

Index

- Abstract the Essence, 115
- Abstractness, 79
- Adherers-only analysis, 53
- Advantaged students, 141
- American Pragmatism, 25
- Appraising observations, test of, 87–88
- Argument analysis skills, 66
- Argument evaluation, 102–104
- As-treated analysis, 53
- Assumption identification, 105–106
- Attainment, impact on, 124

- BERA ethical guidelines, 58
- Bierman report, 21

- California Critical Disposition Inventory*, 85
- Cause-effect relationship, 13
- Child-centred approach, 24
- Classrooms
 - advantaged and disadvantaged students, 141
 - recommendations for practitioners, 135–141
 - recommendations for researchers, 142
 - recommendations for teacher education, 141–142
- Collaboration, 6
- Communal awareness, 26
- Community of Enquiry, 6, 18, 24, 26, 32–33, 41, 45
- Community of Inquiry, 18
- Community of Philosophical Enquiry, 28–29
- Comparative evaluation study, 8, 12, 49, 90, 111, 128, 140–141

- Construct-related activity, 109
- Constructivism, 25
- Convenience sampling, 55
- Cornell Critical Thinking Test (CCTT), 86–87
- Cost, 4, 10, 51, 148
- Creativity, 5–6, 48
 - defining, 73
 - environment, 77
 - impact on different aspects of, 115–117
 - improvement, 81–82
 - person, 74–75
 - impact of Philosophy for Children Programme on, 114
 - process, 75–76
 - product, 76–77
 - relationship between critical and creative thinking, 80–81
- Creativity assessments, 89
 - latent semantic analysis, 90
 - multi-trial creative ideation, 89–90
- Credibility, 6
 - of sources, 102–104
- Criteria, 62
- Critical and creative thinking, 145–146
 - assessing creativity and critical thinking, 146–147
- Critical thinkers, 6, 64–66
- Critical thinking, 5–6, 48, 64–66
 - (see also Students' thinking skills)
 - as active process, 67
 - considered general or subject-specific skill, 69–71
 - defining, 61
 - domain-specific skill, 78

- as guide to action, 62–64
- improvement, 81–82
- Lipman's definition of, 61–62
- as problem-solving, 64–67
- relationship between critical and creative thinking, 80–81
- value-neutral, 67–69, 77–78
- working definition, 71–73, 78–80
- Critical thinking assessments, 85
 - alternatives, 100–101
 - assumption identification, 105–106
 - CCTT, 86–87
 - construct, 99
 - deduction, 104–105
 - designing, 98–101
 - Ennis–Weir Critical Thinking Test Essay, 87
 - evaluation of argument and credibility of sources, 102–104
 - form of assessment, 99–100
 - guidelines for constructing good multiple-choice items, 101
 - HCTA, 86
 - New Jersey Test of Reasoning Skills, 89
 - purpose, 99
 - sample items of, 102–106
 - test of appraising observations, 87–88
 - Watson Glaser Critical Thinking Appraisal, 88
- Critical thinking skills, impact on different, 112–114
- Crossovers, 13
- Curriculum, 5

- Decision-making, 66
- Deduction, 104–105
- Department for Education, 55
- Developmental theory, 31
- Dewey, John, 24, 62, 146
- Disadvantaged students, 141
 - impact on disadvantaged students' attainment, 125
- Divergent thinking, 75, 79, 82, 89–90, 92, 118 (*see also* Critical thinking)
- Dunning-Kruger effect, 138
- Durham Commission on Creativity and Education (DCCE), 6

- Education in England, 3
- Educational system, 17
- Effect size, 22, 40, 42, 46, 54, 140
- Egocentrism, 31
- Elaboration, 79
- Emancipatory approach, 23
- Emotional-related school intervention, 14
- Ennis, 62–63
- Ennis–Weir Critical Thinking Test Essay, 87
- Ethics, 58
- Evaluation, 10, 143
- Evaluators, 143–144
- Evidence, 10
 - synthesising, 14–15
- Evidence-based approach, 20
- Evidence-based education, 3–4
- Experimental design, 20

- Facilitator, 26
- Fair-mindedness, 88, 145
- Fidelity to implementation, 52–53, 56
- Flexibility, 75, 92
- Fluency, 75, 79, 92, 97, 147
- Formal criteria, 62
- Free School Meals (FSM), 55, 121, 133
- Functional creativity, 78, 80, 147
- Fuzzy Regression discontinuity, 13

- Gain scores, 54
- Generating new evidence
 - analysing data, 53–54
 - conducting evaluation study, 50
 - ethics, 58
 - limitations of research design, 58–59
 - method of conducting Philosophy for Children study, 54–56

- pre-test and post-test, 52
- process evaluation, 52–53
- process evaluation and analysis, 56–58
- recognising and reporting
 - limitations, 54
- research design, 50–52
- response rate and missing data, 56
- thinking skills, 49–50
- Google Scholar, 40
- Grading system, 37
- Grammar, Punctuation and Spelling (GPS), 120
- Guilford, 75, 79
- Halpern, 66, 72
- Halpern Critical Thinking
 - Assessment (HCTA), 66, 86
- Harry Stottlemeier* (novel), 27
- Imagination, 80
- Inference, 64, 71
- Informal criteria, 62
- Innovation, 79, 94, 116
- Institute for the Advancement of Philosophy for Children (IAPC), 89
- Instruction, 23
- Intention-to-treat analysis, 53, 59
- Inter-rater reliability, 93
- Internal consistency, 108
- International Bureau of Education (IBE), 20
- Interrupted time series experiments, 14
- Intervention group, 55
- Intra-rater reliability, 93
- Judgment: deductive logic and assumption recognition*, 85
- Justification, 28
- Knowledge, 24
 - acquisition, 66
- Kuder–Richardson reliability, 107–108
- Latent semantic analysis, 90
- Learning, 25–29
 - environment, 33
 - theories, 23
- Lipman, Matthew, 17
 - definition of critical thinking, 61–62
- Literature gaps, 11–14
- Marking, 106–107
- Maths, 5
- Maximum Value, 95
- McPeck, 63, 68–69
- Measurement tools for comparative evaluation study, 90–91
- Mega-criteria, 62
- Meta-analysis, 22
- Meta-criteria, 62
- Metacognition, 61
- Metacomponents, 66
- Missing data, 122–123
- Multi-trial creative ideation, 89–90
- Multidimensional evaluation
 - framework, 4
- Multidimensional programme
 - evaluation, 7, 35
 - dimensions of evaluation, 10
 - identify ways to fill in literature gaps, 11–14
 - offer recommendations, 15
 - pedagogical evaluation, 11
 - purpose of evaluation, 9–10
 - synthesising evidence, 14–15
 - systematic literature review, 11
- Multiple dimensions, 9
- Multiple-choice assessment, 88
- National Pupil Database (NPD), 119
- New Jersey Test of Reasoning Skills, 89
- Norm-referenced group, 95
- Observation, 24, 64, 67
- Offer recommendations, 15
- Originality, 75

- Paul, Richard, 70–71, 88
- Pedagogical evaluation, 11, 22 (*see also* Multidimensional programme evaluation)
- evaluate main elements of programme, 25–29
 - examine oppositional views, 29–32
 - identify stance and justify, 22–23
 - investigate programme rationale, 23–25
 - reach to conclusion, 33–34
 - search for inconsistencies and areas for improvement, 32–33
- Pedagogical principles, 2, 7, 11, 22, 26, 34
- Pedagogy, 33
- Person, 74–75
- Personality traits of creative people, 79
- Philosophy for Children (P4C), 1–3, 6–8, 13–14, 17–18, 27, 40–43, 49, 61, 85, 104, 111, 116, 119, 128–133, 135, 143
- comparison group, 55–56
 - example, 18–19
 - intervention group, 55
 - method of conducting Philosophy for Children study, 54
 - need for evaluating, 20–22
 - pedagogical evaluation, 22–34
 - impact of Philosophy for Children Programme on creativity, 114
 - impact of Philosophy for Children Programme on critical thinking, 111–112
 - purpose of evaluation, 18
 - questioning mind, 19–20
 - school recruitment, 54–55
- Piloting of assessments used in philosophy for children evaluation, 110
- Post-test, 52
- Practitioners, recommendations for, 135–141
- Pragmatism, 24
- Pre-test, 52
- equivalence, 39
- Premises, 3–6
- Prevalence score, 95
- Primary school philosophy, 18
- Problem Solving Inventory*, 85
- Problem-solving, 5
- critical thinking as, 64–67
- Process, 75–76
- Process evaluation, 52–53
- and analysis, 56–58
- Product, 76–77
- Programme effectiveness, 127, 133–134
- Philosophy for Children, 128–133
 - synthesis of evidence, 129–130
- Programme fidelity, recommendations on, 140
- Progressive pedagogy, 25
- Propositional knowledge, 5
- Prosocial behaviour, 45
- Psychometric properties, 107
- reliability, 107–108
 - validity, 108–110
- Quality of evidence, 37–39
- Quasi-experimental study, 111
- findings, 117–118
 - impact on different aspects of creativity, 115–117
 - impact on different critical thinking skills, 112–114
 - impact of Philosophy for Children Programme on creativity, 114
 - impact of Philosophy for Children Programme on critical thinking, 111–112
- Questioning mind, 19–20
- Questionnaires, 45, 56
- Randomisation, 20, 37
- Randomised controlled trials (RCTs), 4, 12, 14, 39, 50–51, 93, 126, 128, 144
- Reading, 3, 21, 46

- Reasoning, 104
- Recruitment process, 55
- Reflective thinking, 24
- Regression discontinuity, 13–14
- Relativism, 5
- Reliability, 107–108
- ResearchED, 4
- Researchers, recommendations
 - for, 142
- Resistance, 79
- Retrospective quasi-experimental
 - design project, 8

- Sampling methods, 50
- School recruitment, 54
- School-based dialogic intervention,
 - 17–18
- School-based intervention, 2, 3, 25
- School-based programme, 1–2
- Schooling, 146–147
- Science, 5
- Secondary data analysis, 119
 - analysis, 123
 - cases, 120–122
 - as evaluation method, 119–120
 - findings, 123–125
 - missing data, 122–123
- Self-confidence, 138–139
- Self-corrective thinking, 62
- Self-esteem, 138–139
- Skills-based curricula, 4–6
- Social programmes, 2
- Social skills, 136–138
- Society for the Advancement of
 - Philosophical Enquiry and
 - Reflection in Education
 - (SAPERE), 18
- Socio-constructivism, 23, 25
- Software, 1
- Split-half reliability, 107–108
- Standard deviations (SDs), 40
- Stimuli, 27
- Student, 25–29
- Students' creativity
 - assessing, 92–93
 - calculating overall creativity score,
 - 96–98
 - first activity, 94–96
 - marking, 93
 - second activity, 96
- Students' skills, 5–6
 - impact of philosophy for children
 - on, 43–46
- Students' thinking skills, 85
 - assessing students' creativity,
 - 92–93
 - creativity assessments, 89–90
 - critical thinking assessments,
 - 85–89
 - designing critical thinking
 - assessment, 98–101
 - marking, 106–107
 - marking students' creativity,
 - 93–98
 - measurement tools for comparative
 - evaluation study, 90–91
 - piloting of assessments used in
 - philosophy for children
 - evaluation, 110
 - psychometric properties, 107–110
 - sample items of critical thinking
 - assessments, 102–106
- Study, 1, 3, 7
- Subject-specific skill, 69–71
- Systematic literature review, 11, 35
 - calculating impact of programme,
 - 39–40
 - conducting, 35
 - decide on way search will be
 - conducted and identify
 - relevant literature, 36–37
 - evaluate quality of evidence, 37–39
 - findings of, 47–48
 - limitations of review, 46–47
 - P4C, 40–43
 - impact of philosophy for children
 - on students' skills, 43–46
 - specify research question and
 - inclusion criteria, 35–36
- Systematic literature review, 49

- Talent development, 77
- Teacher, 6, 25–29
 - questionnaires, 58
 - recommendations for teacher education, 141–142
- Teaching and assessing skills in schools, 144
 - assessing creativity and critical thinking, 146–147
 - critical and creative thinking, 145–146
 - schooling, 146–147
- Teaching and Learning Toolkit, 3–4
- Test of appraising observation, 87–88
- Theory of Piaget, 31
- Theory of Protagoras, 31
- Thinking skills, 5, 48–50, 61, 136
 - critical thinking considered general or subject-specific skill, 69–71
 - critical thinking value-neutral, 67–69
 - debates, 77–78
 - defining creativity, 73–77
 - defining critical thinking, 61–67
 - relationship between critical and creative thinking, 80–81
 - transparency, 83
 - two important debates, 67
- Time allocated, 140–141
- Torrance, 75–76, 79
- Torrance Tests of Creative Thinking (TTCT), 79, 89, 116
- Traditional education, 4
- Traditional pedagogy, 25
- Transparency, 83
- Twenty-first century learning, 5
- Validity, 108–110
- Value, 4, 13, 23
- Verbal reasoning, 66
- Watson Glaser Critical Thinking Appraisal, 88
- Well-being, 139–140
- Working definitions, 61
- Writing, 1, 23, 36