facet.
- Williams, P., & Hennig, C. (2015). The effect of Webpage menu orientation on retrieving information by people with learning disabilities. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, 66(4), 674–683.
- Williams, P., & Nicholas, D. (2006). Testing the usability of information technology applications with learners with special educational needs. *Journal of Research in Special Educational Needs*, 6(1), 31–41.
- Williams, P., & Shekhar, S. (2019). People with learning disabilities and smartphones: Testing the usability of a touch-screen Interface. *Education Sciences*, 9(4), e263.
- Wilson, L. (2019). Anderson and Krathwohl – Bloom’s taxonomy revised. Retrieved from <https://thesecondprinciple.com/teaching-essentials/beyond-bloom-cognitive-taxonomy-revised/>. Accessed on August 9, 2019.
- Wohl, H., & Fine, G. (2017). The active skim: Efficient reading as a moral challenge in postgraduate education. *Teaching Sociology*, 45(3), 220–227.
- Wood, S., Moxley, J., Tighe, E., & Wagner, R. (2018). Does use of text-to-speech and related read-aloud tools improve reading comprehension for students with reading disabilities? A meta-analysis. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 51(1), 73–84.
- Wu, D., & Cai, W. (2016). An empirical study on Chinese adolescents’ web search behavior. *Journal of Documentation*, 72(3), 435–453.
- Yu, D., Park, H., Gerold, D., & Legge, G. (2010). Comparing reading speed for horizontal and vertical English text. *Journal of Vision*, 10(2), 1–17.

- Zentel, P., Opfermann, M., & Krewinkel, J. (2007). Multimedia learning and the internet: Ensuring accessibility for people with learning disabilities. *Journal of Assistive Technologies, 1*(1), 22–33.
- Zhang, D., & Adipat, B. (2005). Challenges, methodologies, and issues in the usability testing of mobile applications. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction, 18*(3), 293–308.
- Zhang, Y., & Gwizdka, J. (2014). Effects of tasks at similar and different complexity levels. *Proceedings of the American Society for Information Science and Technology, 51*(1), 1–4.
- Zhao, J., Du, Y., Ding, X., Wang, X., & Men, A. (2020). Alteration of functional connectivity in patients with Alzheimer's disease revealed by resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging. *Neural Regeneration Research, 15*, 285–292.
- Ziefle, M. (2010). Information presentation in small screen devices: The trade-off between visual density and menu foresight. *Applied Ergonomics, 41*(6), 719–730.