IJCCSM 11,5

672

Received 31 May 2018 Revised 6 November 2018 12 November 2018 Accepted 12 March 2019

# Genetic variations, heritability, heat tolerance indices and correlations studies for traits of bread wheat genotypes under high temperature

Elfadil Mohamed Elbashier, Elfadil Mohammed Eltayeb Elbashier, Siddig Esa Idris2, Wuletaw Tadesse, Izzat S.A. Tahir, Abu Elhassan S. Ibrahim, Ashraf M.A. Elhashimi, Sefyan I. Saad, Amani Ahmed Idris and Hala Mohamed Mustfa (Author affliations can be found at the end of the article)

## Abstract

**Purpose** – The purpose of this paper was to study the genetic variability, heritability, heat tolerance indices and phenotypic and genotypic correlation studies for traits of 250 elite International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas (ICARDA) bread wheat genotypes under high temperature in Wad Medani, Center in Sudan.

**Design/methodology/approach** – Bread wheat is an important food on a global level and is used in the form of different products. High temperature associated with climate change is considered to be a detrimental stress in the future on world wheat production. A total of 10,250 bread wheat genotypes selected from different advanced yield trials introduction from ICARDA and three checks including were grown in two sowing dates (SODs) (1st and 2nd) 1st SOD heat stress and 2nd SOD non-stress at the Gezira Research Farm, of the Agricultural Research Corporation, Wad Medani, Sudan.

**Findings** – An alpha lattice design with two replications was used to assess the presence of phenotypic and genotypic variations of different traits, indices for heat stress and heat tolerance for 20 top genotypes and phenotypic and genotypic correlations. Analysis of variance revealed significant differences among genotypes for all the characters. A wide range, 944-4,016 kg/ha in the first SOD and 1,192-5,120 kg/ha in the

© Elfadil Mohamed Elbashier, Elfadil Mohammed Eltayeb Elbashier, Siddig Esa Idris2, Wuletaw Tadesse, Izzat S.A. Tahir, Abu Elhassan S. Ibrahim, Ashraf M.A. Elhashimi, Sefyan I. Saad, Amani Ahmed Idris and Hala Mohamed Mustfa. Published by Emerald Publishing Limited. This article is published under the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) licence. Anyone may reproduce, distribute, translate and create derivative works of this article (for both commercial and non-commercial purposes), subject to full attribution to the original publication and authors. The full terms of this licence may be seen at http://creativecommons.org/licences/by/4.0/legalcode

This paper forms part of a special section "Climate change and arid land agriculture: impact and adaptive", guest edited by Mirza Barjees Baig, Gary S. Stradquadine, Joel L. Cuello and Mahmoud El Solh.

The authors would like to thank and appreciate ICARDA, especially Dr Tadesse Wuletaw (who is the supervisor of one of the authors and Dr Charles Kleinermann (Head, Capacity Development Unit at ICARDA), for their support to this study. They would also like to thank Dr Siddig Eisa (Main Supervisor at Gezira University), Prof Abu Elhassan Salih Ibarhim (Cosupervisor at Gezira University) and Dr Izzat Sid Ahmed (Co-supervisor at ARC, Sudan and Wheat Research Program Team) for their support and help.



International Journal of Climate Change Strategies and Management Vol. 11. No. 5, 2019 pp. 672-6866 Emerald Publishing Limited 1756-8692 DOI 10.1108/IJCCSM-05-2018-0048 second SOD, was found in grain yield. The average yield on the first SOD is less than that of the second SOD by 717.7 kg/ha, as the maximum and minimum temperatures were reduced by 3°C each in the second SOD when compared to the first SOD of the critical stage of crop growth shown.

**Research limitations/implications** – Similar wide ranges were found in all morpho-physiological traits studied. High heritability in a broad sense was estimated for days to heading and maturity. Moderate heritability estimates found for grain yield ranged from 44 to 63.6 per cent, biomass ranged from 37.8 to 49.1 per cent and canopy temperature (CT) after heading ranged from 44.2 to 48 per cent for the first and secondnd SODs. The top 20 genotypes are better than the better check in the two sowing dates and seven genotypes (248, 139, 143, 27, 67, 192 and 152) were produced high grain yield under both 1st SOD and 2nd SOD.

**Practical implications** – The same genotypes in addition to Imam (check) showed smaller tolerance (TOL) values, indicating that these genotypes had a smaller yield reduction under heat-stressed conditions and that they showed a higher heat stress susceptibility index (SSI). A smaller TOL and a higher SSI are favored. Both phenotypic and genotypic correlations of grain yield were positively and significantly correlated with biomass, harvest index, number of spikes/m<sup>2</sup>, number of seeds/spike and days to heading and maturity in both SODs and negatively and significantly correlated with canopy temperature before and after heading in both SODs.

**Originality/value** – Genetic variations, heritability, heat tolerance indices and correlation studies for traits of bread wheat genotypes under high temperature

Keywords Stress, Temperature, Variation, Genetic, Bread wheat

Paper type Research paper

#### Introduction

Bread wheat is adapted to many different environments, such as heat-stress conditions. In such areas, heat stress is one of the most important production challenges for wheat. The expected rising global temperature of  $1-4^{\circ}C$  over the next 50 years will have an effect on the production of wheat in the tropics through heat stress (Hansen, 2006). Heat stress affects more than 30 million hectares of wheat annually in the world and leading to significant grain vield reduction (Battisti and Navlor, 2010). High temperature is reported to decrease vields by 3 to 5 per cent per every 1°C increase above 15°C in plants under controlled conditions (Gibson and Paulsen, 1999). In addition, the effect of climate change is also evident on the quality of wheat, as increased heat results in shriveled wheat grains (Tadesse et al., 2013). To adapt new crop varieties to the future climate, we need to understand how crops respond to elevated temperatures and how tolerance to heat can be improved (Halford, 2009). Success in crop improvement generally depends on the magnitude of genetic variability and the extent to which the desirable characters are important. Germplasm evaluation will be of great significance for selection of heat-tolerant genotypes and for improving grain yield under high temperature. Thus, the objectives of the research were to study the genetic variability, heritability, heat tolerance indices and phenotypic and genotypic correlation studies for traits of 250 elite International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas (ICARDA) bread wheat genotypes under high temperature in Wad Medani, Sudan.

## Materials and methods

#### Experimental site

The experiments were conducted twice. The first sowing date (SOD) was on 20 November 20and the second SOD was on December 12 in season (2016/17) at the Gezira Research Farm (GRF) of the Agricultural Research Corporation (ARC), Wad Medani, Sudan (latitude 14°-24" N and longitude 29°-33" E and 407 masl). The site of GRF is classified as heavy clay soil, with a low pH of about 8.0-8.4, low organic matter (0.05), deficient in nitrogen (380 ppm), and phosphorus (ESP, 4 ppm).

Traits of bread wheat genotypes

673

**IICCSM** Experimental materials

11.5

**674** 

Experiments were conducted using 250 genotypes selected from different advanced vield trials introduction from ICARDA, genotypes including three genotypes as checks, namely Imam, Goumria and Nebta.

Experimental design and cultural practices

Experiments were arranged in an alpha lattice design with two replications. Plots consisted of four rows, 3 m long and 0.2 m apart. Seeds were sown at the rate of 120 kg/ha. The recommended dose of fertilizer (43 kg P2 O5/ha) was applied prior to sowing, and 86 kg N/ha as urea was applied with the second and fourth irrigations. The experiments were irrigated at 10-12 days' interval.

#### Measurements

Data were recorded on score (1-5), 5 = best of ground cover at full ground cover, canopy temperature (CT) measured by infrared thermometer before and after heading, chlorophyll content measured by SPAD before and after heading, days to heading and maturity, plant height, number of spikes/m<sup>2</sup>, number of seeds/spike, 1,000 seed weight, biomass, harvest index and grain vield.

#### Statistical analysis

The data were statistically analyzed using Genestat. Correlation figures were calculated using excel computer program and genotypic correlations were calculated using META-R.

According to Comstock and Robinson (1952), broad sense heritability  $(h_b^2)$  estimates for yield and the related traits were computed as the ratio of genotypic variance to phenotypic

variance.  $h_b^2$  per cent =  $(\sigma 2_g / \sigma_p^2) \times 100$ , where  $h_b^2$  = broad sense heritability,  $\sigma_g^2$  = the genotypic variance and  $\sigma_p^2$  = the phenotypic variance. Genotypic variance  $(V_g) = \sigma^2_g = (m_t \cdot m_{e)}/r$ ,

where  $m_{t=}$  mean of sum of squares for genotypes,  $m_{e}$  = mean of sum of squares for error and r = number of replications:

Phenotypic variation (V<sub>p</sub>) = 
$$\sigma^2_{p} = \sigma^2_{g} + me$$
.

The mean values were used for genetic analyses to determine phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) and genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV), according to Singh and Chaudhary (1985), using:

Phenotypic coefficient of variation = PCV % = 
$$\frac{\sqrt{\sigma^2 p}}{x^-} \times 100$$

Genotypic coefficient of variation = GCV % = 
$$\frac{\sqrt{\sigma^2 g}}{x^-} \times 100$$
,

where  $\sigma^2 p$  = phenotypic variation,  $\sigma^2 g$  = genotypic variation, and

 $\overline{x}$  = grand mean of the character studied

The GCV and PCV values were categorized as low (0-10 per cent), moderate (10-20 per cent) and high (20 per cent and above) values, as indicated by Burton and de vane (1953).

The genetic advance (GA) per cent method suggested by Singh and Chaudhary (1985) Traits of bread was calculated as K: wheat

$$GA = K^* \sigma p^* h_{b}^2$$
, genotypes

where:GA: genetic advance,K: constant = 2.06 at 5 per cent selection intensity, $\sigma p$ : square root of phenotypic variance, and  $h_b^2$ : heritability.

## Heat tolerance indicators

- SSI =  $1 (ys/yp)/1 \overline{ys}/\overline{yp}$  (Fischer and Maurer, 1978);
- mean productivity (MP) = yp + ys/2 (Hossain *et al.*, 1990);
- TOL = yp ys (Hossain *et al.*, 1990);
- stress tolerance index (STI) =  $yp *ys/p^2y$  (Fernandez, 1992);
- geometric mean productivity (GMP) =  $\sqrt{yp xys}$  (Fernandez, 1992);
- yield index (YI) =  $ys/\overline{ys}$  (Gavuzzi *et al.*, 1997); and
- yield stability index (YSI) = ys/yp (Bouslama and Schapaugh, 1984).

## Sowing dates conditions (temperatures)

Compare between two SODs, as generally the mean temperature in the first SOD at a critical period of growth (from December 20 to January 20) is higher than the second SOD at a critical period (from the end of January to the end of February). The maximum and minimum temperatures were reduced by 3°C in the second SOD when compared to the first SOD of the critical stage of crop growth shown in Table I and Figure 1.

	Critical perio 21-31 December	od for the firs 1-10 January	t SOD 11-20 January	Mean	Critic 1-10 February	al period for the 11-20 February	e second SOD 21-29 February	Mean	Maximum, minimum and mean temperatures (°C) of critical stage of crop growth at first and
Maximum	35	37	37	37	35	33	35	34	in season 2016/17 at
Minimum	19	19	18	19	16	16	16	16	GRF, wad medani,
Mean	27	28	28	28	26	25	26	26	Sudan





675

Table I

## IJCCSM Results and discussion

Phenotypic variation

*Yield and yield components variation.* Highly significant differences were found for yield and yield components for two SODs, except the 1,000 seed weight and the harvest index in the first SOD were found to be significantly different (Table II). These variations among genotypes for their traits reflect their different genetic backgrounds. These results were in agreement with those of Reynolds *et al.* (1994), Elahmadi *et al.* (1996), Khopra and Viswanthan (1999) and Slafer *et al's.* (2005). They showed significant phenotypic variability for different traits, such as number of spikes  $m^{-2}$ , number of grain per spike, harvest index and biomass and grain yield. Genotypes differed significantly for these traits among these experiments, indicating the presence of a sufficient genetic variability to identify the best genotypes. The maximum, minimum and mean for spikes  $m^{-2}$ , harvest index and 1,000 seed weight were almost similar for the first and the second SODs, whereas there was a great difference between the two SODs in terms of grain yield and biomass for maximum and mean values. The maximum grain yield was 4,016 and 5,120 kg/ha , and the maximum biomass was 11,032 and 13,625 kg/ha for the first and the second SODs, respectively (Table II). This difference is due to the difference in temperature, as the second SOD is generally cooler than the first SOD.

*Physiological traits variations.* The maximum, minimum and mean values for score of ground cover (1-5, where 5 = best), canopy temperature (before and after heading) and chlorophyll content (before and post heading) of the 250 genotypes in the two SODs are shown in Table III. Significant differences among the tested genotypes for these physiological traits were found, and similar results for variations of these traits were found by Rahman *et al.* (1997) and Reynolds *et al.* (2007).

*Morphological traits variations.* Highly significant genotypic differences were found for days to heading, days to maturity, plant height and number of spike/m<sup>2</sup> (Table IV). There was a wide range of variations in these traits; days to heading ranged from 41 to 70, days to maturity ranged from 73 to 102, plant height ranged from 44 to 87 cm and the number of spike/m<sup>2</sup> ranged from 202 to 586. This variation depends on the heat and stress tolerance levels of genotypes. A large variation in the degree of response of bread wheat to heat stress was observed for various traits, including days to heading and maturity and plant height (Elahmadi *et al.*, 1996).

Genotypic variations. Genotypic variance, phenotypic variance, genotypic coefficient of variability (GCV), phenotypic coefficient of variability (PCV), broad-sense heritability and

<b>Table II.</b> Mean minimum and maximum values for viald and viald		Grain yi First SOD	eld kg/ha Second SOD	Biomas First SOD	ss kg/ha Second SOD	Harvest First SOD	t index % Second SOD	1,000 se First SOD	ed weight (g) Second SOD	No. see First SOD	d/spike Second SOD
components of the 250 elite spring bread wheat genotypes grown in the two SODs in GRF in Wad Medani, Sudan season 2016/17	Maximum Minimum Mean SE + CV % Sig level Notes: Sig	4,016 1,057 2,221.6 514.5 23.2 ***	5,120 1,192 2,939.3 <i>531.4</i> <i>18.1</i> ***	$\begin{array}{c} 11,032\\ 3,193\\ 6,103\\ 1,302\\ 21.3\\ ***\\ \end{array}$	13,625 3,137 8,287 1,636 19.8 ***	46.6 26.1 36.4 5.2 14.3 *	48.9 23.3 35.5 <i>4.5</i> <i>12.8</i> ***	47.6 29.6 36.8 3.9 10.7 Non	45 28.8 37.4 <i>3.2</i> 8.5 ***	53 22 35 5 14.7 ***	55 23 39 <i>4.6</i> <i>11.9</i> ***

**676** 

11.5

the genetic advance for 12 traits are presented in Tables V and VI for the first and the Traits of bread second SODs, respectively. Genotypic variance ranged from 944 to 4.016 kg/ha and 1.192 to 5,120 kg/ha for grain yield in the first and second SODs, respectively. The little differences between GCV and PCV observed for all the traits in the two SODs indicate that there was little influence of environmental factors on their phenotypic expression. Burton and De Vane (1953) classified PCV and GCV values as high (> 20 per cent), medium (10-20 per cent) and low (< 10 per cent). Accordingly, high PCV and GCV were observed in grain yield and biomass in the two SODs. A similar result was found by Tarekegne et al. (1994), who reported high PCV and GCV in yield and biomass. High heritability in the broad sense  $(h^2_{12})$ was estimated for days to heading and ranged from 87.5 per cent to 70.4 per cent and days to maturity ranged from 87.5 per cent to 56.2 per cent for the first and the second SODs, respectively. The phenotypic is a good index of genotypic in these traits. Selection for the traits is also easy (Elahmadi et al., 1996). Moderate heritability estimates were found for grain yield and ranged from 44 per cent to 63.6 per cent, biomass ranged from.37.8 per cent to 49.1 per cent and canopy temperature after heading ranged from 44.2 per cent to 48 per cent for the first and the second SODs, respectively. The moderate heritability estimate for grain yield was attributed to the fact that yield was a quantitative trait that was controlled by many genes (Sidwell et al., 1976). Reynolds et al. (1997) reported sensitivity of canopy temperature to environmental fluxes along with moderate heritability in bread wheat. The GA per cent estimate for grain yield ranged from 0.6 to 3.7, biomass ranged from 1.3 to 7.3, harvest index ranged from 1.2 to 2.1, 1,000 seed weight ranged from 2.7 to 1.9, number of

	Groun sc	id cover core	CT befor	re heading	CT after	r heading	Chl I hea	oefore ding	Chl after	• heading	Table III
_	First	Second	First	Second	First	Second	First	Second	First	Second	Mean, minimum and
	SOD	SOD	SOD	SOD	SOD	SOD	SOD	SOD	SOD	SOD	maximum values for
Maximum	4	4.5	26.4	29.4	24.6	23.7	55.2	53.7	52.5	54.4	of the 250 elite spring
Minimum	1.4	2.1	21.7	25.6	16.8	16.9	35	39.8	31.3	35.3	bread whea
Mean	2.6	3.4	24.4	27.6	20.7	20.8	43.6	46.3	44.2	45.8	genotypes grown ir
SE +	0.4	0.4	<i>0.9</i>	0.7	<i>1</i>	<i>0.9</i>	<i>3.2</i>	<i>2.9</i>	3.4	<i>2.5</i>	the two SODs in GRF
CV %	16.5	12.4	<i>3.8</i>	2.5	<i>2.3</i>	4.3	7.5	6.2	7.7	5.4	in wad medani
Sig level	***	***	**	*	***	***	***	**	***	***	Sudan seasor

Notes: $CT = canopy temperature; Chl = chlorophyll content; Sig level = significant at p < 0.0$	005
---	-----

	Days t First SOD	o heading Second SOD	Days to First SOD	o maturity Second SOD	Plant h First SOD	eight (cm) Second SOD	No. sj First SOD	pikes/m <sup>2</sup> Second SOD
Maximum	71	70	102	101	87	86	586	544
Minimum	41	42	73	73	45	44	222	202
Mean	55	52	87	86	64	67	386	429
SE +	2.8	2.7	2.8	3.7	4.7	7.8	58	49.7
CV %	5.2	5.5	6.1	4.3	6.5	11.5	15.1	11.6
Sig level	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Note: Sig	level = sigr	nificant at p <	0.005					

#### Table IV.

> in wad medani. Sudan season 2016/17

lean, minimum and aximum values for norphological traits the 250 elite spring bread wheat genotypes grown in e two SODs in GRF in Wad Medani. Sudan season 2016/17

genotypes

wheat

677

IJCCSM 11.5

seed/spike ranged from 4 to 4.4, canopy temperature after heading ranged from 1.3 to 1.2 and chlorophyll content ranged from 2.1 to 2.2. In the case of the yield among various cultivars, it must be borne in mind that overall variability depends on heritable and nonheritable components; estimates of heritability and genetic advances are important preliminary steps in any breeding program, as they provide information needed in designing the most effective breeding program and the relative practicability of selection.

## 678

first SOD

2017 in the second

SOD

Heat tolerance indices. Heat tolerance indices were calculated on the basis of grain yield of the top 20 genotypes in the second SOD, in addition to three varieties as checks (Table VII). The top 20 genotypes are better than the best check in the two SODs and 7

	Characters	$\sigma^2 p$	$\sigma^2 g$	PCV (%)	GCV (%)	$h_{b}^{2}(\%)$	GA (%)
Table V.	Grain yield kg/ha	473	208	30.9	20.5	44.0	0.6
Genotypic $(\sigma_{\alpha}^{2})$ and	Biomass kg/ha	2,720	1,030	27.0	16.6	37.8	1.3
phenotypic $(\sigma_{x}^{2})$	Harvest index %	30.5	3.3	15.2	5.0	10.8	1.2
$pricinotypic (O_p)$	1,000 seed weight (g)	20.2	5.8	12.1	6.5	28.9	2.7
variances, genotypic	Number seed/spike	39.2	12.0	9.8	17.7	30.7	4.0
and phenotypic	Ground cover score	0.3	0.1	19.3	9.9	26.1	0.3
coefficient of	CT before heading	1.0	0.1	4.0	1.4	11.8	0.2
variances,	CT after heading	2.0	0.9	6.8	4.5	44.2	1.3
heritability in broad	Chl before heading	14.1	3.4	8.6	4.2	24.3	1.9
sense $(h_b^2)$ and	Chl after heading	15.7	4.0	9.0	4.5	25.6	2.1
genetic advance (GA)	Days to heading	67.1	58.7	14.9	13.9	87.5	14.8
for some traits in 250	Days to maturity	62.1	54.3	9.1	8.5	87.5	14.2
bread wheat	Plant height (cm)	69.0	51.5	12.9	11.2	74.6	12.8
genotypes grown at	Number spikes/m <sup>2</sup>	47.6	13.8	17.9	9.6	28.9	4.1
the GRF season, 2016/2017 in the	<b>Notes:</b> $CT = canopy te$	mperature, Ch	nl = chlorophy	yll content, $\sigma^2 p$	= phenotypic v	ariance, $\sigma^2 g =$	= genotypic

**Notes:** C1 = canopy temperature, Cn1 = chlorophyli content,  $\sigma p$  = phenotypic variance,  $\sigma g$  - genotypic variance, PCV = phenotypic coefficient of variance, GCV = genotypic coefficient of variance,  $h_b^2$  = broad sense heritability and GA = genetic advance

	Characters	$\sigma^2 p$	$\sigma^2 g$	PCV %	GCV %	$h^2_b$ %	GA%
Table VI.	Grain yield kg/ha	776	494	29.9	23.9	63.6	3.7
Genotypic $(\sigma^2_{\alpha})$ and	Biomass kg/ha	5263	2585	27.7	19.4	49.1	7.3
phenotypic $(\sigma^2)$	Harvest index%	25.9	5.2	14.3	6.4	19.9	2.1
variances genotypic	1,000 seed weight (g)	13.5	3.4	9.8	4.9	25.2	1.9
and phonotypic	Number seed/spike	33.9	12.5	14.9	9.1	36.9	4.4
and phenotypic	Ground cover score	0.2	0.1	14.2	7.2	25.8	0.3
coefficient of	CT before heading	0.52	0.03	2.62	0.64	5.90	0.1
variances,	CT after heading	1.5	0.7	6.0	4.1	48.0	1.2
heritability in broad	Chl before heading	9.4	1.1	6.6	2.3	12.0	0.8
sense $(h_b^2)$ and	Chl after heading	9.5	3.3	6.8	4.0	34.4	2.2
genetic advance (GA)	Days to heading	27.2	19.2	10.0	8.4	70.4	7.6
for some traits in 250	Days to maturity	31.1	17.5	6.5	4.9	56.2	6.5
bread wheat	Plant height (cm)	78.07	17.87	13.2	6.3	22.9	4.2
genotypes grown at	Number spikes/m <sup>2</sup>	33.9	9.2	13.6	7.1	27.2	3.3

the GRF season 2016/ **Note:** CT = canopy temperature, Chl = chlorophyll content,  $\sigma^2 p$  = phenotypic variance,  $\sigma^2 g$  = genotypic variance, PCV = phenotypic coefficient of variance, GCV = genotypic coefficient of variance,  $h^2_b$  = broad sense heritability and GA = genetic advance

Rank no.	Ge no.	YP	YS	MP	TOL	GMP	YI	YSI	STI	SSI	Traits of bread wheat
1	146	5,120	2,916	4,018	2,204	3,863.9	1.3	0.6	0.57	1.33	genotypes
2	(248)	5,015	3,733	4,374	1,282	4,326.8	1.7	0.7	0.74	2.05	Schotypes
3	188	4,845	2,359	3,602	2,486	3,380.7	1.1	0.5	0.49	0.99	
4	(139)	4,839	3,683	4,261	1,156	4,221.6	1.7	0.8	0.76	2.12	
5	164	4,679	2,147	3,413	2,532	3,169.5	1.0	0.5	0.46	0.88	
6	(134)	4,676	3,599	4,138	1,077	4,102.3	1.6	0.8	0.77	2.15	679
7	199	4,655	2,893	3,774	1,762	3,669.7	1.3	0.6	0.62	1.54	
8	(27)	4,485	3,346	3,916	1,139	3,873.9	1.5	0.7	0.75	2.05	
9	230	4,431	2,875	3,653	1,556	3,569.2	1.3	0.6	0.65	1.66	
10	(67)	4,371	3,343	3,857	1,028	3,822.6	1.5	0.8	0.76	2.13	
11	37	4,320	3,111	3,716	1,209	3,666.0	1.4	0.7	0.72	1.95	
12	79	4,271	2,722	3,497	1,549	3,409.6	1.2	0.6	0.64	1.61	
13	9	4,265	1,926	3,096	2,339	2,866.1	0.9	0.5	0.45	0.85	
14	(192)	4,259	3,479	3,869	780	3,849.3	1.6	0.8	0.82	2.34	
15	5	4,258	1,854	3,056	2,404	2,809.7	0.8	0.4	0.44	0.78	
16	106	4,248	2,314	3,281	1,934	3,135.3	1.0	0.5	0.54	1.23	T-11- VII
17	(152)	4,229	3,282	3,756	947	3,725.5	1.5	0.8	0.78	2.18	Table vii.
18	98	4,218	2,733	3,476	1,485	3,395.3	1.2	0.6	0.65	1.65	Heat tolerance
19	223	4,143	2,661	3,402	1,482	3,320.3	1.2	0.6	0.64	1.63	indices of the top 20
20	93	4,142	2,305	3,224	1,837	3,089.9	1.0	0.6	0.56	1.28	wheat genotypes
25	Nebta (Check)	4,057	2,181	3,119	1,876	2,974.6	1.0	0.5	0.54	1.20	under non-sowing
22	Goumri (Check)	3,949	2,247	3,098	1,702	2,978.8	1.0	0.6	0.57	1.33	stress (second SOD)
89	Imam (Check)	3,265	2,377	2,821	888	2,785.8	1.1	0.7	0.73	1.98	and sowing stress
	Mean	2,939	2,221	2,580	718	2,554.9	1.0	0.8	0.76	2.09	(first SOD) ranking
<b>Notes:</b> YP = yield of genotypes under timely sowing condition, YS = yield of genotypes under heat-stress condition, MP = mean productivity, GMP = geometric mean productivity, TOL = tolerance; YI = yield index, YSI = yield stability index, STI = stress tolerance index and SSI = stress susceptibility index										base on the top 20 genotypes in second SOD	

genotypes; genotype numbers 248, 139, 143, 27, 67, 192 and 152 produced high grain yield under both the first and second SODs. Similar of these genotypes numbers 192, 152, 67, 134, 27, 139, 248 in addition Imam (check) were showed smaller tolerance (TOL) values, indicating that these genotypes had a smaller yield reduction under heat-stressed conditions and they showed higher heat SSI. Nouri *et al.* (2011) reported that smaller TOL and higher SSI are favored. The mechanism of a smaller TOL and a higher SSI is crucial for heat TOL, especially in Sudan, as Imam (ATTILA-7), the most important variety, is still growing in Sudan. In this study, all these genotypes (seven common) follow this mechanism. A similar mechanism can use the STI to identify the best genotypes tolerant to heat and can also use STI to identify broad adapted genotypes that produce high yield under both stressed and non-stressed conditions. The higher values of STI were found for same (seven common) with little variation of rank.

## Traits associations

*Phenotypic and genotypic correlations.* Phenotypic and genotypic correlation coefficients of grain yield and some important traits of the 250 genotypes in the first and second SODs are presented in Tables VIII and IX, respectively. Both phenotypic and genotypic correlations in grain yield were positively and significantly correlated with biomass, harvest index, number of spikes/m<sup>2</sup>, number of seeds/spike and days to heading and maturity in both the SODs.

IJCCSM
11,5

680

Table VIII. Genotypic (bold) and phenotypic correlations among different traits of 250 genotypes grown in the first sowing date at GRF in Wad Medani, Sudan season 2016/17

	GY kg/ ha	BI kg/ ha	H	No of $SP/m^2$	No of S/SP	Hd	HQ	DM	GFP	CT 1	CT 2	CHL 1	CHL 2
GY kg/ha B11,20/h2	***000 0	$0.963^{***}$	$0.909^{***}$	0.409*** 0.500***	0.653*** 0.663***	$0.471^{***}$	0.588***	0.583***	-0.160	-0.803*** 0.003***	-0.730*** 0.000***	-0.316	0.222
DI Kg/IId HI	0.545***	0.104	0110	-0.282	0.666	-0.188	0.141	0.094	-0.273	-0.168	-0.104	-0.141	-0.126
No of SP/m2	$0.258^{***}$	0.351	-0.086		0.435	0.477	$0.579^{***}$	$0.602^{***}$	-0.056	$-0.655^{***}$	$-0.744^{***}$	-0.471	0.294
No of SE/SP	$0.358^{***}$	0.382	0.117	0.216		0.670	$0.737^{***}$	0.778***	0.115	-0.163	$-0.694^{***}$	-0.509	0.625
Hd	$0.389^{***}$	0.521	-0.072	0.325	0.501		$0.852^{***}$	$0.864^{***}$	-0.150	-0.480	-0.959	-0.468	0.546
DH	$0.477^{***}$	0.531	0.090	0.355	0.505	0.759		0.995	-0.325	$-0.623^{***}$	$-0.970^{***}$	-0.587	0.617
DM	$0.469^{***}$	0.533	0.065	0.362	0.534	0.773	0.982		-0.215	$-0.647^{***}$	$-0.999^{***}$	-0.626	0.637
GFP	-0.103	-0.059	-0.138	-0.025	0.081	-0.030	-0.229	-0.042		0.232	0.048	-0.096	0.044
CT 1	$-0.301^{***}$	-0.333	-0.025	-0.323	-0.073	-0.307	-0.222	-0.229	-0.005		0.786	0.808	-0.371
CT2	$-0.492^{***}$	-0.579	-0.026	-0.443	-0.462	-0.720	-0.710	-0.731	-0.005	0.387		0.477	-0.650
CHL 1	-0.149	-0.161	-0.027	-0.259	-0.203	-0.290	-0.354	-0.366	-0.011	0.219	0.298		-0.001
CHL 2	0.165	0.193	0.015	0.043	0.359	0.396	0.446	0.470	0.067	-0.039	-0.312	0.140	
<b>Notes:</b> GY = to heading, DM heading and C	grain yield (k <sub>i</sub> A = days to m HL 2 = chloro	g/ha), BI = bio aturity, GFP = phyll content a	mass (kg/ha), - grain filing ] after heading	HI = heaviest i period CT 1 = c	ndex, No of SF anopy temper	∕/m <sup>2</sup> = numbe ature before h	r of spikes/m <sup>2</sup> eading, CT 2 =	No of SE/SP canopy tem	= number perature aft	of seed/spike, l er heading, CF	PH = plant hig IL 1 = chlorop	gh (cm), DH hyll conter	= days t before

	GY kg/ ha	BI kg/ ha	Η	No of $SP/m^2$	No of SE/SP	Hd	DH	DM	GFP	CT 1	CT 2	CHL 1	CHL 2
GY kg/ha		0.778***	$0.489^{***}$	$0.640^{***}$	$0.575^{***}$	0.911***	0.912***	$0.9123^{***}$	-0.359	$-0.922^{***}$	-0.789***	-0.436	0.434
BI kg/ha	$0.8636^{***}$		-0.911	$0.695^{***}$	0.764***	0.778***	$0.644^{***}$	$0.644^{***}$	0.044	-0.923	-0.833	-0.186	0.414
IH	$0.4474^{***}$	-0.0394		-0.291	-0.166	-0.312	-0.329	-0.329	-0.037	0.318	0.133	0.511	0.219
No of SP/m2	-0.0643	-0.0296	-0.0682		0.016	0.640	0.763	0.763	-0.178	$-0.900^{***}$	$-0.606^{***}$	-0.229	0.063
No. of SE/SP	$0.297^{***}$	0.3554	-0.0283	-0.0404		0.575	0.650	0.650	0.148	$-0.919^{***}$	$-0.584^{***}$	-0.016	0.680
Hd	0.3231	0.2856	0.1163	-0.0811	-0.0179		0.911	0.901	-0.359	-0.910	-0.789	-0.436	0.434
DH	$0.3406^{***}$	$0.3991^{***}$	-0.0224	-0.0448	0.2061	0.2378		0.933	-0.473	$-0.988^{***}$	$-0.750^{***}$	-0.338	0.301
DM	$0.3921^{***}$	$0.3932^{***}$	0.077	-0.1284	0.2885	0.2711	0.4009		-0.298	$-0.861^{***}$	$-0.798^{***}$	-0.240	0.360
GFP	$0.4149^{***}$	0.4153	0.0803	-0.1175	0.2231	0.2988	0.4195	0.8163		0.908	-0.024	0.621	0.108
CT 1	$-0.234^{***}$	-0.2828	0.0351	-0.0551	-0.2349	0.0081	-0.1339	-0.1418	-0.12		0.901	0.120	-0.405
CT 2	$-0.374^{***}$	-0.3461	-0.0967	0.0434	-0.2183	-0.1804	-0.2964	-0.3191	-0.2924	0.1557		0.117	-0.491
CHL 1	-0.0503	-0.0924	0.077	0.0987	-0.0939	-0.0093	-0.0975	-0.1422	-0.0802	0.0653	-0.0526		0.933
CHL 2	$0.244^{***}$	0.2353	0.0766	0.104	0.0404	0.181	0.1927	0.1748	0.2491	-0.1078	-0.207	0.2377	
Notes: GY = to heading, D heading and (	grain yield (k; M = days to m 'HL 2 = chloro	g/ha), BI = bio aturity, GFP = phyll content :	mass (kg/ha), - grain filing <sub>l</sub> after heading	HI = heaviest $derived CT = d$	index, No of SP anopy tempera	/m <sup>2</sup> = number tture before h	r of spikes/m <sup>5</sup> æding, CT 2 :	, No of SE/SP = = canopy tempe	= number o erature aft	of seed/spike, ] er heading, CF	PH = plant hi IL 1 = chloro	gh (cm), DF phyll conter	I = days it before

Traits of bread wheat genotypes

681

Table IX.

Genotypic (bold) and phenotypic correlations among different traits of 250 genotypes grown in the second sowing date at GRF in Wad Medani, Sudan season 2016/17 IJCCSMMany research workers reported similar findings; biomass, harvest index and number of<br/>spikes/m² are significant selection criteria for yield under high-temperature conditions<br/>(Hezhong and Rajaram, 1994; Tamman *et al.*, 2000). In addition, Narwal *et al.* (1999) reported<br/>that numbers of seeds/spike were positively correlated with grain yield. Figures 1-4<br/>represented the phenotypic correlations of biomass and harvest index in the two SODs with<br/>yield, and Figures 5 and 6 represented the phenotypic correlations of days to heading with<br/>yield in the two SODs, whereas Figures 7 and 8 represented the phenotypic correlation



## Traits of bread wheat genotypes

# 683

Figure 6. Yield correlated with daryes to heading in the second SOD



Figure 8. Yield correlated with the number of seeds/ spike in the second SOD

Figure 9. CT before heading in the first SOD



**IICCSM** number of seeds/spike with yield in the two SODs. Grain yield was negative and significant for phenotypic and genotypic correlations with canopy temperature at before and after 11.5 heading in both the SODs (Tables VII and VIII). In addition, canopy temperature before and after heading in the two SODs was negative and significant for genotypic correlations with number of spikes/m<sup>2</sup> and days to heading and maturity in both SODs. Canopy temperature after heading in the two SODs was negative and significant for genotypic correlations with number of seeds/spike. This result is important because the adaption of genotypes at this **68**4 stage (post-heading) to high temperature leads to an increase in the number of seeds/spike and then high productivity. Reynolds et al. (1998) reported that canopy temperature showed negative correlation with yield and high values of proportion of direct response to selection. The trait is best expressed at a high vapor-pressure-deficit condition associated with low relative humidity and warm air temperature (Amani et al., 1996). Figures 9-12 represented the phenotypic correlations of canopy temperature before and after heading in the two SODs with vield.



References	Traits of bread
Amani, I., Fischer, R.A. and Reynolds, M.P. (1996), "Evaluation of canopy temperature as screening tool for heat tolerance in spring wheat", <i>Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science</i> , Vol. 176 No. 2, pp. 119-129.	wheat
Battisti, D.S. and Naylor, R.L. (2010), "Historical warning of future food insecurity with seasonal heat", <i>Science</i> , Vol. 9 No. 323, p. 5911.	genotypes
Bouslama, M. and Schapaugh, W.T. (1984), "Stress tolerance in soybean. Part 1: evaluation of three screening techniques for heat and drought tolerance", <i>Crop Science</i> , Vol. 24 No. 5, pp. 933-937.	685
Burton, G.W. and De Vane, E.H. (1953), "Estimating heritability in tall fescue ( <i>Festucaarundinacea</i> ) from replicated clonal material", <i>Agronomy Journal</i> , Vol. 45 No. 10, pp. 478-481.	
Comstock, R.R. and Robinson, H.F. (1952), "Genetic parameters, their estimation and significance", <i>Proceedings</i> of the 6th international Grassland Congress, Vol. 1, Nat. publ. Co., Washington, DC, pp. 248-291.	
Elahmadi, A.B., Ageeb, O.A., Solh, M.B. and Saxena, (1996), "Review of wheat production and improvement in the Sudan", <i>Proceedings of the Research Review Workshop, 27-30 August 1995, Wad Medani.</i>	
Fernandez, G.C.J. (1992), "Effective selection criteria for assessing stress tolerance", in Kuo, C.G. (Ed.), <i>Proceeding of International Sym. Adaptation of Vegetables and Other Food Crops in Temperature and Water Stress, Tainan.</i>	
Fischer, R.A. and Maurer, R. (1978), "Drought resistance in spring wheat cultivars: grain yield response", <i>Australian Journal of Agricultural Research</i> , Vol. 29 No. 5, pp. 897-907.	
Gavuzzi, P., Rizza, F., Palumbo, M., Campaline, R.G., Ricciardi, G.L. and Borghi, B. (1997), "Evaluation of field and laboratory predict ors of drought and heat tolerance in winter cereals", <i>Canadian Journal of Plant Science</i> , Vol. 77, pp. 523-531.	
Gibson, L.R. and Paulsen, G.M. (1999), "Yield components of wheat growing under high temperature stress during reproductive growth", <i>Crop Science</i> , Vol. 39 No. 6, pp. 1841-1846.	
Halford, N.G. (2009), "New insights on the effects of heat stress on crops", <i>Journal of Experimental Botany</i> , Vol. 60 No. 15, pp. 4215-4216.	
Hansen, J. (2006), "Global temperature change", <i>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America</i> , Vol. 103 No. 39, pp. 14288-14293.	
HezhongRajaram, S. (1994), "Differential responses of bread wheat characters to high temperature", <i>Euphytica</i> , Vol. 72, pp. 197-203.	
Hossain, A.B., Sears, A.G., Cox, T.S. and Paulsen, G.M. (1990), "Desiccation tolerance and its relationship to assimilate partitioning in winter wheat", <i>Crop Science</i> , Vol. 30 No. 3, pp. 622-627.	
hopra, R. and Viswanthan, C. (1999), "Evaluation of heat stress in irrigated environment of triticum asetivum L. and related species for stability in yield and yield components", <i>Euphytica</i> , Vol. 106, pp. 169-180.	
Narwal, N.K., Verma, P.K. and Narwal, S.M. (1999), "Genetic variability, correlation and path coefficient analysis in bread wheat in two climatic zones of Haryana", <i>Agricultural Science Digest</i> , Vol. 19 No. 2, pp. 73-76.	
Nouri, A., Etminan, A., Teixeira da Silva, J.A. and Mohammadi, R. (2011), "Assessment of yield, yield-related traits and drought tolerance of durum wheat genotypes (triticum turgidum var. durum desf.)", <i>Aust. J. Crop Sci</i> , Vol. 5 No. 1, pp. 8-16.	
KRahman, M.M., Hossain, A.B.S., Saba, N.K. and Malaker, P.K. (1997), "Selection of morpho-physiological traits for heat tolerance in wheat", <i>Bangladesh J. Scienti. And Indust. Res</i> , Vol. 32, pp. 161-165.	
Reynolds, M.P., Balota, M., Delgoado, M.I., Amani, I.A. and Fischer, R.A. (1994), "Physiological and morphological traits of wheat yield under hot irrigated conditions associated with spring", <i>Functional Plant Biology</i> , Vol. 21, pp. 717-730.	
Reynolds, M.P., Saint, P.C. and Vargas, M. (2007), "Evaluating potential genetic gains in wheat associated with stress-adaptive trait expression in elite genetic resources under drought and heat stress", <i>Crop Science</i> , Vol. 47, pp. 172-189.	

Reynolds, M.P., Singh, R.P., Ibrahim, A., Ageeb, O.A. and Quick, J.S. (1998), "Evaluating physiological traits to complement empirical selection for wheat in warm environments", <i>Euphytica</i> , Vol. 100 Nos 1/3, pp. 85-94.
Reynolds, M.P., Nagrajan, S., Razzaque, M.A. and Ageeb, O.A.A. (1997), "Using canopy temperature depression to select for yield potential of wheat in heat-stressed environments", <i>Wheat Program Special Report</i> , CIMMYT, Mexico, p. 42.
Sidwell, R.J., Smith, E.L. and Menew, R.W. (1976), "Inheritance and interleationships of grain yield and selected yield related traits in hard winter wheat cross", <i>Crop Science</i> , Vol. 16 No. 5, pp. 650-654.
 Singh, R.K. and Chaudhary, B.D. (1985), <i>Biometrical Methods in Quantitative Genetic Analysis</i> , Kalyani Publ., New Delhi.
Slafer, G.A., Araus, J.L. and Royo, C. (2005), "Physiological traits for genetic improvement of cereal yields in mediterranean environments", <i>Annals of Applied Biology</i> , Vol. 146 No. 1, pp. 61-67.

- Tadesse, W., Morgounov, A.I., Braun, H.J., Akin, B., Keser, M. and Kaya, Y. (2013), "Breeding progress for yield and adaptation of winter wheat targeted to irrigated environments at the international winter wheat improvement program (IWWIP)", *Euphytica*, Vol. 194 No. 2, pp. 177-185.
- Tamman, A.M., Ali, S.A. and El-Sayed, E.A.M. (2000), "Phenotypic, genotypic correlation and path coefficient analysis in some bread wheat crosses", *Agricultural Science*, Vol. 31 No. 3, pp. 73-85.
- Tarekegne, A., Tanner, D.G. and Gebeyehua, G. (1994), "Effect of genetic improvement of morphophysiological character related to grain yield of bread wheat in Ethiopia", African Crop Sci. J, Vol. 2 No. 3, pp. 247-255.

### Further reading

IJCCSM 11.5

686

Ebadi, A. (2010), "Heritability and genetic gain of some morphophysiological variables of durum wheat (triticum turgidum var. durum)", *African Journal of Biotechnology*, Vol. 9 No. 30, pp. 4687-4691.

### Author affiliations

- Elfadil Mohamed Elbashier and Elfadil Mohammed Eltayeb Elbashier, Wheat Research Program, Agricultural Research Corporation, Wad Medani, Gezira, Sudan
- Siddig Esa Idris2, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, University of Gezira, Wad Medani, Gezira, Sudan
- Wuletaw Tadesse, International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas, Beirut, Lebanon
- Izzat S.A. Tahir, Wheat Research Program, Agricultural Research Corporation, Wad Medani, Gezira, Sudan
- Abu Elhassan S. Ibrahim, Faculty of Agricultural, University of Gezira, Wad Medani, Gezira, Sudan
- Ashraf M.A. Elhashimi, Hudeiba Research Station, Agricultural Research Corporation, Wad Medani, Gezira, Sudan
- Sefyan I. Saad, Amani Ahmed Idris, and Hala Mohamed Mustfa, Wheat Research Program, Agricultural Research Corporation, Wad Medani, Gezira, Sudan

#### **Corresponding author**

Elfadil Mohamed Elbashier can be contacted at: elfadilalbasheer@yahoo.com

For instructions on how to order reprints of this article, please visit our website: **www.emeraldgrouppublishing.com/licensing/reprints.htm** Or contact us for further details: **permissions@emeraldinsight.com**