Guest editorial

Hiram Ting, Jun-Hwa Cheah, Xin-Jean Lim, Christian M. Ringle, Yide Liu and Choi-Meng Leong

Are they all the same? A rethink of young consumers through the lens of generation theories

Background

Despite extant literature on young consumers and their characteristics, much remains to be done to explore and explain young consumers' behaviour through the lens of generation, particularly with theoretical grounding and practical insights. Young consumers and their lifestyles evolve more rapidly today because of the dynamic environment they live in. Hence, their behaviours are more complex and unpredictable than young people in the past and older generations (Kasser and Kanner, 2004; Pyšnoáková and Miles, 2010; Vandegrift, 2015). Prior research has also shown that cataclysmic events create distinct values and behavioural patterns among individuals in different time periods (Howe and Strauss, 2000; Rogler, 2002; Ting *et al.*, 2018). These major external events, also known as defining moments, develop and define generational cohorts. Hence, the study of the young generation is not only about identifying their behaviours at a point of time but also about gaining more insights into their changing behaviours in relation to social contexts.

Generation theories, such as the generational cohort theory, posit that a group of individuals who experience the same cataclysmic events (e.g. political, economic and social events) during late adolescence and early adulthood will develop a similar set of characteristics (Inglehart, 1997; Meredith and Schewe, 1994; Ting *et al.*, 2018). As such, this concept acknowledges the role of collective experiences (and memories) acquired during individuals' formative years. Subsequently, their attachment to external events when they come of age creates cohesiveness in beliefs, values and behaviours, which distinguishes one cohort from another (Rogler, 2002). Notably, the impact of such events on individuals is found to remain relatively stable throughout their lives regardless of age and life cycle stage (Inglehart, 1997; Lowe *et al.*, 2020).

Given the magnitude of external events and social changes that have transpired over the past two decades, there is a dire need to revisit young consumers' behaviour through the lens of generation theories in the contemporary context. Millennials, who are now in their adulthood, were raised in an era of remarkable socio-economic, cultural and technological change, which makes them different from other generations (Schewe and Noble, 2000). Thus, they display behaviours which are seen as disruptive (Moschis, 2007), impulsive (Grousiou *et al.*, 2015) and vulnerable (Brennan, *et al.*, 2017). This rings truer for youth born between the late 1990s and the early-mid 2000s. Moreover, the adoption of generational labels from Western sources (e.g. baby boomers, Generation X and Generation Y) in different settings has long been criticised for its lack of theoretical bases (de Run and Ting, 2013; Strauss and Howe, 1991). Notwithstanding the convenience it offers in determining specific population segments as research samples, the overestimation of similarities among generation cohorts worldwide and the assumption that young consumers' behaviours are identical across different contexts compromise the rigour of research as well as the

Hiram Ting is based at the Faculty of Hospitality and Tourism Management, UCSI University, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia; Department of Leisure and Recreation Management, Ming Chuan University, Taoyuan, Taiwan and College of Business, Polytechnic University of the Philippines, Manila, Philippines. Jun-Hwa Cheah is based at the School of Business and Economics, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Serdang, Malaysia. Xin-Jean Lim is based at the School of Business and Economics, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Serdang, Malaysia. Christian M. Ringle is based at the Institute of Human **Resource Management** and Organizations, Hamburg, Germany; Hamburg University of Technology, Hamburg, Germany and Waikato Management School, University of Waikato, Hamilton, New Zealand. Yide Liu is based at the School of Business, Macau University of Science and Technology, Taipa, Macao. Choi-Meng Leong is based at the Faculty of Business and Management, UCSI University, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

meaningfulness of its findings (Ivanova *et al.*, 2019; Ting *et al.*, 2018; Yelkur, 2002). The need to further explore young consumers' behaviour using generation theories is thus the very motive of this special issue arrangement with *Young Consumers*.

The rationale of the present special issue is to look into the application of generation theories and provide explanations of young consumers' behaviours in different settings. It enhances the understanding of the generational effect in different social contexts as well as its contributions to behavioural changes among youth when they come of age (Berkowitz and Schewe, 2011). In addition to introducing the seven featured articles, this editorial offers an overview of past studies pertaining to generational cohorts along with a rethink of the future research.

Introduction to the articles in special issue

The first article, titled "Driving healthcare wearable technology adoption for Generation Z consumers in Hong Kong" and authored by Cheung, Leung and Chan, reports a study that aimed to investigate the major factors driving the adoption of health-care wearable technology products by Generation Z consumers in Hong Kong. Drawing on the generational cohort theory and the technology acceptance model, the study reveals that consumer innovativeness and electronic word-of-mouth referrals are significant predictors of perceived credibility, perceived ease of use and perceived usefulness, which subsequently drive online engagement intention and adoption intention. It thus provides a theoretical and practical understanding of young consumers' perceptions and behavioural intentions towards health-care wearable technology.

The second article by Cham, Cheng and Ng looks into the effect of psychological and marketing factors on clothing interest, as well as the interrelationships among self-confidence, product attitude and purchase intention in the context of young consumers in Malaysia and Thailand. Titled "Cruising down millennials' fashion runway: a cross-functional study beyond Pacific borders", the findings confirm the importance of fashion innovativeness, self-concept, fashion consciousness and the need for uniqueness as psychological factors and social media marketing and fashion advertisement as marketing factors. It also shows the difference in clothing interest and purchase intention between Malaysian and Thai consumers. In doing so, the study highlights the relevance of different social contexts in young consumers' behaviours, despite these consumers being of the same age.

"Mirror, mirror on the wall, are we ready for Gen-Z in the marketplace? A study of smart retailing technology in Malaysia" is the third article, authored by Ng, Ho, Lim, Chong and Latiff from Malaysia. Their study investigated Generation Z consumers' expectations of smart retail technology using the stimulus-organism-response framework as the theoretical basis. The findings underscore the influences of perceived usefulness, perceived enjoyment and perceived value on young consumers' attitude and word of mouth. Particularly, their expectations of usefulness, enjoyment and value for money were identified in this study. Therefore, the study provides meaningful insights and justifications for retailers to put more emphasis on innovative solutions, a pleasant experience and value perceptions to capture young consumers' attention.

Societal changes and technological development have brought about drastic change to young generations compared to older cohorts. The fourth paper, titled "Identification of consumption patterns: an empirical study of millennials", is a study that adopted brand image as a determinant of brand attitude and assessed the moderating effect of brand equity to explain the use of online information among young shoppers in Colombia. This work by Escandon-Barbosa, Hurtado-Ayala, Rialp-Criado and Salas-Paramo unearths the relevance of generational membership in classifying individuals by their brand perceptions and shopping channel usage. Evidently, young consumers use more online communication

sources to generate brand perception. The study thus articulates young consumers' behaviour in relation to online vs offline communication in the contemporary setting.

The fifth paper, "Brand engagement in self-concept, value consciousness, and brand loyalty: a study of generation Z consumers in Malaysia", details research that examined the relationships among these three variables for Generation Z consumers. Authored by Ismail, Nguyen, Chen, Melewar and Mohamad, the study reveals that both brand engagement in self-concept and value consciousness have a positive effect on brand loyalty among young consumers. Moreover, the authors identified four segments of young consumers, namely, attentive consumers, dedicated consumers, prospective consumers and switchers. Drawing upon the application of market segmentation, the paper carries potent managerial implications in relation to marketing strategy.

Titled "How logistics capabilities offered by retailers influence millennials' online purchasing attitudes and intentions", Riley and Klein's study sought to understand young consumers' use of online retail channels. They examined how tracking capabilities, delivery speed, trust, logistics carriers' reputation, people important to consumers and online reviews influence young consumers' online purchasing attitudes and intentions. As the sixth article in this special issue, this paper also narrates the moderating effect of carrier reputation on trust in online purchase. As such, it provides useful insights on how to better develop e-commerce service offerings in a manner that yields favourable behavioural intention among young consumers.

The seventh article, with the title "Understanding online shopping behaviours and purchase intentions amongst millennials", is on the online purchase behaviours of young consumers in Australia and the USA. Dharmesti, Dharmesti, Kuhne and Thaichon's study indicated that young consumers in these two countries have a positive attitude towards online shopping, which significantly affects their online purchase intentions. Despite both groups being familiar with online purchasing, the findings unveil the differences in social motives, escapism and values between the Australian and the US samples. Using the generational cohort theory, this paper enhances the knowledge on the young generation's values and characteristics that influence their online purchase behaviours across two different settings. Implications for marketers and policymakers to improve their marketing efforts and services are also discussed.

Generation cohorts in dierent countries

Given the importance of generation in knowledge and practice, researchers across the globe have begun and continue to explore actual generational cohorts' respective characteristics in their own settings. In fact, the shortcoming of using common age groups or median years of birth rather than formative years and major external events as proxies for generation in cross-cultural studies has long been highlighted (Inglehart, 1997). Apart from studies in and about the USA (Holbrook and Schindler, 1994; Schuman and Scott, 1989), studies on generation cohorts have also been conducted in Russia, Brazil (Schewe and Meredith, 2004), China (Egri and Ralston, 2004; Hung *et al.*, 2007), France (Excousseau, 2000; Treguer, 2008), The Netherlands (Ester *et al.*, 2000), Malaysia (Ting *et al.*, 2018), England, Germany and Japan (Schuman *et al.*, 1998; Scott and Zac, 1993).

Table 1 provides an overview of past studies pertaining to generation cohorts in different countries and continents. It is especially noteworthy that generation cohorts in the USA (North America), China (Asia), Russia (Europe), Brazil (South America), Nigeria (Africa) and Australia (Oceania) do not share the same characteristics due to their attachment to different external events in their formative years (Fernández-Durán, 2016). Although some generation labels appear to be the same, such as "Generation X" in the USA, Nigeria and Australia, their characteristics are not entirely alike. Therefore, notwithstanding the impact of some global events, the presence of regional events and local customs have a profound role in shaping

Table 1 Generation cohorts in different countries				
Generation label (birth years)	Formative years (major events)	Characteristics		
USA (North American region)				
Selected sources: Holbrook and Schin Depression	dler (1989); Schuman and Scott (1989) 1930–1939 (Great Depression)	Financial security still rules their thinking		
(1912–1921)	1930–1939 (Great Depression)	Tindricial security still fules their thinking		
Second World War (1922–1927)	1940–1945 (Second World War)	Unified by a common enemy, shared experiences; a sense of deferment and delayed gratification; had a defined role, a measure of freedom from their particular socia norms and an opportunity to travel; horrors and heroism		
Post-War (1928–1945)	1946–1963 (Korean War; Sputnik; the first stirrings of the civil rights movement; a brief recession	Conservative, seeking something comfortable, secure, familiar		
Leading-edge Baby Boomer (1946–1954)	1963–1972 (Kennedy assassination, followed by that of Martin Luther King and Robert Kennedy)	Wanted a lifestyle at least as good as they had experienced as children; individualism indulgence of self, stimulation and questioning nature		
Trailing-edge Baby Boomer (1955–1965)	1973–1983 (the stop of the Vietnam War Watergate; the Arab Oil Embargo)	Cause-oriented; a narcissistic preoccupation with themselves which manifested itself in everything from the self-help movement; self- depreciation		
Generation X (1965–1976)	1984–1994 (a period of relative economic uncertainty and rising divorce rates leaving many from this generation to be raised in lower income homes by a single parent)	Political conservatism; feel alienated		
N-Generation (1977–1994)	(Information revolution)	More idealistic and social-cause oriented		
China (Asian region)				
Selected sources: Yi <i>et al.</i> (2015), Hung The Cultural Revolution generation (1961–1966)	g <i>et al.</i> (2007) 1966–1976 (cultural revolution and extreme poverty in their youth)	First generation in the history of China to engage in the deregulated economy and they consider financial security to be important		
The Social Reform generation (1971–1976)	1980–1991 (Economic Reform)	They are more realistic and pragmatic than the Cultural Revolution generation; They are thought of as the recipients of the benefits modernisation has brought; increased level of material prosperity		
The Millennials generation, also refers to One-Child Generation (1981–1986)	1990s and thereafter (China was integrating into the global community; increased levels of affluence in society and consumerist messages in the media; internet connection with the outside world)	Stereotypical traits, such as being selfish, self- centred, rebellious and irresponsible		
Russia (European region)				
Source: Schewe and Meredith (2004) Collectivisation (1912–1923)	1929–1940 (collectivisation of agriculture)	Dedication to party; nationalistic; believe in and rely on state; suspicious of west		
Great Patriotic War (1924–1936)	1941–1953 (Second World War; Cold War; Death of Stalin)	Intensely patriotic; nationalistic; resent youth; feel unappreciated; against perestroika		
The thaw (1937–1952)	1954–1969 (Sputnik; International Youth Festival; Gagarin first man to orbit earth; "Prague Spring")	Greater social and economic freedom; idealism; individuality; support perestroika		
Stagnation (1953–1968)	1970–1985 (bad economic times; Emigration to the West; Gorbachev's rise to power)	Erosion of faith in communism; inner- directedness; pessimistic about future; cynical and withdrawn; 30% prefer to live outside Russia		
Perestroika (1969–1974)	1986–1991(Perestroika and glasnost; Chernobyl; Parliamentary elections; Fall of	Political activists; against central authority; 50% prefer to live outside Russia; materialistic (<i>continued</i>)		

Table 1			
Generation label (birth years)	Formative years (major events)	Characteristics	
	Berlin Wall; Yeltsin elected President; Soviet		
	Union dissolved; 1991 communist coup		
Deat Coviat	attempt)	Calf aufficient, aurical, strastuica,	
Post-Soviet (1975- after)	1992 onwards (privatisation and economic reforms; Chechnya War; Stock market	Self-sufficient; cynical; streetwise; materialistic; amoral; actively want to leave	
(1975- alter)	collapse)	Russia	
Brazil (South American region)			
Selected source: Schewe and Mered			
Vargas Era	1930–1945 (Vargas' coup)	Nationalism; state as a solution; being is bette	
(1913–1928)		than having; acceptance of authority	
Post-war (1929–1937)	1946–1954 (Vargas' deposition/Dutra's election to President)	Moral tradition; value of having	
Optimism	1955–1967 (Vargas' suicide/President	Country of the future; youth culture and looking	
(1938–1950)	Kubitschek's election)	abroad	
The Iron Years	1968–1979 (Dictatorship instituted; social	Belligerence; alienation; silence; myth of	
(1951–1962)	crisis; Institutional Act No. 5 [abolished democratic congress])	grandiosity; value of education	
The Lost Decade	1980–1991 (Amnesty for activists; end of	Fear; frustration; materialism; individualism;	
(1963–1974)	economic growth)	hopelessness	
Be on your own	1992-current (Government crisis; President	Self-sufficiency; consumerism; recovery of	
(1975-after)	Collor's impeachment; change in currency to real)	ethical and moral values	
Nigeria (African region)			
Selected source: Christiansen (2019)			
Traditionalist	(Colonial era; witnesses foreign domination	Dedication to duty; sacrifice; hard work;	
(1928–1948)	and forced obedience to hierarchy)	respect for authority; orientation to details;	
		duty before pleasure; job security in exchange	
		for loyalty to organization; willing to delay gratification	
Baby Boomers	(witnessed early part of independence)	Personal identity; workaholic; sees long	
(1949–1965)	(working hours as evidence of success;	
		hardworking; loves titles; questions authority;	
		team orientation; job security	
Gen X	(boom in the economy)	Values work-life balance; willing to leave	
(1965–1979)		legacy; appreciate empowerment; does not like micro-managing; love feedback;	
		indifference to authority; loyal to peers not	
		company; entrepreneurial; impatient and	
		needs flexibility	
Gen Y	(shortage of funds in the country; massive	Optimistic; tech savvy; ambitious and string	
(1980–2000)	corruption; end of job security; saw the	sense of self; hero mentality; likes to be	
	detrimental effect of corruption on delayed	involved in decision-making; multi-tasking;	
	gratification of their parents)	very vocal	
Australia (Oceanian region)			
	istralian Institute of Company Directors (2012)	Policious offiliation: faitha	
Oldest Generation (1891–1926)	(interrupted employment and family formation during the Great Depression)	Religious affiliation; faiths	
Lucky Generation	(full employment and prosperity during the	Hardworking and stoic generation who seek	
(1926–1946)	post-World War II economic boom; affected	stability and security	
	by the privations resulting from the Great		
	Depression in their formative years)		
Baby Boomers	(the older Baby Boomers entered the labour	Optimism; peculiar tension, which is the	
(1946–1966)	force when economic conditions were	tension between belief in a rosy, easy future or	
	buoyant and experienced high rates of employment; the younger members of this	the one hand and no future at all on the other	
	generation have not had the same		
	generation have not had the same employment opportunities throughout their		

Generation label (birth years)	Formative years (major events)	Characteristics
Generation X and Y (1966–1986)	working lives as older Baby Boomers, with many affected by the economic downturn in the late 1980s and early 1990s) (increased rates of parental separation and divorce; user-pays higher education and job insecurity)	Generation X has high level of loyalty to their managers and leaders. They have chosen to start a family later in life Generation Y are a more optimistic generatior and are a team-orientated generation
i-Generation (1986–2006)	(spent their formative years in a period which saw the birth and rise of the internet)	Secular generations

generations and their characteristics across different countries (Schindler and Holbrook, 1993).

Rethink of future research

Individuals' collective experiences of cataclysmic events during their formative years are the fundamental tenets of a generation. Because of the impact of these events and the presence of local customs, the characteristics of individuals from different countries cannot be exactly the same though they share the same age (Schewe *et al.*, 2013). It is thus apparent that generation cannot be regarded as a demographic variable (Ting *et al.*, 2018). Suffice to say, using birth years or age to define consumers or employees by generation and to justify study samples without referring to generation theories should be avoided. Although some global events, such as the pandemic crisis and the advancement of communication technology, have prevailing effects, the casual adoption of generational labels across contexts is void of theoretical grounding and also jeopardises the practical usefulness of research to the real world, specifically for research on market segmentation and young consumers' behaviour.

Moving forward, it is crucial to rethink future research related to the young generation in various disciplines. Defining and operationalising generations is never a clear-cut exercise (Cadiz *et al.*, 2015). Apart from existing generation theories, exploring other grand and mid range theories in psychology and sociology will help provide better explanations of generational phenomena in different contexts and at different levels (e.g. individual, organisational and national levels). Moreover, another issue in generation research is the impediment to unravelling and distinguishing the effects of age, period and cohort (Costanza and Finkelstein, 2015). The work of Parry and Urwin (2017) shows that the assumptions and definition of generation cohort may alter over time because of the impact of period effects on individuals' attitude and behaviour. Hence, the interactions among age, life cycle, period and generation signify that the articulation of young consumers' behaviour in different settings would require a more robust research design, stringent assessment and meticulous interpretation.

Another research aspect that requires further investigation is generational change, wherein a generation replaces a prior generation (Cooper *et al.*, 2018). The global shift in population age distribution does indeed motivate the need to study another generation (Duxbury and Ormsbee, 2020; Thangavel *et al.*, 2019). In addition, transgenerational and intergenerational research can be carried out to assess and compare two or more generations (Bengtson *et al.*, 2018; Wellner, 2003). Specifically, research on intergenerational transmission would be useful to unveil young consumers' values and characteristics that are either inherited from prior generational branding, for example, can help practitioners broaden the reach of their products, both horizontally (involving multiple generations at once) and vertically (allowing the brands to cross generations over time) (Bourcier-Béquaert and de Barnier, 2010).

Furthermore, future studies can be expanded to comparatively analyse the behaviour of young consumers who stay with their parents or grandparents against those who study or work in foreign countries as migrants during their formative years (Yip *et al.*, 2020; Pekerti and Arli, 2017; Saar *et al.*, 2017; Ting *et al.*, 2016). As the present pandemic crisis marks another epoch in human history, the rethink of young consumers' behaviour through the lens of generation will remain relevant and necessary.

References

Australian Institute of Company Directors (2012), "The changing face of Australia", ASX 200 Roundtable Summary paper, pp. 1-7.

Bengtson, V., Hayward, R., Zuckerman, P. and Silverstein, M. (2018), "Bringing up nones: intergenerational influences and cohort trends", *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion*, Vol. 57 No. 2, pp. 258-275.

Berkowitz, E.N. and Schewe, C.D. (2011), "Generational cohorts hold the key to understanding patients and health care providers: coming-of-age experiences influence health care behaviors for a lifetime", *Health Marketing Quarterly*, Vol. 28 No. 2, pp. 190-204.

Bourcier-Béquaert, B. and de Barnier, V. (2010), "Toward a larger framework of the generation concept in marketing", *Recherche et Applications en Marketing (English Edition)*, Vol. 25 No. 3, pp. 115-134.

Brennan, C., Sourdin, T., Williams, J., Burstyner, N. and Gill, C. (2017), "Consumer vulnerability and complaint handling: challenges, opportunities and dispute system design", *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, Vol. 41 No. 6, pp. 638-646.

Cadiz, D.M., Truxillo, D.M. and Fraccaroli, F. (2015), "What are the benefits of focusing on Generation-Based differences and at what cost?", *Industrial and Organizational Psychology*, Vol. 8 No. 3, pp. 356-362.

Christiansen, B. (2019), Global Applications of Multigenerational Management and Leadership in the Transcultural Era, IGI Global.

Cooper, D., Holmes, K., Pforr, C. and Shanka, T. (2018), "Implications of generational change: European river cruises and the emerging gen X market", *Journal of Vacation Marketing*, Vol. 25 No. 4, pp. 418-431.

Costanza, D. and Finkelstein, L. (2015), "Generationally based differences in the workplace: is there a there?", *Industrial and Organizational Psychology*, Vol. 8 No. 3, pp. 308-323.

de Run, E.C. and Ting, H. (2013), "Generational cohorts and their attitudes toward advertising", *Trziste/ Market Journal*, Vol. 25 No. 2, pp. 143-160.

Duxbury, L. and Ormsbee, F. (2020), "Does studying the past help us understand the future? An examination of the expectations of gen X knowledge workers", *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, Vol. 31 No. 7, pp. 935-963.

Egri, C.P. and Ralston, D.A. (2004), "Generation cohorts and personal values: a comparison of China and the United States", *Organization Science*, Vol. 15 No. 2, pp. 210-220.

Ester, P., Vinken, H. and Despstraten, I. (2000), "Collective memories, personal biographies and the new millennium", 23rd annual scientific meeting of the International Society for Political Psychology (ISSP), Seattle.

Excousseau, J.L. (2000), *La Mosaique Des Générations: comprendre Les Sensibilitéset Les Habitudes Des Franais*, Éditions d'Organisation, Paris.

Fernández-Durán, J.J. (2016), "Defining generational cohorts for marketing in Mexico", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 69 No. 2, pp. 435-444.

Grousiou, V., Moschis, G. and Kapoutsis, I. (2015), "Compulsive buying: the role of earlier-in-life events and experiences", *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, Vol. 32 No. 4, pp. 278-289.

Holbrook, M.B. and Schindler, R.M. (1994), "Age, sex and attitude toward the past as predictors of consumers: aesthetic tastes for cultural products", *Journal of Marketing Research*, Vol. 31, pp. 412-422.

Howe, N. and Strauss, W. (2000), *Millennials Rising: The Next Great Generation*, Vintage Books, New York, NY.

Hung, K.H., Gu, F.F. and Yim, C.K. (2007), "A social institutional approach to identifying generation cohorts in China with a comparison with American consumers", *Journal of International Business Studies*, Vol. 38 No. 5, pp. 836-853, doi: 10.1057/palgrave.jibs.8400288.

Inglehart, R. (1997), Modernization and Postmodernization, Princeton University Press, NJ.

Ivanova, O., Flores-Zamora, J., Khelladi, I. and Ivanaj, S. (2019), "The generational cohort effect in the context of responsible consumption", *Management Decision*, Vol. 57 No. 5, pp. 1162-1183.

Kasser, T. and Kanner, A.D. (Eds.), (2004), *Psychology and Consumer Culture: The Struggle for a Good Life in a Materialistic World*, American Psychological Association. 10.1037/10658-000.

Lowe, J.B., Barry, E.S. and Grunberg, N.E. (2020), "Improving leader effectiveness across Multi-Generational workforces", *Journal of Leadership Studies*, Vol. 14 No. 1, pp. 46-52.

Mackay, H. (1998), "Three generations: the changing values and political outlook of Australians", available at: www.aph.gov.au/senate/~/~/link.aspx?_Id=55ebcbdd06be48dd83503b1956a7366b&_Z= Z (accessed 20 April 2020).

Meredith, G. and Schewe, C.D. (1994), "The power of cohorts", *American Demographics*, Vol. 16, pp. 22-31.

Moschis, G. (2007), "Stress and consumer behavior", *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, Vol. 35 No. 3, pp. 430-444.

Parry, E. and Urwin, P. (2017), "The evidence base for generational differences: where do We go from here?", *Work, Aging and Retirement*, Vol. 3 No. 2, pp. 140-148.

Pekerti, A.A. and Arli, D. (2017), "Do cultural and generational cohorts matter to ideologies and consumer ethics? A comparative study of Australians, Indonesians, and Indonesian migrants in Australia", *Journal of Business Ethics*, Vol. 143 No. 2, pp. 387-404.

Pyšnőáková, M. and Miles, S. (2010), "The post-revolutionary consumer generation: 'mainstream' youth and the paradox of choice in the Czech Republic", *Journal of Youth Studies*, Vol. 13 No. 5, pp. 533-547.

Rogler, L.H. (2002), "Historical generations and psychology: the case of the great depression and world war II", *American Psychologist*, Vol. 57 No. 12, pp. 1013-1023.

Saar, E., Krusell, S. and Helemae, J. (2017), "Russian-Speaking immigrants in Post-Soviet Estonia: towards generation fragmentation or integration in Estonian society", *Sociological Research Online*, Vol. 22 No. 2, pp. 96-117.

Schewe, C.D. and Meredith, G. (2004), "Segmenting global markets by generational cohorts: determining motivations by age", *Journal of Consumer Behaviour*, Vol. 4 No. 1, pp. 51-63.

Schewe, C.D. and Noble, S.M. (2000), "Market segmentation by cohorts: the value and validity of cohorts in America and abroad", *Journal of Marketing Management*, Vol. 16 Nos 1/3, pp. 129-142.

Schewe, C.D., Debevec, K., Madden, T.J., Diamond, W.D., Parment, A. and Murphy, A. (2013), "If you've seen one, you've seen them all! are young millennials the same worldwide?", *Journal of International Consumer Marketing*, Vol. 25 No. 1, pp. 3-15.

Schindler, R.M. and Holbrook, M.B. (1993), "Critical periods in the development of men's and women's taste in personal appearance", *Psychology and Marketing*, Vol. 10 No. 6, pp. 549-564.

Schuman, H. and Scott, J. (1989), "Generations and collective memories", *American Sociological Review*, Vol. 54 No. 3, pp. 359-381.

Schuman, H., Akiyama, H. and Knauper, B. (1998), "Collective memories of Germans and Japanese about the past half century", *Memory*, Vol. 6 No. 4, pp. 227-257.

Scott, J. and Zac, J. (1993), "Collective memories in Britain and the United States", *Public Opinion Quarterly*, Vol. 57 No. 3, pp. 315-331.

Strauss, W. and Howe, N. (1991), *Generations: The History of America's Future, 1584 to 2069*, William Morrow and Company Inc., New York, NY.

Thangavel, P., Pathak, P. and Chandra, B. (2019), "Consumer decision-making style of gen Z: a generational cohort analysis", *Global Business Review*, 10.1177/0972150919880128.

Ting, H., Wong, W.P.M. and de Run, E.C. (2016), "Complaint behaviour between generations and its transmissions: an exloratory study in Malaysia", *International Journal of Business and Management*, Vol. 11 No. 11, pp. 279-288.

Ting, H., Lim, T.Y., de Run, E.C., Koh, H. and Sahdan, M. (2018), "Are we baby boomers, gen X and gen Y? A qualitative inquiry into generation cohorts in Malaysia", *Kasetsart Journal of Social Sciences*, Vol. 39 No. 1, pp. 109-115.

Treguer, J.P. (2008), "Le « marketing génerationnel » nous permet de mieuxconnaître les marchés seniors et baby-boomers pour créer des messages publicitaires plus pertinents", available at: https://senioragency.wordpress.com/2008/01/23/bbbb/.

Vandegrift, D. (2015), "Youth political subjectivity in the global South: Crossing conceptual boundaries in less examined contexts", in Woodman D. and Bennett A. (Eds), *Youth Cultures, Transitions, and Generations*, Palgrave Macmillan, London. 10.1057/9781137377234_11.

Wellner, A.S. (2003), "The next 25 years", American Demographics, Vol. 25, pp. D26-D29.

Yelkur, R. (2002), "A comparison of buyer behavior characteristics of US and French generation X", *Journal of Euromarketing*, Vol. 12 No. 1, pp. 5-17.

Yi, X., Ribbens, B., Fu, L. and Cheng, W. (2015), "Variation in career and workplace attitudes by generation, gender, and culture differences in career perceptions in the United States and China", *Employee Relations*, Vol. 37 No. 1, pp. 66-82.

Further reading

Ye, Y., Omar, R., Ning, B. and Ting, H. (2020), "Intergenerational transmission of occupation: a qualitative inquiry into frontline factory workers in China", *Sustainability*, Vol. 12 No. 20, p. 8486.

For instructions on how to order reprints of this article, please visit our website: www.emeraldgrouppublishing.com/licensing/reprints.htm Or contact us for further details: permissions@emeraldinsight.com