

# Guest editorial

Shulamit Ramon, Yaara Zisman-Ilani and Emma Kaminskiy

## Shared decision making in mental health: special issue of the *Mental Health Review Journal*

This special issue reflects our wish to take into account the recent developments in the research and practice of shared decision making (SDM) in the field of mental health, and share this knowledge with service users, practitioners, researchers, and policymakers interested in SDM in this issue. We view SDM as the process in which decisions related to mental health treatment and interventions are reached as a co-production through sharing different types of knowledge: professional or evidence based vs more experiential knowledge of people with the lived experience of mental ill health (Deegan, 2010; Morant *et al.*, 2016).

SDM occupies the middle position of the range, which begins with decisions made only by the clinicians on behalf of people, and ends with decisions made only by the people who use mental health services (Charles *et al.*, 1997, 1999). It has the potential to foster good collaboration between service users and providers based on trust, mutual respect, and readiness to share concerns, hope, and knowledge. For some, the desired outcomes would be the greater honesty in the relationships, increased motivation for change, and empowerment. For others, the focus would be on agreeing to follow a specific treatment regime, or a joint decision to try out a new intervention (James and Quirk, 2017).

For many years, the research and practice of SDM in mental health has lagged behind general medicine. Explanation for that might be related to the primary care origins of the SDM model (Charles *et al.*, 1997, 1999; Elwyn *et al.*, 1999; O'Connor *et al.*, 2006) and to prevalent assumptions related to the lack of decision capacity among people experiencing mental ill health (Lincoln *et al.*, 2007). Hamann *et al.*'s (2003) review of SDM in mental health represents one of the first attempts to focus attention emphasise the need and potential for SDM in mental health. However, their focus was on medications decision making. With the introduction of the new meaning of personal recovery to mental health (Anthony, 1993; Davidson, 2003; Slade, 2009), and the acknowledgement that people with the lived experience of mental ill health have strengths and not only deficits (Rapp, 2006), an increased interest emerged into the potential role and promise of SDM for personal recovery in mental health (Drake *et al.*, 2010). Now, seven years after the important special issue on the promise of SDM to mental health (Drake *et al.*, 2010); in this special issue, we would like to describe the efforts that have been done regarding SDM in mental health and the future steps that are still needed.

Methodologically, most articles in the special issue include an updated systematic literature search in the English language, albeit in a variety of forms, for the last decade or more, followed by a thematic analysis or a narrative synthesis. The authors of the commissioned review articles come from Germany, Spain, UK and the USA, and include researchers, service users, and providers, from the disciplines of counselling, nursing, psychiatry, psychology, sociology, and social work.

The articles in this special issue cover different topics: the rationale of SDM (James and Quirk, 2017), the perspectives on mental health SDM by service users, family members, and service providers (Kaminskiy *et al.*, 2017), the range of SDM interventions and their underlying components (Zisman-Ilani *et al.*, 2017), SDM from the perspective of recovery-oriented person centred care (Davidson *et al.*, 2017), SDM measures and outcomes (Perestelo-Perez *et al.*, 2017), and the implementation of SDM in everyday practice (Ramon *et al.*, 2017), of which relatively little has been published up to now.

Shulamit Ramon is a Professor at the School of Health and Social Work, University of Hertfordshire, Hatfield, UK. Yaara Zisman-Ilani is an Assistant Professor at the Department of Rehabilitation Sciences, College of Public Health, Temple University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA. Emma Kaminskiy is a Senior Lecturer at the Department of Psychology, Anglia Ruskin University, Cambridge, UK.

Although a number of the articles focus on SDM in the context of psychiatric medication management, some also include the wider area of psychosocial care in mental health. The review of SDM interventions in mental health (Zisman-Ilani *et al.*, 2017) points to the unrealistic expectations from clinicians and patients in mental health organisations and primary care settings to discuss treatment or rehabilitation options with limited time and training to build sufficient rapport, trust, and partnership. This is linked to the finding of the review on implementation of SDM in mental health (Ramon *et al.*, 2017) which highlights the inclusion of the normalisation process theory as a conceptual innovation in the context of SDM in mental health. It focusses on the routinisation of change in organisational systems from the perspectives of coherence, cognitive participation, collective action, and reflexive monitoring. The review of service users' perception of SDM highlights the impact of the power differentials in existence in mental health (Kaminskiy *et al.*, 2017). This issue is exemplified not only in the drastic impact of compulsory admission, but in most routine decisions and ways of relating to service users (see Castillo and Ramon's (2017) paper in this review). Reflection on SDM training for service users, care coordinators, and psychiatrists given by O'Sullivan and Rae in the paper on implementation of SDM helps to understand better what each group looks for in SDM and in training for it. Evaluation of SDM in mental health and the development of new measures of SDM in mental health is essential to enable SDM to meet the promise of improving communication, mutual learning, and implementation of SDM in mental health settings (Perestelo-Perez *et al.*, 2017).

Key issues that require clarification in the context of SDM include what are effective processes of sharing such different types of knowledge between service users and providers who have unequal power positions in our respective societies? What are the necessary and sufficient conditions for a trusting and respectful relationships between these key stakeholders and relevant others (such as family members)? (Zisman-Ilani *et al.*, 2017); how do attitudes to SDM differ between practitioners and service users? (Kaminskiy *et al.*, 2017); and what are effective information sharing processes which take into account likely challenges in accessing and understanding the reliable information about different interventions as well as the benefits and adverse effects of mental health interventions, and which enable user friendly and collaborative process of reaching decisions which include the right to disagree. Above all, SDM requires a value framework centred on recovery-oriented personal care, one that values the knowledge provided by people with the lived experience of mental ill health (Davidson *et al.*, 2017).

We hope that this special issue will stimulate further the interest in the place of SDM in mental health, and will inform the next stage of debate and development of this important aspect.

## References

- Anthony, W.A. (1993), "Recovery from mental illness: the guiding vision of the mental health service system in the 1990s", *Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal*, Vol. 16 No. 4, pp. 11-23.
- Castillo, H. and Ramon, S. (2017), "'Work with me': service users' perspectives on shared decision making in mental health", *Mental Health Review Journal*, Vol. 22 No. 3, pp. 166-78.
- Charles, C., Gafni, A. and Whelan, T. (1997), "Shared decision-making in the medical encounter: what does it mean? (or it takes at least two to tango)", *Social Science & Medicine*, Vol. 44 No. 5, pp. 681-92.
- Charles, C., Gafni, A. and Whelan, T. (1999), "Decision-making in the physician – patient encounter: revisiting the shared treatment decision-making model", *Social Science & Medicine*, Vol. 49 No. 5, pp. 651-61.
- Davidson, L. (2003), *Living Outside Mental Illness: Qualitative Studies of Recovery in Schizophrenia*, New York University Press, New York, NY.
- Davidson, L., Tondora, J., Pavlo, A.J. and Stanhope, V. (2017), "Shared decision making within the context of recovery-oriented care", *Mental Health Review Journal*, Vol. 22 No. 3, pp. 179-90.
- Deegan, P.E. (2010), "A web application to support recovery and shared decision making in psychiatric medication clinics", *Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal*, Vol. 23 No. 1, pp. 23-8.
- Drake, R.E., Deegan, P.E. and Rapp, C. (2010), "The promise of shared decision making in mental health", *Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal*, Vol. 34 No. 1, pp. 7-13.

- Elwyn, G., Edwards, A. and Kinnersley, P. (1999), "Shared decision-making in primary care: the neglected second half of the consultation", *British Journal General Practice*, Vol. 49 No. 443, pp. 477-82.
- Hamann, J., Leucht, S. and Kissling, W. (2003), "Shared decision making in psychiatry", *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, Vol. 107 No. 6, pp. 403-9.
- James, K. and Quirk, A. (2017), "The rationale for shared decision making in mental health care: a systematic review of the academic discourse", *Mental Health Review Journal*, Vol. 22 No. 3, pp. 152-65.
- Kaminskiy, E., Senner, S. and Hamann, J. (2017), "Attitudes towards shared decision making in mental health: a qualitative synthesis", *Mental Health Review Journal*, Vol. 22 No. 3, pp. 233-56.
- Lincoln, T.M., Lullmann, E. and Rief, W. (2007), "Correlates and long term consequences of poor insight in patients with schizophrenia: a systematic review", *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, Vol. 33 No. 6, pp. 1324-42.
- Morant, N., Kaminskiy, E. and Ramon, S. (2016), "Shared decision making for psychiatric medication management: beyond the micro-social", *Health Expectations*, Vol. 19 No. 5, pp. 1002-14.
- O'Connor, A.M., Stacey, D. and Entwistle, V. (2006), *Validity of a Decisional Conflict Scale*, The Guilford Press, New York, NY.
- Perestelo-Perez, L., Rivero-Santana, A., Alvarez-Perez, Y., Zisman-Ilani, Y., Kaminskiy, E. and Serrano Aguilar, P. (2017), "Measurement issues of shared decision making in mental health: challenges and opportunities", *Mental Health Review Journal*, Vol. 22 No. 3, pp. 214-32.
- Ramon, S., Brooks, H., Rae, S. and O'Sullivan, M.-J. (2017), "Key issues in the process of implementing shared decision making (SDM) in mental health practice", *Mental Health Review Journal*, Vol. 22 No. 3, pp. 257-74.
- Slade, M. (2009), *Personal Recovery and Mental Illness: A Guide for Mental Health*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Zisman-Ilani, Y., Barnett, E., Harik, J., Pavlo, A.J. and O'Connell, M. (2017), "Expanding the concept of shared decision making for mental health: systematic search and scoping review of interventions", *Mental Health Review Journal*, Vol. 22 No. 3, pp. 191-213.

## Further reading

- Deegan, P.E., Rapp, C., Holter, H. and Riefer, M. (2008), "A program to support shared decision making in an outpatient psychiatric medication clinic", *Psychiatric Services*, Vol. 59 No. 6, pp. 603-5.
- Eliacin, J., Salyers, M.P., Kukla, M. and Matthias, M.S. (2015), "Patients' understanding of shared decision-making in a mental health setting: the importance of patient-provider relationship", *Qualitative Health Research*, Vol. 25 No. 5, pp. 668-78.
- Kaminskiy, E. (2015), "The elephant in the room: a theoretical examination of power for shared decision making in psychiatric medication management", *Intersectionalities: A Global Journal of Social Work Analysis, Research, Polity, and Practice*, Vol. 4 No. 2, pp. 19-38.
- May, C., Finch, T., Mair, F., Ballini, L., Dowrick, C., Eccles, M., Gask, L., MacFarlane, A., Murray, E., Rapley, T. and Rogers, A. (2007), "Understanding the implementation of complex interventions in health care: the normalization process model", *BMC Health Services Research*, Vol. 7 No. 1, p. 148, available at: <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6963-7-148>
- O'Connor, A.M., Bennett, C.L., Stacey, D., Barry, M., Col, N.F., Eden, K.B., Entwistle, V.A., Fiset, V., Holmes Rovner, M., Khangura, S., Llewellyn-Thomas, H. and Rovner, D. (2009), "Decision aids for people facing health treatment or screening decisions", *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, No. 3, Article No. CD001431, doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD001431.pub2.